# ANISOTROPIC NORM-ORIENTED MESH Adaptation for Compressible Inviscid Flows

### Loïc Frazza, Adrien Loseille, Alain Dervieux and Frédéric Alauzet

INRIA - Gamma 3 Project Saclay, France

ANR Saclay 2016

- Feature-Based Mesh Adaptation
- 2 Goal-Oriented Mesh Adaptation
- Orm-Oriented Mesh Adaptation
- O Numerical Examples



#### Feature-based anisotropic mesh adaptation

#### Deriving the best mesh to compute the characteristics of a given solution w

[Tam et al., CMAME 2000], [Pain et al., CMAME 2001], [Picasso, SIAMJSC 2003], [Formaggia et al., ANM 2004],
 [Bottasso, IJNME 2004], [Li et al., CMAME 2005], [Frey and Alauzet, CMAME 2005], [Gruau and Coupez,
 CMAME 2005], [Huang, JCP 2005], [Compere et al., 2007], [Loseille and Alauzet, IMR 2009], ...

#### Goal-oriented anisotropic mesh adaptation

Deriving the **best mesh** to observe a given scalar functional  $\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{w}) = (\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{w})$ 

[Venditti and Darmofal, JCP 2003], [Jones et al., AIAA 2006], [Power et al., CMA 2006], [Wintzer et al., AIAA 2008], [Leicht and Hartmann, JCP 2010], [Loseille et al., JCP 2010], ...

#### Norm-oriented anisotropic mesh adaptation

Deriving the **best mesh** to observe a given norm  $\|\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{w}) - \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{w}_h)\|_{L^2}$ 

- Observe multiple functionals simultaneously
- Explicit control of the implicit error  $\Pi_h w w_h$ :  $\|w - w_h\|_{L^2} \le \|w - \Pi_h w\|_{L^2} + \|\Pi_h w - w_h\|_{L^2}$

[Arian and Salas, AIAA Journal, 1999], [Hartmann, SIAM 2008], [Dervieux et Brettes, Eccomas 2014], , ...

Anisotropic Norm-Oriented Mesh Adaptation

### 1 Feature-based Mesh Adaptation

- 2 Goal-Oriented Mesh Adaptation
- 3 Norm-Oriented Mesh Adaptation
- 4 Turbulent Transonic Falcon

## Feature-based Anisotropic Mesh Adaptation

#### We propose a multiscale anisotropic mesh adaptation

[Loseille et al., AIAA 2007], [Alauzet, IJNMF 2008], [Loseille and Alauzet, IMR 2009]

• Optimal control of the interpolation error in L<sup>p</sup> norm :

 $\|W - \Pi_h W\|_{L^p(\Omega_h)}$ 

- Develops for analytical function
- W solution of the problem is unknown
- W<sub>h</sub> the numerical solution piecewise linear by element
- Assume it exists a reconstruction  $R_h(W_h)$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \|W - R_h(W_h)\| \le \alpha \|W - W_h\| & \text{where } 0 \le \alpha < 1\\ \Pi_h R_h \phi_h = \phi_h \,, \; \forall \phi_h \in V_h^1 \end{cases}$$

We deduce:  $||W - W_h|| \le \frac{1}{1-\alpha} ||R_h(W_h) - \prod_h R_h(W_h)||$ 

Apply interpolation error estimate to  $R_h W_h$ :

 $\mathcal{M}_{L^{p}} = \mathcal{K}_{L^{1}}(|H_{R_{h}(W_{h})}|) = D_{L^{p}}(\det |H_{R_{h}(W_{h})}|)^{\frac{-1}{2p+3}} |H_{R_{h}(W_{h})}|$ 

### Feature-based Mesh Adaptation: Algorithm

- 1. Compute state  $W_h$  on mesh  $\mathcal{H}$
- 2. Compute sensor  $u_h = u(W_h)$  and  $R_h(u_h)$
- 3. Compute optimal metric  $\mathcal{M}_{l^1}^{opt}(R_h(u_h))$
- 4. Generate a new adapted mesh  $\mathcal{H}$  which is unit for metric  $\mathcal{M}_{I1}^{opt}(R_h(u_h))$
- If not converge, goto 1.

