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## There is more: Independence

$\square$ Theorem 2

- Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, and that the collection of objects at time 0 is exchangeable $\left(X_{1}^{N}(0), X_{2}^{N}(0), \ldots X_{N}^{N}(0)\right)$,
then for any fixed $n$ and $t$ :
$\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Prob}\left(\underline{X}_{1}^{N}(t)=i_{1}, \underline{X}_{2}^{N}(t)=i_{2}, \ldots \underline{X}_{n}^{N}(t)=i_{n}\right)=$

$$
=\mu_{i 1}(\dagger) \mu_{i 2}(t) \ldots \mu_{i n}(t)
$$

$\square$ MF Independence Property, a.k.a. Decoupling Property, Propagation of Chaos

## Remarks

$\square\left(X_{1}^{N}(0), X_{2}{ }^{N}(0), \ldots X_{N}^{N}(0)\right)$ exchangeable

- Means that all the states that have the same occupancy measure $m_{0}$ have the same probability
$\square \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Prob}\left(\underline{X}_{1} N(t)=i_{1}, \underline{X}_{2}^{N}(t)=i_{2}, \ldots \underline{X}_{n}^{N}(t)=i_{n}\right)=$

$$
=\mu_{i 1}(\dagger) \mu_{i 2}(\dagger) \ldots \mu_{i n}(\dagger)
$$

- Application
$\operatorname{Prob}\left(X_{1} N(k)=i_{1}, X_{2} N(k)=i_{2}, \ldots X_{k}^{N}(k)=i_{k}\right) \approx$ $\approx \mu_{\mathrm{i} 1}(k \varepsilon(N)) \mu_{\mathrm{i} 2}(k \varepsilon(N)) \ldots \mu_{\mathrm{ik}}(k \varepsilon(N))$


## Probabilistic interpretation of the occupancy measure <br> (SI model with $\mathrm{p}=10^{-4}, \mathrm{~N}=100$ )


$\operatorname{Prob}($ nodes $1,17,21$ and 44 infected at $k=200)=$ $=\mu_{2}(\mathrm{k} \mathrm{p} \mathrm{N})^{4}=\mu_{2}(2)^{4} \approx(1 / 3)^{4}$
What if $1,17,21$ and 44 are surely infected at $k=0$

# On approximation quality $\mathrm{p}=10^{-4}, \mathrm{I}(0)=\mathrm{N} / 10,10$ runs 

##  <br> $\times 10^{3}$ iterations


$x 10^{2}$ iterations


# On approximation quality $\mathrm{p}=10^{-4}, I(0)=\mathrm{N} / 10,10$ runs 

Model vs Simulations




## Why the difference?

$\square N$ should be large (the larger the better)
a p should be small

- $p^{(N)}=p_{0} / N^{2}$
$\square$ For $\mathrm{N}=10^{4} \mathrm{p}=10^{-4}$ is not small enough!
$\square$ What if we do the correct scaling?


# On approximation quality $p=10^{4} / N^{2}, I(0)=N / 10,10$ runs 

Model vs Simulations



iterations

## Lesson

$\square$ You need to check (usually by simulation) in which parameter region the fluid model is a good approximation.

- e.g. $N>N^{*} p<p^{*} / N^{2}$



## SIS model



Susceptible
At each slot there is a probability p that two given nodes meet,

Infected a probability $r$ that a node recovers.

## SIS model



Susceptible

At each slot there is a probability p that two given nodes meet, a probability $r$ that a node recovers.

Infected

## Let's practise

$\square$ Can we propose a Markov Model for SIS?

- No need to calculate the transition matrix
$\square$ If it is possible, derive a Mean Field model for SIS
- Do we need some scaling?


## Study of the SIS model

$\square$ We need $p^{(N)}=p_{0} / N^{2}$ and $r^{(N)}=r_{0} / N$
$\square$ If we choose $\varepsilon(N)=1 / N$, we get

- $d i(t) / d t=p_{0} i(t)(1-i(t))-r_{0} i(t)$

$$
p_{0}>r_{0}
$$

$$
p_{0}<r_{0}
$$


$d i / d T$


Epidemic Threshold: $p_{0} / r_{0}$

## $\mathrm{N}=80, \mathrm{p}_{0}=0.1$

$$
r_{0}=0.05
$$

$$
r_{0}=0.125
$$




## Study of the SIS model

$\square \mu_{2}(t)=i(t)$
$\square d i(t) / d t=p_{0} i(t)(1-i(t))-r_{0} i(t)$
$\square$ Equilibria, $\mathrm{di}(t) / d t=0$

- $i(\infty)=1-r_{0} / p_{0}$ or $i(\infty)=0$
- If $i(0)>0$ and $p_{0}>r_{0} \Rightarrow \mu_{2}(\infty)=1-r_{0} / p_{0}$


## Study of the SIS model

$\square$ If $i(0)>0 p_{0}>r_{0}, \mu_{2}(\infty)=1-r_{0} / p_{0}$
$\square \operatorname{Prob}\left(X_{1}(N)(k)=1\right) \approx i(k \varepsilon(N))$

- $\operatorname{Prob}\left(X_{1}{ }^{(N)}(\infty)=1\right) \approx \mu_{2}(\infty)=i(\infty)=1-r_{0} / p_{0}$
$\square$ What is the steady state distribution of the MC?
- $(0,0,0, \ldots .0)$ is the unique absorbing state and it is reachable from any other state
- Who is lying here?


## Back to the Convergence Result

$\square$ Define $\underline{M}^{(\mathbb{N})}(\dagger)$ with $\dagger$ real, such that

- $\underline{M}^{(N)}(k \varepsilon(N))=M^{(N)}(k)$ for $k$ integer
- $\underline{M}^{(N)}(t)$ is affine on $[k \varepsilon(N),(k+1) \varepsilon(N)]$
$\square$ Consider the Differential Equation
$-d \mu(t) / d t=f(\mu)$, with $\mu(0)=m_{0}$
- Theorem
- For all $T>0$, if $M^{(N)}(0) \rightarrow m_{0}$ in probability (/mean square) as $N \rightarrow \infty$, then $\sup _{0 \leq \pm \leq T}| | \underline{M}^{(N)}(\dagger)-\mu(\dagger) \| \rightarrow 0$ in probability (/ mean square)


## Some examples



## Nothing to do with $t=\infty$ ?

$\square$ Theorem 3: The limits when $N$ diverges of the stationary distributions of $M^{(N)}$ are included in the Birkhoff center of the ODE

- Birkhoff center: the closure of all the recurrent points of the ODE
(independently from the initial conditions)
- What is the Birkhoff center of $\mathrm{di}(\mathrm{t}) / \mathrm{d} t=\mathrm{p}_{0} \mathrm{i}(\mathrm{t})(1-\mathrm{i}(\mathrm{t}))-\mathrm{r}_{0} \mathrm{i}(\mathrm{t})$ ?


## Nothing to do with $t=\infty$ ?

$\square$ Theorem 3: The limits when $N$ diverges of the stationary distributions of $M^{(N)}$ are included in the Birkhoff center of the ODE
$\square$ Corollary: If the ODE has a unique stationary point $m^{*}$, the sequence of stationary distributions $M^{(N)}$ converges to $\mathrm{m}^{*}$

## Outline

- Limit of Markovian models
- Mean Field (or Fluid) models
- exact results
- Extensions
- Epidemics on graphs
- Reference: ch. 9 of Barrat, Barthélemy, Vespignani "Dynamical Processes on Complex Networks", Cambridge press
- Applications to networks

