# Path-integrals and large deviations in stochastic hybrid systems

#### Paul C Bressloff<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Utah

June 17, 2014

Part I. Path-integral representation of an SDE

#### LANGEVIN EQUATION WITH WEAK NOISE

• Consider the scalar SDE

$$dX(t) = A(X)dt + \sqrt{\epsilon}dW(t),$$

for  $0 \le t \le T$  and initial condition  $X(0) = x_0$ . Here W(t) is a Wiener process and the noise is taken to be weak ( $\epsilon \ll 1$ ).

• Discretizing time by dividing the interval [0, T] into *N* equal subintervals of size  $\Delta t$  such that  $T = N\Delta t$  and setting  $X_n = X(n\Delta t)$ , we have

 $X_{n+1} - X_n = A(X_n)\Delta t + \sqrt{\epsilon}\Delta W_n,$ 

with n = 0, 1, ..., N - 1,  $\Delta W_n = W((n + 1)\Delta t) - W(n\Delta t)$ 

 $\langle \Delta W_n \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \Delta W_m \Delta W_n \rangle = \Delta t \delta_{m,n}.$ 

▲ロト ▲ 理 ト ▲ 王 ト ▲ 王 - の Q (~

• Let **X** and **W** denote the vectors with components  $X_n$  and  $W_n$  respectively.

#### CONDITIONAL PROBABILITY DENSITY

 Conditional probability density function for X = x given a particular realization w of the stochastic process W (and initial condition x<sub>0</sub>) is

$$P(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{w}) = \prod_{n=0}^{N-1} \delta(x_{n+1} - x_n - A(x_n)\Delta t - \sqrt{\epsilon}\Delta w_n).$$

• Inserting the Fourier representation of the Dirac delta function,

$$\delta(x_{m+1}-z_m)=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}e^{-i\widetilde{x}_m(x_{m+1}-z_m)}d\widetilde{x}_m,$$

gives

$$P(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{w}) = \prod_{m=0}^{N-1} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ip_m \left( x_{m+1} - x_m - A(x_m)\Delta t - \sqrt{\epsilon}\Delta w_m \right)} \frac{dp_m}{2\pi} \right].$$

• The Gaussian random variable  $\Delta W_n$  has the probability density function

$$P(\Delta w_n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\Delta t}} e^{-\Delta w_n^2/2\Delta t}$$

#### JOINT PROBABILITY DENSITY

• Setting

with

$$P(\mathbf{x}) = \int P[\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{w}] \prod_{n=0}^{N-1} P(\Delta w_n) d\Delta w_n$$

and performing the integration with respect to  $\Delta w_n$  by completing the square, we obtain the result

$$P(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{m=0}^{N-1} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ip_m \left( x_{m+1} - x_m - A(x_m) \Delta t \right)} e^{-\epsilon p_m^2 \Delta t/2} \frac{dp_m}{2\pi} \right].$$

• Performing the Gaussian integration with respect to  $p_m$ , we have

$$P(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{m=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\epsilon\Delta t}} e^{-\left(x_{m+1} - x_m - A(x_m)\Delta t\right)^2/(2\epsilon\Delta t)}$$
$$= \mathcal{N} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\epsilon} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \left(\frac{x_{m+1} - x_m}{\Delta t} - A(x_m)\right)^2 \Delta t\right],$$
$$\mathcal{N} = \frac{1}{(2\pi\epsilon\Delta t)^{N/2}}.$$

#### **ONSAGER-MACHLUP PATH INTEGRAL**

• Define expectations according to

$$\mathbb{E}[F(\mathbf{X})] = \int F(\mathbf{x}) P(\mathbf{x}) dx_1 \dots x_N$$

for any integrable function *F*.

• Take the continuum limit  $\Delta t \to 0, N \to \infty$  with  $N\Delta t = T$  fixed. Now P[x] is a probability density *functional* over the different paths  $\{x(t)\}_0^T$  realized by the original SDE with  $X(0) = x_0$ :

$$P[x] \sim \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\epsilon}\int_0^T (\dot{x} - A(x))^2 dt\right],$$

• The expectation of a functional *F*[*x*] is given by the Onsager-Machlup path integral

$$\mathbb{E}[F[x]] = \int F[x]P[x]\mathcal{D}(x),$$

▲ロト ▲ 理 ト ▲ 王 ト ▲ 王 - の Q (~

where  $\mathcal{D}[x]$  is an appropriate measure.