#### **Properties:**

- Highly anisotropic meshes
- Capture all scales of the observed variable
- Global 2<sup>nd</sup> of mesh convergence for the observed variable
- Early capturing property: asymptotic convergence is reached faster



- 2 Goal-Oriented Mesh Adaptation
- 3 Norm-Oriented Mesh Adaptation
- 4 Turbulent Transonic Falcon

### Goal-Oriented Anisotropic Mesh Adaptation

We propose a goal-oriented error estimate for compressible Euler equations [Loseille et al., JCP 2010]

Problem statement and solving

• Minimize the approximation error of a given scalar output functional J:

$$|J(W) - J(W_h)|$$

• State equations:

$$A(W) pprox div (\mathcal{F}(W)) = 0, \qquad A \cdot W_h pprox div (\mathcal{F}_h(W_h)) = 0.$$

• Taylor expansion:

$$J(W) - J(W_h) \approx (rac{\partial J}{\partial W}(W), W - W_h) = (g_{go}, W - W_h).$$

### Goal-Oriented Anisotropic Mesh Adaptation

We propose a goal-oriented error estimate for compressible Euler equations [Loseille et al., JCP 2010]

• Optimal control of the functional approximation error in L<sup>1</sup> norm

$$egin{aligned} |J(W) - J(W_h)| &pprox (|
abla W_{go}^*|, |\mathcal{F}(W) - \Pi_h \mathcal{F}(W)|) \ with \ \mathcal{A}^* W_{go}^* &= rac{\partial J}{\partial W}(W) \end{aligned}$$

Solve this problem in the continuous framework

 $\implies \quad \mathcal{M}_{go}^{opt}(W) = \mathcal{K}_{L^1}(|\nabla W_{go}^*| \cdot |H_{\mathcal{F}(W)}|)$ 

- Highly anisotropic meshes
- Optimal distribution of DOF to observe j
- 2<sup>nd</sup> order of mesh convergence for the output functional is not guaranteed
- Early capturing property: asymptotic convergence is reached faster

### Goal-Oriented Mesh Adaptation: Algorithm

- 1. Compute state  $W_h$  on mesh  $\mathcal{H}$
- 2. Compute adjoint state  $W^*_{go,h}$  using  $g_{go,h} = \frac{\partial j}{\partial W}(W_h)$  and  $R_h(\mathcal{F}_h(W_h))$
- 3. Compute optimal metric  $\mathcal{M}_{go}^{opt}(W_h) = \mathcal{K}_{L^1}(|\nabla W_{go,h}^*| \cdot |\mathcal{H}_{R_h(\mathcal{F}_h(W_h))}|)$
- 4. Generate a new adapted mesh  $\mathcal{H}$  which is unit for metric  $\mathcal{M}_{go}^{opt}(W_h)$
- If not converge, goto 1.

Application to sonic boom :

$$j(W) = \int_{\gamma} \left(rac{p-p_{\infty}}{p_{\infty}}
ight)^2 \, \mathsf{d}\gamma$$



### Goal-Oriented Mesh Adaptation: Algorithm

- 1. Compute state  $W_h$  on mesh  $\mathcal{H}$
- 2. Compute adjoint state  $W_{go,h}^*$  using  $g_{go,h} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial W}(W_h)$  and  $R_h(\mathcal{F}_h(W_h))$
- 3. Compute optimal metric  $\mathcal{M}_{go}^{opt}(W_h) = \mathcal{K}_{L^1}(|\nabla W_{go,h}^*| \cdot |H_{\mathcal{R}_h(\mathcal{F}_h(W_h))}|)$
- 4. Generate a new adapted mesh  $\mathcal{H}$  which is unit for metric  $\mathcal{M}_{go}^{opt}(W_h)$
- If not converge, goto 1.



