# Unified methods for computing compressible and incompressible flows on structured and unstructured staggered grids

D.R. van der Heul, I. Wenneker, D. Vidović, P. Wesseling

Delft University of Technology

and

J.M. Burgers Center

ta.twi.tudelft.nl/users/wesseling



Two themes: a. Unified methods for (in)compressible flows b. Irregular grids

- 1. Unification of governing equations
- 2. Extension of incompressible scheme
- 3. Accuracy of staggered schemes on rough curvilinear grids
- 4. Unified scheme for (in)compressible flow with arbitrary equation of state
- 5. HEM model for hydrodynamic cavitation
- 6. Unified method on unstructured grid



Objective: Mach-uniform accuracy and efficiency

Non-standard example: Cyclic sheet cavitation: 0.001 < Mach < 25

Approach to Mach-uniformity:

Generalize incompressible scheme to compressible case

Incompressible scheme: MAC scheme (staggered) Harlow & Welch 1965

Harlow & Welch 1965 On Cartesian grids this scheme is best.





# Unification of governing equations

#### Compressible

# $\rho_t + (u\rho)_x = 0$ $m_t + (um + p)_x = 0$ $(\rho E)_t + (\rho u H)_x = 0$

#### Incompressible

$$\rho_t + (u\rho)_x = 0$$
$$m_t + (um + p)_x = 0$$
$$\operatorname{div} u = 0$$

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} \rho \\ m \\ \rho E \end{bmatrix} \qquad | \qquad U = \begin{bmatrix} \rho \\ m \\ p \end{bmatrix}$$

$$m = \rho u, \qquad E = e + \frac{1}{2}u^2$$
$$H = \gamma e + \frac{1}{2}u^2, \qquad p = p(\rho, e)$$

- Discr. in space  $\Rightarrow$  DAE ! - Projection methods
- Distributive iteration methods
  - Regularization (Rhie-Chow)



$$V = \left[ \begin{array}{c} \rho \\ m \\ p \end{array} \right]$$

Pressure-based energy equation:

$$[p + (\gamma - 1)\rho q]_t + [u(\gamma p + (\gamma - 1)\rho q)]_x = 0, \quad q \equiv \frac{1}{2}u^2$$

Units:  $u_r$ ,  $\rho_r$ ,  $T_r$ Low Mach asymptotics, no acoustics:  $\epsilon = \gamma M_r^2$ 

$$p = p_0(t) + \epsilon p_1(t, x) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$$

 $p_1(t,x)$  is the quantity to be computed.



#### Unification: pressure-based energy equation

This motivates the following choice for the dimensionless pressure:

$$\tilde{p} = \frac{p - p_r}{\rho_r u_r^2}, \quad p_r = \mathbf{R}\rho_r T_r$$

Mach-unified equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_t + (u\rho)_x &= 0 \\ m_t + (um + p)_x &= 0 \end{aligned}$$
$$\mathbf{M}_r^2 \left[ (p + (\gamma - 1)\rho q)_t + (u(\gamma p + (\gamma - 1)\rho q))_x \right] + \mathsf{div}u = 0 \end{aligned}$$



Full equations are used; no use of asymptotics. As  $M_r \downarrow 0$ , incompressible form of equations is recovered. This makes incompressible solution methods (pressure correction, distributive iteration, ...) applicable.

As  $M_r \downarrow 0$ , accuracy and efficiency of incompressible case is obtained.



#### Colocated scheme

Hyperbolic system:  $U_t + f(U)_x = 0$ Colocated scheme:

$$\frac{dU_j}{dt} + \frac{1}{h}(F_{j+1/2} - F_{j-1/2}) = 0$$
$$F_{j+1/2} = f(U_{j-p}, \cdots, U_{j+q})$$

Scalar case: solution of monotone conservative scheme converges to entropy solution.

Systems, colocated, flux splitting: ("upwind")

$$F_{j+1/2} = F_j^+ + F_{j+1}^-$$





Staggered scheme for compressible flows: Harlow & Amsden 1968, 1971 Issa, Gosman & Watkins 1986 Karki & Patankar 1989 Shyy & Braaten 1988 Shyy, Chen & Sun 1992 Shuen, Chen & Choi 1993 Bijl & W JCP **141** 1998



Mach-uniform:

 $\lim_{M\downarrow 0} \{\text{scheme}\} = \text{classical incompressible scheme}$ 





Flux splitting not possible. Upwind bias for "monotonicity"? Convergence for compressible flow?