### VARIATIONAL PRINCIPLE

• The conditional probability density that the stochastic process X(t) reaches a point *x* at time  $t = \tau$  given that it started at  $x_0$  at time t = 0 is

$$P(x,\tau|x_0) = \int_{x(0)=x_0}^{x(\tau)=x} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\epsilon} \int_0^{\tau} (\dot{x} - A(x))^2 dt\right] \mathcal{D}[x]$$

• In the limit  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we can use the method of steepest descents to obtain the approximation

$$P(x, \tau | x_0) \sim \exp\left[-\frac{\Phi(x, \tau | x_0)}{\epsilon}\right],$$

where  $\Phi$  is the quasipotential

$$\Phi(x,\tau|x_0) = \inf_{x(0)=x_0, x(\tau)=x} S[x],$$

with

$$S[x] = \int_0^\tau L(x, \dot{x}) dt, \quad L(x, \dot{x}) = \frac{1}{2} (\dot{x} - A(x))^2$$

### VARIATIONAL PRINCIPLE II

- Variational problem that minimizes the functional S[x] over trajectories from  $\{x(t)\}_0^{\tau}$  with  $x(0) = x_0$  and  $x(\tau) = x$  (most probable path)
- We can identify S[x] as a "classical action" with corresponding Lagrangian  $L(x, \dot{x})$
- Most probable path is given by the solution to the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial x}.$$

• Substituting for *L* yields

$$\ddot{x} = A(x)A'(x)$$

that is,

$$\dot{x}(t)^2 = A(x(t))^2 + \text{constant}$$

<□> < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

#### STEADY-STATE DENSITY

- Suppose that in the zero noise limit there is a globally attracting fixed point  $x_s$  such that  $A(x_s) = 0$ .
- Approximation of steady-state density can be obtained by solving the Euler-Lagrange equation with  $x(-\infty) = x_s$  and  $x(\tau) = x$ . This yields  $\dot{x} = -A(x)$ .
- The quasipotential is

$$\Phi(x,\tau) = -2\int_{-\infty}^{\tau} A(x)\dot{x}dt = 2\int_{-\infty}^{\tau} U'(x)\dot{x}dt = 2\int_{x_s}^{x} U'(x)dx = 2U(x).$$

• Hence, we obtain the expected result that the stationary density is

$$P(x) \sim \mathrm{e}^{-2U(x)/\epsilon}.$$

▲ロト ▲ 理 ト ▲ 王 ト ▲ 王 - の Q (~

#### MULTI-VARIATE PATH-INTEGRAL

• Consider the multivariate SDE

$$dX_i(t) = A_i(\mathbf{X})dt + \sqrt{\epsilon}\sum_j b_{ij}(\mathbf{X})dW_i(t),$$

for i = 1, ..., d with  $W_i(t)$  a set of independent Wiener processes.

• Generalizing the path integral method to higher dimensions, one obtains the action functional

$$S[\mathbf{x}] = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \sum_{i,j=1}^d (\dot{x}_i(t) - A_i(\mathbf{x}(t))) D_{ij}^{-1}(\dot{x}_j(t) - A_j(\mathbf{x}(t))) dt,$$

where  $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{b}\mathbf{b}^{tr}$  is the diffusion matrix.

#### FOKKER-PLANCK EQUATION

• Consider the FP equation corresponding to the scalar SDE:

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial [A(x)p(x,t)]}{\partial x} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \frac{\partial^2 p(x,t)}{\partial x^2} \equiv -\frac{\partial J(x,t)}{\partial x},$$

where

$$J(x,t) = -\frac{\epsilon}{2} \frac{\partial p(x,t)}{\partial x} + A(x)p(x,t).$$

- Suppose that the deterministic equation  $\dot{x} = A(x)$  has a stable fixed point at  $x_-$ ,  $A(x_-) = 0$ , with  $0 < x_- < x_0$ .
- Impose an absorbing boundary condition at *x*<sup>0</sup> and a reflecting boundary condition at *x* = 0:

$$p(x_0, t) = 0, \quad J(0, t) = 0$$

▲ロト ▲ 理 ト ▲ 王 ト ▲ 王 - の Q (~

#### WKB APPROXIMATION

• We seek a quasistationary solution of the WKB form

 $\phi^{\epsilon}(x) \sim K(x;\epsilon) \mathrm{e}^{-\Phi(x)/\epsilon},$ 

with  $K(x; \epsilon) \sim \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^m K_m(x)$ .