Multiscale mesh adaptation



Goal-oriented mesh adaptation

Anisotropic Norm-Oriented Mesh Adaptation

Feature-based Mesh Adaptation

- 2 Goal-Oriented Mesh Adaptation
- 3 Norm-Oriented Mesh Adaptation
- 4 Turbulent Transonic Falcon

### Norm-oriented mesh adaptation

#### Problem statement

• Minimize a semi-norm of the approximation error:

$$\|L(W) - L(W_h)\|_{L^2(\Omega_h)}^2$$

• State equations:

$$div(\mathcal{F}(W)) = 0, \qquad div(\mathcal{F}_h(W_h)) = 0.$$

Recast the problem within the goal-oriented strategy

• Taylor expansion of L:

$$\begin{aligned} (L(W) - L(W_h), L(W) - L(W_h)) &\approx \quad \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial W}(W)(W - W_h), \frac{\partial L}{\partial W}(W)(W - W_h)\right) \\ &\approx \quad \left(\left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial W}^*(W), \frac{\partial L}{\partial W}(W)\right](W - W_h), W - W_h\right) \\ &= \quad \left(g_{no}, W - W_h\right) \end{aligned}$$

 $\rightarrow$ A corrector is needed to estimate  $W - W_h = W - \Pi_h W + \Pi_h W - W_h$ 

### Norm-oriented mesh adaptation: Corrector

Non-linear corrector

- Minimize a defect/residual
- A posteriori analysis

 $div(\mathcal{F}(W_h)) = \delta \approx 0$ 

• A priori analysis

 $div(\mathcal{F}_h(\Pi_h W)) = \delta_h \approx 0$ 

• Create a source term accounting for the defect

 $div(\mathcal{F}_h(W_h)) = div(\mathcal{F}_h(\Pi_h W))$  $\approx div(\mathcal{F}_h(\Pi_h W_{h/2}))$ 

### Norm-oriented mesh adaptation: Accumulation

A posteriori source term :  $div(\mathcal{F}(W_h)) = \delta \approx 0$ 

• Compute  $\mathcal{F}_{h/2}(\Pi_{h/2}W_h)$ : one solver flux evaluation





### Norm-oriented mesh adaptation: Accumulation

A posteriori source term :  $div(\mathcal{F}(W_h)) = \delta \approx 0$ 

- Compute  $\mathcal{F}_{h/2}(\prod_{h/2} W_h)$ : one solver flux evaluation
- Accumulate back  $S_{post} = A_{h/2 \rightarrow h}(\mathcal{F}_{h/2}(\Pi_{h/2}W_h))$  on the current mesh
- Solve  $div(\mathcal{F}_h(W_c)) = S_{post}$  starting with  $W_c = W_h$





### Norm-oriented mesh adaptation: Accumulation

A posteriori source term :  $div(\mathcal{F}(W_h)) = \delta \approx 0$ 

- Compute  $\mathcal{F}_{h/2}(\prod_{h/2} W_h)$ : one solver flux evaluation
- Accumulate back  $S_{post} = A_{h/2 \rightarrow h}(\mathcal{F}_{h/2}(\Pi_{h/2}W_h))$  on the current mesh
- Solve  $div(\mathcal{F}_h(W_c)) = S_{post}$  starting with  $W_c = W_h$





### Norm-oriented mesh adaptation: Algorithms

- 1. Compute state  $W_h$  on mesh  $\mathcal{H}$
- 2. Compute an approximation  $W_c W_h$  of  $W W_h$
- 3. Compute adjoint state  $W_{no,h}^*$  and  $R_h(\mathcal{F}_h(W_h))$
- 4. Compute optimal metric  $\mathcal{M}_{no}^{opt}(W_h) = \mathcal{K}_{L^1}(|\nabla W_{no,h}^*| \cdot |H_{\mathcal{R}_h(\mathcal{F}_h(W_h))}|)$
- 5. Generate a new adapted mesh  $\mathcal{H}$  which is unit for metric  $\mathcal{M}_{no}^{opt}(W_h)$
- If not converge, goto 1.