First test case: barotropic Euler equations Arbitrary  $\rho = \rho(p)$  (as in HEM model for cavitation)

$$m_t + (um + p)_x = 0, \qquad u \equiv m/\rho$$
$$\rho_t + (u\rho)_x = 0$$



**1D** staggered grid: 
$$\cdots m_{j-1/2} \quad \rho_j \quad m_{j+1/2} \quad \rho_{j+1} \cdots$$

$$(m^{n+1} - m^n)_{j+1/2} + \lambda (u^n m^{n+1})|_{j-1/2}^{j+1/2} + \lambda p^{n+1}|_j^{j+1} = d_{j+1/2}^n, \quad \lambda = \delta t / \delta x$$

Deferred correction:  $d_{j+1/2} = \lambda[(um)|_{j-1/2}^{j+1/2} - (\widetilde{um})|_{j}^{j+1}]$ 

$$\tilde{\phi}_{j} = \tilde{\phi}_{j-1/2} + \frac{1}{2}\psi(r_{j-1/2})(\tilde{\phi}_{j+1/2} - \tilde{\phi}_{j-1/2})$$
$$r_{j-1/2} = \frac{\phi_{j-1/2} - \phi_{j-3/2}}{\phi_{j+1/2} - \phi_{j-1/2}}$$

Matrix-free scheme.

Flux Jacobian or approximate Riemann solver not used



$$\rho_j^{n+1} - \rho_j^n + \lambda (u_{j+1/2}^{\beta} \rho_j^{n+1} - u_{j-1/2}^{\beta} \rho_{j-1}^{n+1}) = d_j^n$$
$$u^{\beta} = \beta u^{n+1} + (1 - \beta) u^n$$

Upwind to satisfy entropy condition  $\beta$  is tuned for stability:

$$\Psi \leq 0 \Rightarrow \beta = 1/2$$
  

$$\Psi > 0 \begin{cases} 0 < M \leq 1/2 : & \beta = 1 \\ 1/2 < M \leq 8 : & \beta = 1/2 \\ M > 8 : & \beta = 1/8 \end{cases}$$



Incompressible limit (M  $\downarrow$  0):

$$\mathbf{m}_t + (u_\alpha \mathbf{m})_{,\alpha} + \nabla p = 0$$
$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{m} = 0$$

Differential algebraic system (after semi-discretization)  $\Rightarrow$  Advantage of staggered grid

Pressure-correction method for efficiency as  $M \downarrow 0$ 

$$\mathbf{m}^* - \mathbf{m}^n + \tau (u_\alpha^n \mathbf{m}^*)_{,\alpha} + \tau \nabla p^n = 0$$

$$\mathbf{m}^{n+1} - \mathbf{m}^* + \tau \nabla \delta p = 0, \quad \delta p = p^{n+1} - p^n$$

Mass conservation equation gives pressure-correction equation:

$$\rho(p^n + \delta p) - \rho(p^n) + \tau \operatorname{div}\left(\mathbf{m}^* - \tau \nabla \delta p\right) = 0$$

Delft University of Technology

Delft

Handling of nonlinearity:

$$A\delta p + B\rho(p^n + \delta p) = b$$

Combination of nonlinear Gauss-Seidel and ILU-GMRES for robustness and efficiency:

$$GS \Rightarrow \delta p^{1/2} \qquad \delta p = \delta p^{1/2} + \delta p^{1}$$
$$\left[A + B\left(\frac{d\rho}{dp}\right)_{p^{n} + \delta p^{1/2}}\right] \delta p_{1} = -A\delta p^{1/2} - B\rho(p^{n} + \delta p^{1/2})$$

10 GMRES steps suffice

Convergence in 10 iterations



#### Validation: Riemann problem with nonconvex equation of state

Barotropic Euler in Lagrangian coordinates:

$$V_t - u_y = 0, \quad V = 1/\rho$$
$$u_t + p(V)_y = 0$$

This is the well-known p-system.

Riemann problem can be solved for arbitrary p(V) using Oleinik's entropy condition



#### Validation: Riemann problem with nonconvex equation of state



#### Note that we have an expansion shock

**Delft University of Technology** 

Delft

# Homogeneous equilibrium model

HEM model for hydrodynamic cavitation: Barotropic Euler equations:

$$\rho_t + \operatorname{div} \mathbf{m} = 0, \quad \rho = \rho(p)$$
 $\mathbf{m}_t + (u_{\alpha}\mathbf{m})_{,\alpha} + \nabla p = 0, \quad \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{m}/\rho$ 

Difficulty: strongly nonlinear nonconvex equation of state  $\rho = \rho(p)$ .