- Substitute into the stationary FP equation and Taylor expand with respect to  $\epsilon$ .
- Lowest order equation is

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial\Phi(x)}{\partial x}\right)^2 + A(x)\frac{\partial\Phi(x)}{\partial x} = 0.$$

 Similarly, collecting O(ε) terms yields the following equation for the leading contribution K<sub>0</sub> to the pre factor:

$$\left[\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial x} + A(x)\right]\frac{\partial K_0}{\partial x} = -\left[A'(x) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2\Phi(x)}{\partial x^2}\right]K_0(x).$$

▲ロト ▲ 理 ト ▲ 王 ト ▲ 王 - の Q (~

HAMILTON-JACOBI EQUATION

• Introducing the time-independent "Hamiltonian"

$$H(x,p) = \frac{p^2}{2} + A(x)p_z$$

we can rewrite lowest order equation as

 $H(x,\Phi'(x))=0.$ 

• Hamiltonian *H* describes a "particle" with position *x* and conjugate momentum *p* evolving according to Hamilton's equations

$$\dot{x} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p} = p + A(x), \quad \dot{p} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x} = -pA'(x).$$

• Performing the Legendre transformation

$$H(x,p) = p\dot{x} - L(x,\dot{x}), \quad p = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}}$$

we recover Lagrangian of Onsager-Machlup path integral:

$$L(x, \dot{x}) = \frac{1}{2}(\dot{x} - A(x))^2$$

Part II. Path-integral representation of a stochastic hybrid system

< □ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ@

#### EXAMPLES OF STOCHASTIC HYBRID SYSTEMS

Stochastic neural populations (PCB/Newby 2013)



gene networks (Newby 2012)



Motor-driven intracellular transport (PCB/Newby 2011),



Dendritic NMDA spikes (PCB/Newby 2014)



#### 1D STOCHASTIC HYBRID SYSTEM

• Consider the 1D system

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{1}{\tau_x} F_n(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad n = 0, \dots, K-1$$

- Jump Markov process  $n' \rightarrow n$  with transition rates  $W_{nn'}(x)/\tau_n$ .
- Set  $\tau_x = 1$  and introduce the small parameter  $\epsilon = \tau_n / \tau_x$
- CK equation is

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial [F_n(x)p_n(x,t)]}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{n'=0}^{K-1} A_{nn'}(x)p_{n'}(x,t)$$

where

$$A_{nn'}(x) = W_{nn'}(x) - \sum_{m=0}^{K-1} W_{mn}(x) \delta_{n',n}.$$

• In the limit  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , obtain mean-field equation

$$rac{dx}{dt} = \mathcal{F}(x) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{K-1} F_n(x) 
ho_n(x),$$

### PATH-INTEGRAL I

• Discretize time by dividing a given interval [0, T] into N equal subintervals of size  $\Delta t$  such that  $T = N\Delta t$  and set

 $x_j = x(j\Delta t), n_j = n(j\Delta t)$ 

The conditional probability density for x<sub>1</sub>,..., x<sub>N</sub> given x<sub>0</sub> and a particular realization of the stochastic discrete variables n<sub>j</sub>, j = 0,...,N−1, is

$$P(x_1,...,x_N|x_0,n_0,...,n_{N-1}) = \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \delta\left(x_{j+1} - x_j - F_{n_j}(x_j)\Delta t\right)$$

• Using the Fourier representation of the Dirac delta function,

$$P(x_1, \dots, x_N | x_0, n_0, \mathbf{n}) = \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ip_j \left( x_{j+1} - x_j - F_{n_j}(x_j) \Delta t \right)} \frac{dp_j}{2\pi} \right]$$
$$\equiv \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H_{n_j}(x_{j+1}, x_j, p_j) \frac{dp_j}{2\pi} \right]$$

# PATH-INTEGRAL II

• On averaging with respect to the intermediate states  $\mathbf{n} = (n_q, \dots, n_{K-1})$ , we have

$$P(x_1,\ldots,x_N|x_0,n_0) = \left[\prod_{j=0}^{N-1}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{dp_j}{2\pi}\right]\sum_{n_1,\ldots,n_{N-1}}\prod_{j=0}^{N-1}T_{n_{j+1},n_j}(x_j)H_{n_j}(x_{j+1},x_j,p_j)$$

where

$$T_{n_{j+1},n_j}(x_j) \sim A_{n_{j+1},n_j}(x_j) \frac{\Delta t}{\epsilon} + \delta_{n_{j+1},n_j} \left( 1 - \sum_m A_{m,n_j}(x_j) \frac{\Delta t}{\epsilon} \right) + o(\Delta t)$$
$$= \left( \delta_{n_{j+1},n_j} + A_{n_{j+1},n_j}(x_j) \frac{\Delta t}{\epsilon} \right).$$

