

K	CPU explicit (s/ Δt /node)	$\frac{N^{small}(K)}{N}$ (%)	Expected gain (scalar)	CPU pred. phase (s/ $K\Delta t$)	CPU cor. phase (s/ $K\Delta t$)	Measured gain (parallel)	Error (%)
10	$4.13 \cdot 10^{-7}$	0.015	8.69	1.81	4.36	2.93	$1 \cdot 10^{-5}$
40	$4.13 \cdot 10^{-7}$	0.040	15.38	1.83	17.35	3.82	$1.6 \cdot 10^{-4}$
Implicit						36.88	$2 \cdot 10^{-2}$

Table 1: **Spatial probe:** Time step factor K , CPU of the explicit scheme per explicit time-step Δt and per node, percentage of nodes in the inner region, theoretical gain in scalar mode, CPU of the prediction phase per time-step $K\Delta t$, CPU of the correction phase per time-step $K\Delta t$, measured parallel gain, and relative error.

Test case	Mesh size (vertices)	nproc	K	Measured gain (usual partition)	Measured gain (MCP)
Single cylinder Re=8.4M	4.3M	384	20	1.18	1.51
Tandem cylinder Re=166K	2.59M	192	20	1.2	1.29
Tandem cylinder Re=166K	16M	192	20	not computed	1.77
Tandem cylinder Re=166K	16M	768	20	1.02	2.0

Table 2: Gains obtained by parallel multirate simulations using usual partitions and parallel multirate simulations using multi-constrained partitions (noted MCP), when compared to the parallel explicit simulations using the same partitions.