# Homogeneous equilibrium model



Nonconvex barotropic equation of state

 $p < p_1$ : vapor;  $p > p_2$ : liquid.



Homogeneous equilibrium model:

```
Delannoy (1989)
Merkle, Feng & Buelow (1998)
Shin & Ikohagi (1998)
Song & He (1998)
Ventikos & Tzabiras (2000)
```

Difficulties:  $10^{-3} < M < 25$ Strong nonlinearity of  $\rho = \rho(p)$ 

Cyclic sheet cavitation



# Accuracy of staggered schemes on rough curvilinear grids

Boundary-fitted coordinate mapping  $\mathbf{x_j} = \mathbf{x_j}(\xi_j)$ Piecewise trilinear in cells



Cell faces are doubly ruled. Exact formula for cell volume:

$$|\Omega_j| = \frac{1}{3} \{ \mathbf{b}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{s}_{1265} + \mathbf{s}_{4378}) + \mathbf{b}_2 \cdot (\mathbf{s}_{1584} + \mathbf{s}_{2673}) + \mathbf{b}_3 \cdot (\mathbf{s}_{1432} + \mathbf{s}_{8765}) \}$$
  
Delft

Accuracy of staggered schemes on rough curvilinear grids

Coordinate-invariant scheme required. Covariant derivative:

$$U^{\alpha}_{,\beta} = \frac{\partial U^{\alpha}}{\partial \xi^{\beta}} + \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \alpha \\ \beta \gamma \end{array} \right\} U^{\gamma} , \qquad \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \alpha \\ \beta \gamma \end{array} \right\} = \frac{\partial \xi^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\sigma}} \frac{\partial^2 x^{\sigma}}{\partial \xi^{\beta} \partial \xi^{\gamma}} ,$$

In general, coordinate mapping  $\mathbf{x}(\xi)$  not twice differentiable  $\Rightarrow$  schemes using Christoffel symbols {} are inaccurate on rough grids.

Staggered schemes can be accurate, if lack of smoothness of  $\mathbf{x}(\xi)$  is taken into account properly.

Coordinate-invariant staggered scheme without Christoffel symbols:

PW: Principles of CFD, Springer 2001



Define coordinate mapping  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}(\xi)$  uniquely by trilinear interpolation. Take nonsmoothness of  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}(\xi)$  carefully into account

Basic idea for avoiding  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \alpha \\ \beta \gamma \end{array} \right\}$ : first step: transform only coordinates , not components. Example: inertia term:

$$N(\rho, \mathbf{u}) \equiv \frac{\partial u^{\alpha} \mathbf{m}}{\partial x^{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \frac{\partial V^{\alpha} \mathbf{m}}{\partial \xi^{\alpha}}, \quad \sqrt{g} \equiv \det\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial \xi}\right)$$

 $V^{\alpha}d\xi^{\beta}d\xi^{\gamma}$  is volume flux through  $d\xi^{\beta}d\xi^{\gamma}$ : contravariant flux. Primitive staggered velocity variables:  $V^{\alpha}$ 

Finite volume integration over staggered volumes.





$$\int_{\Omega_{j+e_1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^1} (\mathbf{u}V^1) d\Omega = \int_{G_{j+e_1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^1} (\mathbf{u}V^1) d\xi^1 d\xi^2 d\xi^3 \cong \Delta \xi^2 \Delta \xi^3 (\mathbf{u}V^1) |_j^{j+2e_1}$$

Interpolation inside cell ( $\mathbf{x}(\xi)$  smooth!):

J Delft 
$$V_j^{\alpha} \cong \frac{1}{2}(V_{j-e_{\alpha}}^{\alpha} + V_{j+e_{\alpha}}^{\alpha}), \quad \mathbf{u}_j = \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial \xi^{\alpha}} V^{\alpha} / \sqrt{g}\right)_j$$

Delft University of Technology

<u>M</u>

Accuracy requirement on interpolations:  $\mathbf{u} \Rightarrow V^{\alpha} \Rightarrow \mathbf{u}$  exactly for constant  $\mathbf{u}$  on arbitrary nonsmooth grids Other terms similarly Last step: take inner product with normal face vector:

$$(\sqrt{g}\mathbf{a}^{(\alpha)})_{j+e_{\alpha}} \cdot \int_{\Omega_{j+e_{\alpha}}} N(\mathbf{u}, p)d\Omega = 0$$
 (no summation)

Result: equation for 
$$\frac{dV_{j+e_{\alpha}}^{\alpha}}{dt}$$
 (no summation)

Scheme is coordinate invariant.