# PATH-INTEGRAL III

• Consider the eigenvalue equation

$$\sum_{m} [A_{nm}(x) + q\delta_{n,m}F_m(x)] R_m^{(s)}(x,q) = \lambda_s(x,q)R_n^{(s)}(x,q),$$

and let  $\xi_m^{(s)}$  be the adjoint eigenvector.

• Insert multiple copies of the identity

$$\sum_{s} \xi_m^{(s)}(x,q) R_n^{(s)}(x,q) = \delta_{m,n}$$

into the discrtetized path-integral with  $(x, q) = (x_j, q_j)$  at the *j*th time-step

# PATH-INTEGRAL IV

• Find that

$$P(x_N, n_N | x_0, n_0) \equiv \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_j P(x_1, \dots, x_N, n_N | x_0, n_0)$$
  
=  $\left[\prod_{j=1}^{N-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_j \frac{dp_j}{2\pi}\right] \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_{N-1}} \sum_{s_0, \dots, s_{N-1}} \left[\prod_{j=0}^{N-1} R_{n_{j+1}}^{(s_j)}(x_j, q_j) \xi_{n_j}^{(s_j)}(x_j, q_j)\right]$   
exp $\left(\sum_j \left[\lambda_{s_j}(x_j, q_j) - i\epsilon p_j \frac{x_{j+1} - x_j}{\Delta t}\right] \frac{\Delta t}{\epsilon}\right) \exp\left([i\epsilon p_j F_{n_j}(x_j) - q_j F_{n_j}(x_j)] \frac{\Delta t}{\epsilon}\right)$ 

- Discretized path integral is independent of the q<sub>j</sub>. Set q<sub>j</sub> = i \epsilon p<sub>j</sub> for all j and eliminate the final exponential factor.
- Sum over the intermediate discrete states *n<sub>j</sub>* using the orthogonality relation

$$\sum_{n} R_{n}^{(s)}(x,q)\xi_{n}^{(s')}(x,q) = \delta_{s,s'}.$$

#### PATH-INTEGRAL V (PCB AND NEWBY 2014)

- Perron-Frobenius theorem shows that there exists a real, simple Perron eigenvalue labeled by s = 0, say, such that  $\lambda_0 > \text{Re}(\lambda_s)$  for all s > 0
- Hence, set  $s_i = 0$  and take the continuum limit to obtain the following path-integral from  $x(0) = x_0$  to  $x(\tau) = x$  (after performing the change of variables  $i\epsilon p_i \rightarrow p_i$  (complex contour deformation):

$$P(x,n,\tau|x_0,n_0,0) = \int_{x(0)=x_0}^{x(\tau)=x} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_0^{\tau} [p\dot{x}-\lambda_0(x,p)]dt\right) \mathcal{D}[p]\mathcal{D}[x]$$

• Dropped factor  $R_0^{(s)}(x, p(\tau))\xi_{n_0}^{(0)}(x_0, p(0))$ 

### VARIATIONAL PRINCIPLE

• Applying steepest descents to path integral yields a variational principle in which optimal paths minimize the action

$$S[x,p] = \int_0^\tau \left[p\dot{x} - \lambda_0(x,p)\right] dt.$$

• Hence, we can identify the Perron eigenvalue  $\lambda_0(x, p)$  as a Hamiltonian and the optimal paths are solutions to Hamilton's equations

$$\dot{x} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p}, \quad \dot{p} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial x}, \quad \mathcal{H}(x,p) = \lambda_0(x,p)$$

- Deterministic mean field equations and optimal paths of escape from a metastable state both correspond to zero energy solutions.
- Setting  $\lambda_0 = 0$  in eigenvalue equation gives

$$\sum_{m} \left[ A_{nm}(x) + p \delta_{n,m} F_m(x) \right] R_m^{(0)}(x,p) = 0$$

▲ロト ▲御 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 ト ○ 臣 - のへで

"ZERO ENERGY" PATHS



• (a) Deterministic trajectories converging to a stable fixed point **x**<sub>S</sub>. Boundary of basin of attraction formed by a union of separatrices

