

# Distributed Optimization and Games

## **Introduction to Game Theory**

Giovanni Neglia

INRIA – EPI Maestro

2 February 2016

# Outline

## □ Preliminaries

- Auctions
- Matching markets

## □ Possible approaches to ads pricing

## □ Google mechanism

## □ References

- Easley, Kleinberg, "Networks, Crowds and Markets", ch.9,10,**15**

# Google's GSP auction

- Generalized Second Price
- Once all the bids are collected  $b_1 > b_2 > \dots > b_N$
- Company  $i$  pays  $b_{i+1}$
- In the case of a single good (position), GSP is equivalent to a 2<sup>nd</sup> price auction, and also to VCG
- But why Google wanted to implement something different???

# GSP properties

- Truth-telling may not be an equilibrium

# GSP example

Ads positions

1  $r_1=10$

2  $r_2=4$

3  $r_3=0$

companies

1  $v_1=7$

2  $v_2=6$

3  $v_3=1$

$r_i$ : click rate for an ad in position  $i$   
(assumed to be independent  
from the ad and known a priori)

$v_i$ : value that company  $i$   
gives to a click

- If each player bids its true evaluation, 1 gets a payoff equal to 10
- If 1 bids 5, 1 gets a payoff equal to 24

# GSP properties

- Truth-telling may not be an equilibrium
- There is always at least 1 socially optimal NE

# GSP example

Ads positions

1  $r_1=10$

2  $r_2=4$

3  $r_3=0$

companies

1  $v_1=7$

2  $v_2=6$

3  $v_3=1$

$r_i$ : click rate for an ad in position  $i$   
(assumed to be independent  
from the ad and known a priori)

$v_i$ : value that company  $i$   
gives to a click

## Multiple NE

- 1 bids 5, 2 bids 4 and 3 bids 2
- 1 bids 3, 2 bids 5 and 3 bids 1

# GSP properties

- ❑ Truth-telling may not be an equilibrium
- ❑ There is always at least 1 socially optimal NE
- ❑ Revenues can be higher or lower than VCG
  - Attention: the revenue equivalence principle does not hold for auctions with multiple goods!
  - Google was targeting higher revenues...
  - ... not clear if they did the right choice.



# GSP example

Ads positions

1  $r_1=10$

2  $r_2=4$

3  $r_3=0$

companies

1  $v_1=7$

2  $v_2=6$

3  $v_3=1$

## □ Multiple NE

- 1 bids 5, 2 bids 4, 3 bids 2 → google's revenue=48
- 1 bids 3, 2 bids 5, 3 bids 1 → google's revenue=34

## □ With VCG, google's revenue=44

# Other issues

- ❑ Click rates are unknown and depend on the ad!
  - Concrete risk: low-quality advertiser bidding high may reduce the search engine's revenue
  - Google's solution: introduce an ad-quality factor taking into account actual click rate, relevance of the page and its ranking
    - Google is very secretive about how to calculate it => the market is more opaque
- ❑ Complex queries, nobody paid for
  - Usually engines extrapolate from simpler bids