Accurate staggered schemes on rough grids: He & Salcudean (1994),

Karki & Patankar (1988), Melaaen (1992), Wesseling, Segal & Kassels **T** Delft (1999). Delft University of Technology

Detailed inspection shows that discrete approximations to finite volume

integrals of Christoffel symbols can be recognized.



# Accuracy of staggered schemes on rough curvilinear grids



Grid for Poiseuille flow

Isobars for staggered scheme

Isobars for finite element method

Isobars for commercial colocated scheme



#### Isobars for different Mach numbers





 $M = 0, \ \alpha = 0$ 

 $M=0.1,\;\alpha=0$ 



### Isobars for different Mach numbers





$$M = 0.8, \ \alpha = 1.25^{o}$$
**TUDelft**

isoMach :  $M = 1.2, \ \alpha = 0$ 

Mach-uniform formulation

Dimensionless pressure:

$$p = \frac{\hat{p} - \hat{p}_0}{\hat{\rho}_r \hat{u}_r^2}$$

Pressure-based governing equations:

$$\begin{split} \rho_t + \operatorname{div} \mathbf{m} &= 0 , \\ \mathbf{m}_t + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\alpha}} (u^{\alpha} \mathbf{m}) + \operatorname{grad} p = 0 , \\ \mathrm{M}_0^2 \Big\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \big[ p + (\gamma - 1)\rho q \big] + \operatorname{div} \big[ \mathbf{u} (\gamma p + (\gamma - 1)\rho q \big] \Big\} + \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} &= 0 \end{split}$$



 $\lim_{M \downarrow 0}$  scheme = incompressible staggered scheme.

Therefore some terms must be implicit:

$$(\rho^{n+1} - \rho^n) / \Delta t + \operatorname{div} \left( \rho^{n+1} \mathbf{u}^n \right) = 0 \,,$$

$$(m_{\alpha}^{n+1} - m_{\alpha}^n)/\Delta t + \operatorname{div}_h(m_{\alpha}^{n+1}\mathbf{u}^n) + \nabla_h p^{n+1} = 0,$$

$$\mathsf{M}_{0}^{2} \Big\{ \frac{1}{\Delta t} \Big[ p + (\gamma - 1)\rho q \Big]_{n}^{n+1} + \mathsf{div}_{h} \Big[ \mathbf{u}^{n+1} \big( \gamma p^{n+1} + (\gamma - 1)\rho^{n+1} q^{n+1} \big) \Big] \Big\} \\ + \mathsf{div}_{h} \, \mathbf{u}^{n+1} = 0 \,.$$



Mach  $\downarrow 0 \Rightarrow$  Differential-algebraic system (stiffness  $\rightarrow \infty$ ) Computing work Mach-uniform  $\Rightarrow$  Use pressure-correction method:

$$(\rho^{n+1} - \rho^n) / \Delta t + \operatorname{div}_h (\rho^{n+1} \mathbf{u}^n) = 0,$$
  
$$(m_\alpha^* - m_\alpha^n) / \Delta t + \operatorname{div}_h (m_\alpha^* \mathbf{u}^n) + p_{,\alpha}^n = 0,$$
  
$$\mathbf{m}^{n+1} = \mathbf{m}^* - \Delta t \nabla_h (p^{n+1} - p^n).$$

Substitute  $\mathbf{u}^{n+1}$  in energy equation  $\Rightarrow$  pressure-correction equation.

Sequential update ( $\rho^{n+1}$ ,  $\mathbf{m}^*$ ,  $p^{n+1}$ ,  $\mathbf{m}^{n+1}$ ) allows efficient iterative methods.



Cells: arbitrary triangles.