< □ > < @ > < E > < E > < E</p>

500

• (b) Noise-induced paths of escape

#### MEAN-FIELD EQUATIONS

• We have the trivial solution p = 0 and  $R_m^{(0)}(x, 0) = \rho_m(x)$  with

$$\sum_{m} A_{nm}(x)\rho_m(x) = 0$$

• Differentiating the eigenvalue equation with respect to *p* and then setting p = 0,  $\lambda_0 = 0$  shows that

$$\frac{\partial \lambda_0(x,p)}{\partial p}\Big|_{p=0} \rho_n(x) = F_n(x)\rho_n(x) + \sum_m A_{nm}(x) \left. \frac{\partial R_m^{(0)}(x,p)}{\partial p} \right|_{p=0}$$

• Summing both sides wrt *n* and using  $\sum_{n} A_{nm} = 0$ ,

$$\frac{\partial \lambda_0(x)}{\partial p}\Big|_{p=0} = \sum_n F_n(x)\rho_n(x)$$

• Hamilton's equation  $\dot{x} = \partial \lambda_0(x, p) / \partial p$  recovers mean-field equation

$$\dot{x} = \sum_{n} F_n(x) \rho_n(x).$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

#### MAXIMUM-LIKELIHOOD PATHS OF ESCAPE

• Unique non-trivial solution  $p = \mu(x)$  with positive eigenvector  $R_m^{(0)}(x, \mu(x)) = \psi_m(x)$ :

$$\sum_{m} \left[ A_{nm}(x) + \mu(x) \delta_{n,m} F_m(x) \right] \psi_m(x) = 0$$

• Recovers leading order equation for WKB quasipotential  $\Phi(x)$  with  $\Phi'(x) = \mu(x)$  and

$$S[x,p] \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} \left[ p\dot{x} - \lambda_0(x,p) \right] dt = \int_{x_s}^{x} \Phi'(x) dx.$$

< □ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ@

# Part III. Stochastic ion-channels revisited

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ ● ● ●

# STOCHASTIC MORRIS-LECAR MODEL

• Let n, n = 0, ..., N be the number of open sodium channels:

$$\frac{dv}{dt} = F_n(v) \equiv \frac{1}{N}f(v)n - g(v),$$
  
with  $f(v) = g_{\text{Na}}(V_{\text{Na}} - v)$  and  $g(v) = -g_{\text{eff}}[V_{\text{eff}} - v] + I_{\text{ext}}.$ 

• The opening and closing of the ion channels is described by a birth-death process according to

 $n \rightarrow n \pm 1$ ,

with rates

$$\omega_+(n) = \alpha(v)(N-n), \quad \omega_-(n) = \beta n$$

Take

$$\alpha(v) = \beta \exp\left(\frac{2(v-v_1)}{v_2}\right)$$

for constants  $\beta$ ,  $v_1$ ,  $v_2$ .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

#### CHAPMAN-KOLMOGOROV EQUATION I

Introduce the joint probability density

 $\operatorname{Prob}\{v(t) \in (v, v + dv), n(t) = n\} = p_n(v, t)dv,$ 

for given initial data

• Differential Chapman-Kolmogorov (CK) equation (dropping the explicit dependence on initial conditions)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial p_n}{\partial t} &= -\frac{\partial [F_n(v)p_n(v,t)]}{\partial v} \\ &+ \frac{1}{\epsilon} [\omega_+(n-1)p_{n-1}(v,t) + \omega_-(n+1)p_{n+1}(v,t) - (\omega_+(n) + \omega_-(n))p_n(v,t)] \end{aligned}$$

 Introduced small parameter *ϵ* - opening and closing of sodium channels much faster than relaxation dynamics of voltage

# CHAPMAN-KOLMOGOROV EQUATION II

• Rewrite CK equation in the more compact form

$$\frac{\partial p_n}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial [F_n(v)p_n(v,t)]}{\partial v} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{n'} A_{nm}(v)p_m(v,t),$$

 $A_{n,n-1} = \omega_+(n-1), A_{nn} = -\omega_+(n) - \omega_-(n), A_{n,n+1} = \omega_-(n+1).$ 