#### **Observations:**

- A finer mesh *h*/2 yields 4*N* nodes in 2D and 8*N* nodes in 3D
   → A local solution is proposed
- Local submesh requires no more memory than the h problem
- Requires to be able to create several substructures and solvers
- Already parrallelized, reduces memory accesses

#### Sub Mesh Generation

- A local submesh is sufficient to compute fluxes
- Each edges flux is computed three times in 2D, four times in 3D





### Edge partitioning

- Total flux at vertex C is the sum of edges flux, it can be computed separately
- Each edges flux is computed with it's closest "master node" *ie.* the node of the coarse mesh
- Edges fluxes are computed only once
- Can be extended as well to Navier-Stokes and RANS computations by treating nodes and triangles





Anisotropic Norm-Oriented Mesh Adaptation

#### Order two computation

- Outer edges does'nt have anymore access to upwind or downwind elements to compute gradients
- Inner edges still have access to this information : a bigger submesh is not mandatory





#### 3D mesh generation

- The usual h/2 tetrahedra generates an edge that can't be included in any  $1^{st}$  order elements ball
- Adding an inner point solves this issue by creating new inner edges
- Edges must be appropriately shared



#### Validation on a manufactured solution

 $\bullet~\mbox{Quadratic density on}~[0,1]\times[0,1]$  with Dirichlet boundary condition

• 
$$\rho(x, y) = (x - 0.5)^2 + (y - 0.5)^2 + 1,$$
  
 $u = 1, v = 0, p = 1$ 





Anisotropic Norm-Oriented Mesh Adaptation



Anisotropic Norm-Oriented Mesh Adaptation

Impact of the choice of  $\mathcal{F}_{h/k}$  to estimate  $\mathcal{F}(u_h)$ 

		$\mathcal{F}_{h/2}$	$\mathcal{F}_{h/4}$
#vertices	$\ \Pi_u - u_h\ _2$	$\ \Pi_u - u_c\ _2$	$\ \Pi_u - u_c\ _2$
2625 10333 41001 163345	$\begin{array}{r} 4.014663e^{-4}\\ 9.481249e^{-5}\\ 2.378164e^{-5}\\ 5.900477e^{-6}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.512386e^{-4}\\ 3.182181e^{-5}\\ 8.213737e^{-6}\\ 2.060095e^{-6} \end{array}$	$1.097588e^{-4}$ $2.236964e^{-5}$ $5.927686e^{-6}$ $1.498703e^{-6}$
		improvement	improvement
		62.3% 66.4% 65.4%	72.6% 76.4% 75.0% 74.6%

Anisotropic Norm-Oriented Mesh Adaptation



- Sequence of meshes of size  $h, h/2, \ldots$
- Estimation of the convergence on the density, lift, drag
- A posteriori source term  $\mathcal{F}_{\frac{h}{2}}(W_h)$  (red) and  $\mathcal{F}_{\frac{h}{2}}(R_h(W_h))$  (blue)

### Validations of the corrector: 3D bump



• Similar observations hold for pressure, Mach number, velocity, ...

## Validations of the corrector: Subsonic Naca



• Similar observations hold for pressure, Mach number, velocity, ...

### Validations of the corrector: Transonic Naca



Anisotropic Norm-Oriented Mesh Adaptation

Feature-based Mesh Adaptation

- 2 Goal-Oriented Mesh Adaptation
- 3 Norm-Oriented Mesh Adaptation
- Turbulent Transonic Falcon

## Turbulent Transonic Falcon



Mach 0.8 with  $\alpha = 2^{o}$ Spalart-Allmaras RANS turbulence model

- Order 1 numerical scheme
- Local source term computation
- Initial mesh : 3.10<sup>6</sup> nodes

### Turbulent Transonic Falcon



• Similar observations hold for pressure, Mach number, velocity, ...

## Conclusion

• Norm-oriented mesh anisotropic adaptation

- Use of previous strategies : feature-based and goal-oriented
- Natural anisotropy : not given by a specific field
- Based on the dual control of :

#### Interpolation error and implicit error

- Source-term-based corrector
  - Simple source-term evaluation : multi-grid techniques
  - Light modification of the flow solver
  - Use of local meshes : no memory over cost
  - The corrector fits numerical scheme and dissipation order
  - Non linear correction
- $\implies$  The whole flow field is corrected
- $\implies$  Multiple functionals may be corrected simultaneously

## Next step : NS and Uncertainty Quantification

- RANS equations:
  - Kernel for goal-oriented Laminar equations [Belme Thesis 2013]
  - $\bullet\,$  Kernel for goal-oriented RANS equations  $\rightarrow\,$  source terms
- Mesh generation:

**CAD** Interaction



Boundary layer treatment

Validation