(triangles greater challenge than quads for staggered schemes). Staggered: store only normal components.



$$\frac{\partial m_i}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div}\left(\mathbf{u}m_i\right) = -\nabla p \cdot \mathbf{N}_i.$$

$$\int_{CV} \operatorname{div}\left(\mathbf{u}m_{i}\right) \approx \sum_{e} u_{e}(\mathbf{m}_{e} \cdot \mathbf{N}_{i})\overline{l}_{e}$$





$$(\mathbf{u}_{k} \cdot \mathbf{N}_{k}) = m_{k} / \rho_{k}$$

$$\rho_{k} = \frac{\Omega_{3}}{\Omega_{1} + \Omega_{3}} \rho_{1} + \frac{\Omega_{1}}{\Omega_{1} + \Omega_{3}} \rho_{3} .$$
Approximation of  $(\mathbf{m}_{k} \cdot \mathbf{N}_{i})$ :
$$\mathbf{N}_{i} = \eta_{v} \mathbf{N}_{v} + \eta_{w} \mathbf{N}_{w}$$

$$\mathbf{m}_{k} \cdot \mathbf{N}_{i} \approx \eta_{v} m_{v} + \eta_{w} m_{v} .$$

Upwind:  $\mathbf{m}_k \cdot \mathbf{N}_i = \eta_v m_v + \eta_w m_v$  if  $u_k \overline{l}_k < 0$ ; =  $m_i$  if  $u_k \overline{l}_k > 0$ .



Pressure gradient: path integral method:

$$p_2 - p_1 \cong \nabla p_i \cdot (\mathbf{x}_2 - \mathbf{x}_1),$$

$$p_3 - p_6 + p_4 - p_5 \cong \nabla p_i \cdot (\mathbf{x}_3 - \mathbf{x}_6 + \mathbf{x}_4 - \mathbf{x}_5)$$
.  
Solve for  $\nabla p_i$ :

$$(\nabla p \cdot \mathbf{N})_i = \sum_{j=1}^6 \gamma_j p_j.$$



Viscous term: velocity gradients by bilinear interpolation:



Tangential components by interpolation from neighbors. Resulting viscous stencil:





#### Mass conservation



$$\frac{\Omega_1}{\Delta t}(\rho_1^{n+1} - \rho_1^n) + \sum_{e(1)} \rho_e^{n+1} u_e^n l_e = 0.$$

Central: 
$$\rho_i = (\rho_1 + \rho_2)/2$$
,

Upwind:  $\rho_i = \rho_1$  or  $\rho_2$ .



#### Energy conservation

Energy equation:  $\delta p \equiv p^{n+1} - p^n$ .

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{M}_{0}^{2} \bigg\{ \frac{\delta p}{\Delta t} + \frac{\gamma - 1}{2\Delta t} \Big( \rho^{n+1} |\mathbf{u}^{*} - \frac{\Delta t}{\rho^{n+1}} \nabla \delta p|^{2} - \rho^{n} |\mathbf{u}^{n}|^{2} \Big) \\ + \mathsf{div}_{h} \left[ (\mathbf{u}^{*} - \frac{\Delta t}{\rho^{n}} \nabla \delta p) (\gamma (p^{n} + \delta p) + \frac{\gamma - 1}{2} \rho^{n+1} |\mathbf{u}^{*}|^{2}) \right] \bigg\} \\ + \mathsf{div}_{h} \left( \mathbf{u}^{*} - \frac{\delta t}{\rho^{n}} \nabla \delta p \right) = 0 \,. \end{split}$$

Convection-diffusion equation for  $\delta p$ . Upwind scheme. Downstream influence by convection term, upstream influence by diffusion term. Linearized in  $\delta p$  and solved for  $\delta p$  with ILU-preconditioned GMRES.



# Kinetic energy



Evaluation of  $m_1$ : Least squares.



#### Euler solutions

NACA 0012, 9610 cells, 5002 vertices





# Mach-uniform efficiency

$M_{\infty}$	0	0.1	0.63	0.8	1.2
$\Delta t$	0.08	0.08	0.09	80.0	0.08
CFL		800	233	180	150
Tend	4.7	3.0	17	50	53
CPU/step	4.7	4.5	3.5	3.3	2.6
CPU/tot	279	165	668	2021	1645



P. Wesseling 41 - p.41/?



# Transonic accuracy



Mach number distribution. Second order scheme required.

## Shocktube problem





Comparison with classical schemes on 1D uniform grid.

## Incompressible Navier-Stokes

#### Driven cavity.





#### Driven cavity



Comparison of horizontal velocities along the vertical centerline for several Reynolds numbers.

 $n = 30 (\dots); n = 60 (-);$ n = 120 (-); Ghia et al. (o). n is no. of cells per wall.

# Driven cavity



Streamlines for Re = 10,000obtained on grid with n = 120.



# Conclusions

Generalization of classical staggered scheme to unstructured triangles and all speeds.

Mach-uniform method.

Accuracy similar to structured first order colocated for compressible flows.

For transonic flow, second order upwind required.