• There exists a unique steady state density  $\rho_n(v)$  for which

$$\sum_{m} A_{nm}(v) \rho_m(v) = 0$$

where

$$\rho_n(v) = \frac{N!}{(N-n)!n!} a(v)^n b(v)^{N-n}, \quad a(v) = \frac{\alpha(v)}{\alpha(v) + \beta}, \ b(v) = 1 - a(v).$$

< □ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ@

# Mean-field limit

• In the limit  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain the mean-field equation

$$\frac{dv}{dt} = \sum_{n} F_n(v)\rho_n(v) = a(v)f(v) - g(v) \equiv -\frac{d\Psi}{dv},$$

• Assume deterministic system operates in a bistable regime



< □ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ@

PERRON EIGENVALUE

• Eigenvalue equation for  $\lambda_0$  and  $R^{(0)} = \psi$ :

 $(N-n+1)\alpha\psi_{n-1} - [\lambda_0 + n\beta + (N-n)\alpha]\psi_n + (n+1)\beta\psi_{n+1}$  $= -p\left(\frac{n}{N}f - g\right)\psi_n$ 

• Consider the trial solution

$$\psi_n(x,p) = \frac{\Lambda(x,p)^n}{(N-n)!n!},$$

• Yields the following equation relating  $\Lambda$  and  $\mu$ :

$$\frac{n\alpha}{\Lambda} + \Lambda\beta(N-n) - \lambda_0 - n\beta - (N-n)\alpha = -p\left(\frac{n}{N}f - g\right).$$

• Collecting terms independent of *n* and terms linear in *n* yields

$$p = -\frac{N}{f(x)} \left(\frac{1}{\Lambda(x,p)} + 1\right) \left(\alpha(x) - \beta(x)\Lambda(x,p)\right),$$

and

$$\lambda_0(x,p) = -N(\alpha(x) - \Lambda(x,p)\beta(x)) - pg(x).$$

# PERRON EIGENVALUE II

 $\bullet\,$  Eliminating  $\Lambda$  from these equation gives

$$p = \frac{1}{f(x)} \left( \frac{N\beta(x)}{\lambda_0(x,p) + N\alpha(x) + pg(x)} + 1 \right) \left( \lambda_0(x,p) + pg(x) \right)$$

• Obtain a quadratic equation for  $\lambda_0$ :

$$\lambda_0^2 + \sigma(x)\lambda_0 - h(x,p) = 0.$$

with

$$\sigma(x) = (2g(x) - f(x)) + N(\alpha(x) + \beta(x)),$$

 $h(x,p) = p[-N\beta(x)g(x) + (N\alpha(x) + pg(x))(f(x) - g(x))].$ 

• The "zero energy" solutions imply that h(x, p) = 0

# **RECOVERS WKB QUASIPOTENTIAL**

• Non-trivial solution recovers result of WKB analysis

$$p = \mu(x) \equiv N \frac{\alpha(x)f(x) - (\alpha(x) + \beta)g(x)}{g(x)(f(x) - g(x))}.$$

• The corresponding quasipotential  $\Phi$  is given by

$$\Phi(x) = \int^x \mu(y) dy.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

• Analogous result in full ML model

Part IV. Higher-dimensional systems

#### D-DIMENSIONAL STOCHASTIC HYBRID SYSTEM

• Consider the system

$$\frac{dx_i}{dt} = \frac{1}{\tau_x} F_n^{(i)}(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^D, \quad i = 1, \dots, D$$

- Jump Markov process  $n' \rightarrow n$  with transition rates  $W_{nn'}(\mathbf{x})/\tau_n$ .
- Set  $\tau_x = 1$  and introduce the small parameter  $\epsilon = \tau_n / \tau_x$
- CK equation is

$$\frac{\partial p_n}{\partial t} = -\sum_i \frac{\partial [F_n^{(i)}(\mathbf{x})p_n(\mathbf{x},t)]}{\partial x_i} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{n'} A_{nn'}(\mathbf{x})p_{n'}(\mathbf{x},t)$$

$$A_{nn'}(\mathbf{x}) = W_{nn'}(\mathbf{x}) - \sum_{m} W_{mn}(\mathbf{x}) \delta_{n',n}.$$

• In the limit  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , obtain mean-field equation

$$\frac{dx_i}{dt} = \mathcal{F}_i(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \sum_n F_n^{(i)}(\mathbf{x})\rho_n(\mathbf{x}),$$

where  $\sum_{m \in I} A_{nm}(\mathbf{x}) \rho_m(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ .

#### PATH-INTEGRAL

• Proceeding as in the 1D case find that

$$p_n(\mathbf{x},\tau|\mathbf{x}_0,\mathbf{n}_0,0) = \int_{\mathbf{x}(0)=\mathbf{x}_0}^{\mathbf{x}(\tau)=\mathbf{x}} \mathcal{D}[\mathbf{p}]\mathcal{D}[\mathbf{x}] \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\epsilon}S[\mathbf{x},\mathbf{p}]\right)$$
$$\times R_n^{(0)}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{p}(\tau))\xi_{n_0}^{(0)}(\mathbf{x}_0,\mathbf{p}(0))$$

with action

$$S[\mathbf{x},\mathbf{p}] = \int_0^\tau \left[\sum_{i=1}^D p_i \dot{x}_i - \lambda_0(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{p})\right] dt.$$

• Here  $\lambda_0$  is the Perron eigenvalue of the following linear operator equation

$$\sum_{m} \left[ A_{nm}(\mathbf{x}) R_{m}^{(0)}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) + \delta_{n,m} \sum_{i=1}^{D} p_{i} F_{m}^{(i)}(\mathbf{x}) \right] R_{m}^{(0)}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) = \lambda_{0}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) R_{n}^{(0)}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}),$$

and  $\xi^{(0)}$  is the corresponding adjoint eigenvector.

#### STOCHASTIC MORRIS-LECAR MODEL REVISITED

• Take  $n \leq N$  open Na<sup>+</sup> channels and  $m \leq M$  open K<sup>+</sup> channels:

$$\frac{dv}{dt} = F(v, m, n) \equiv \frac{n}{N} f_{Na}(v) + \frac{m}{M} f_K(v) - g(v).$$

• Each channel satisfies the kinetic scheme

$$C \stackrel{\alpha_i(v)}{\underset{\beta_i(v)}{\longleftrightarrow}} O, \quad i = \text{Na}, \text{ K},$$

- The Na<sup>+</sup> channels fast relative to voltage and K<sup>+</sup> dynamics.
- Chapman–Kolmogorov (CK) equation,

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial (Fp)}{\partial v} + \mathbb{L}_{K}p + \mathbb{L}_{Na}p.$$

• The jump operators  $\mathbb{L}_j$ , j = Na, K, are defined according to

$$\mathbb{L}_j = (\mathbb{E}_n^+ - 1)\omega_j^+(n) + (\mathbb{E}_n^- - 1)\omega_j^-(n),$$

with  $\mathbb{E}_n^{\pm} f(n) = f(n \pm 1)$ ,  $\omega_j^-(n) = n\beta_j$  and  $\omega_j^+(n) = (N - n)\alpha_j(v)$ .

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のへで

#### SMALL NOISE LIMIT

• Introduce a small parameter  $\epsilon \ll 1$  such that (in dimensionless units)

$$\beta_{Na}^{-1} = \epsilon, \quad M^{-1} = \lambda_M \epsilon,$$

- Set w = m/M and write  $(m \pm 1)/M = w \pm M^{-1}$
- Perturbation expansion in  $\epsilon$  combines a **system size expansion** with a **slow/fast analysis**
- We would like to determine the most probable or optimal paths of escape from the resting state in the (v, w)-plane for small ε
- For chemical master equations, the **quasipotential** of the WKB approximation satisfies a **Hamilton-Jacobi equation** the optimal paths given by solutions to an effective **Hamiltonian dynamical system**
- There is an underlying **variational principle** derived using **large deviation theory** or **path-integrals**

# WKB APPROXIMATION

• Introduce quasistationary solution of the form

$$\varphi(v, w, n) = R_n(v, w) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\epsilon}\Phi(v, w)\right),$$

where  $\Phi(v, w)$  is the **quasipotential** 

• To leading order,

$$\left[\mathbb{L}_{Na}+p_{v}+h(v,w,p_{w})\right]R_{n}(v,w)=0,$$

where

$$p_v = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial v}, \quad p_w = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial w}$$

and

$$h(v,w,p_w) = \frac{\beta_K}{M\lambda_M} \left[ (e^{-\lambda_M p_w} - 1)\omega_K^+ (Mw,v) + (e^{\lambda_M p_w} - 1)\omega_K^- (Mw,v) \right]$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

#### HAMILTON-JACOBI EQUATION

• Introducing the ansatz

$$R_n(v,w) = \frac{\Lambda(v,w)^n}{(N-n)!n!},$$

yields a **Hamilton-Jacobi** equation for  $\Phi$ :

$$0 = \mathcal{H}(v, w, p_w, p_v) \equiv (a(v)f_{Na}(v) + g(v))p_v + h(v, w, p_w) - \frac{b(v)}{N} \left[ ((2g(v) + f_{Na}(v))p_vh(v, w, p_w) + (f_{Na}(v) + g(v))g(v)p_v^2 + h(v, w, p_w)^2 \right) \right]$$

• Solve for Φ using method of characteristics. Satisfy Hamilton's equations

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}), \quad \dot{\mathbf{p}} = -\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}).$$

for  $\mathbf{x} = (v, w)$  and  $\mathbf{p} = (p_v, p_w)$ 

• Interpret  $\Phi(t)$  as the **action** with  $\dot{\Phi}(t) = \mathbf{p}(t) \cdot \dot{\mathbf{x}}(t)$ , is a strictly increasing function of *t*, and the **quasipotential** is given by  $\Phi(v, w) = \Phi(t)$  at the point  $(v, w) = \mathbf{x}(t)$ .

SOLUTIONS OF HJ EQUATION (NEWBY, PCB, KEENER 2013)



• Caustic (C), *v* nullcline (VN), and *w* nullcline (WN), metastable separatrix (S), bottleneck (BN), caustic formation point (CP)

◆ロト ◆昼 ト ◆ 臣 ト ◆ 臣 ト ○ 臣 - のへぐ

# PATH-INTEGRAL FOR STOCHASTIC ML

• Path-integral action is

$$S[x,w,p_x,p_w] = \int_0^\tau [p_x \dot{x} + p_w \dot{w} - \lambda_0(x,w,p_x,p_w)] dt$$

where  $\lambda_0$  is the Perron eigenvalue of the following linear operator equation

$$\lambda_0 R_n = \left[\mathbb{L}_{Na} + F_n(x, w) p_x + h(x, w, p_w)\right] R_n^{(0)}.$$

with  $F_n(x, w) = F(x, Mw, n)$ 

• The path-integral representation of the stationary density is then

$$p_n(x, w, \tau | x_0, w_0, 0) = \iint_{\mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_0}^{\mathbf{x}(\tau) = \mathbf{x}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\epsilon}S[x, w, p_x, p_w]\right)$$
$$R_n^{(0)}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}(\tau))\xi_{n_0}^{(s)}(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{p}(0)) \mathcal{D}[\mathbf{p}]\mathcal{D}[\mathbf{x}]$$

< □ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ@

# PERRON EIGENVALUE DIFFERS FROM WKB HAMILTONIAN

• Introduce the ansatz

$$R_n^{(0)}(v,w) = \frac{\Lambda(v,w)^n}{(N-n)!n!}$$

into eigenvalue equation.

• Collecting terms linear in *n* gives

$$A(x,w) = \alpha_{Na}(x)$$
  
-  $\frac{1}{N}(p_xg(x,w) + h(x,w,p_w) - \lambda_0(x,w,p_x,p_w)),$ 

 Collecting terms independent of *n* and substituting for *A*(*x*, *w*) gives the following quadratic equation for λ<sub>0</sub>:

$$\lambda_0^2 - (2h(x,w,p_w) + \sigma(x,w,p_x))\lambda_0 + \mathcal{H}(x,w,p_x,p_w) = 0,$$

with

$$\sigma(x,w,p_x) = (2g(x) + f(x))p_x - N/(1 - w_\infty(x))$$

and  $\mathcal{H}$  the WKB Hamiltonian.

・ロト・西ト・山田・山田・山下

#### References

- PCB. Mean field theory and effects of fluctuations in stochastic hybrid neural networks. (2014)
- PCB and J. M. Newby. Path-integrals and large deviations in stochastic hybrid systems. Phys. Rev. E (2014)
- PCB and J. M. Newby. Stochastic hybrid model of spontaneous dendritic NMDA spikes. Phys. Biol. 11 016006 (2014).
- J. M. Newby, PCB and J. P. Keeener. The effect of Potassium channels on spontaneous action potential initiation by stochastic ion channels. Phys. Rev. Lett. 111 128101 (2013).
- PCB and J. M. Newby. Metastability in a stochastic neural network modeled as a jump velocity Markov process SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst. 12 1394-1435 (2013).