Low Mach number limit of the full Navier-Stokes equations

Thomas Alazard

Mathématiques Appliquées de Bordeaux, Université Bordeaux 1 351 cours de la Libération, 33405 Talence, FRANCE thomas.alazard@math.u-bordeaux.fr

Governing equations

Full adimensioned Navier-Stokes equations:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + u \cdot \nabla \rho + \rho \operatorname{div} u = 0, \\\\ \rho \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \cdot \nabla u \right) + \frac{\nabla P}{\varepsilon^2} = \mu \Delta u, \\\\ \rho \left(\frac{\partial e}{\partial t} + u \cdot \nabla e \right) + P \operatorname{div} u = \kappa \Delta \mathcal{T}, \end{cases}$$

Governing equations

Full adimensioned Navier-Stokes equations:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + u \cdot \nabla \rho + \rho \operatorname{div} u = 0, \\\\ \rho \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \cdot \nabla u \right) + \frac{\nabla P}{\varepsilon^2} = \mu \Delta u, \\\\ \rho \left(\frac{\partial e}{\partial t} + u \cdot \nabla e \right) + P \operatorname{div} u = \kappa \Delta \mathcal{T}, \end{cases}$$

with parameters

$$\varepsilon \in (0,1], \quad \mu \in [0,1] \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa \in [0,1],$$

$$\varepsilon \approx Mach, \quad \mu \approx 1/Reynolds \quad \kappa \approx 1/Peclet$$

. ____

Governing equations

Full adimensioned Navier-Stokes equations:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + u \cdot \nabla \rho + \rho \operatorname{div} u = 0, \\\\ \rho \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \cdot \nabla u \right) + \frac{\nabla P}{\varepsilon^2} = \mu \Delta u, \\\\ \rho \left(\frac{\partial e}{\partial t} + u \cdot \nabla e \right) + P \operatorname{div} u = \kappa \Delta \mathcal{T}, \end{cases}$$

with parameters

$$arepsilon\in(0,1],\ \mu\in[0,1]\ ext{ and }\ \kappa\in[0,1],$$

the variables are

$$t \in \mathbf{R}$$
 and $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$.

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + u \cdot \nabla \rho + \rho \operatorname{div} u = 0, \\\\ \rho \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \cdot \nabla u \right) + \frac{\nabla P}{\varepsilon^2} = \mu \Delta u, \\\\ \rho \left(\frac{\partial e}{\partial t} + u \cdot \nabla e \right) + P \operatorname{div} u = \kappa \Delta \mathcal{T}, \end{cases}$$

We choose to work with the pressure P and temperature T.

 \rightarrow The system is supplemented with two state laws

$$\rho = \rho(P, \mathcal{T})$$
 and $e = e(P, \mathcal{T}),$

such that

- 1. existence of an entropy S : $dS = \frac{1}{T} \left\{ de + P d\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right) \right\}$;
- 2. positivity of the coefficients of isothermal compressibility and thermal expansion and of the specific heats:

$$ho > 0, \quad \frac{\partial
ho}{\partial P} > 0, \quad \frac{\partial
ho}{\partial \mathcal{T}} < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial e}{\partial \mathcal{T}} \frac{\partial
ho}{\partial P} > \frac{\partial e}{\partial P} \frac{\partial
ho}{\partial \mathcal{T}}.$$

We choose to work with the pressure P and temperature T.

 \rightarrow The system is supplemented with two state laws

$$\rho = \rho(P, \mathcal{T}) \text{ and } e = e(P, \mathcal{T}),$$

such that

- 1. existence of an entropy S : $dS = \frac{1}{T} \left\{ de + P d\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right) \right\}$;
- 2. positivity of the coefficients of isothermal compressibility and thermal expansion and of the specific heats:

$$\rho > 0, \quad \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial P} > 0, \quad \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \mathcal{T}} < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial e}{\partial \mathcal{T}} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial P} > \frac{\partial e}{\partial P} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \mathcal{T}}$$

Example: $\rho(P, \mathcal{T}) := \frac{P}{R\mathcal{T}}$ and $e(P, \mathcal{T}) := C_V \mathcal{T}$ $(R > 0, C_V > 0).$

We choose to work with the pressure P and temperature T.

 \rightarrow The system is supplemented with two state laws

$$\rho = \rho(P, \mathcal{T})$$
 and $e = e(P, \mathcal{T}),$

such that

- 1. existence of an entropy S : $dS = \frac{1}{T} \left\{ de + P d\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right) \right\}$;
- 2. positivity of the coefficients of isothermal compressibility and thermal expansion and of the specific heats:

$$\rho > 0, \quad \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial P} > 0, \quad \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \mathcal{T}} < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial e}{\partial \mathcal{T}} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial P} > \frac{\partial e}{\partial P} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \mathcal{T}}$$

Example: $\rho(P, \mathcal{T}) := \frac{P}{R\mathcal{T}}$ and $e(P, \mathcal{T}) := C_V \mathcal{T}$ $(R > 0, C_V > 0).$

Motivation : Low Mach number combustion.

Setting

- \rightarrow We consider: regular solutions.
- $\rightarrow\,$ We are interested in the limit $\,\varepsilon\rightarrow 0$.
- \rightarrow We take into account: general initial data, thermal conduction and general state laws.
- \rightarrow The analysis contains (at least) two parts:
 - 1. an existence and uniform boundedness result for a time interval independent of the small parameters ε , μ and κ .
 - 2. a convergence result to the solution of a limit equation.
- \rightarrow We (essentially) restrict ourselves to: the whole space case.

Five key assumptions:

- \rightarrow isentropic / non-isentropic;
- \rightarrow perfect gases / general state laws;
- \rightarrow inviscid / viscous fluid;
- \rightarrow unbounded / bounded domains;
- \rightarrow prepared / general initial data;

Five key assumptions:

- \rightarrow isentropic / non-isentropic;
- \rightarrow perfect gases / general state laws;
- \rightarrow inviscid / viscous fluid;
- \rightarrow unbounded / bounded domains;
- \rightarrow prepared / general initial data;

Existence of the solutions for a time independent of ε :

- S. Klainerman and A. Majda (isentropic, inviscid or vicous fluids, general data, \mathbf{R}^d or \mathbf{T}^d).
- S. Schochet (non-isentropic, Euler, bounded domains, prepared data).
- G. Métivier and S. Schochet (non-isentropic, Euler, general data, \mathbf{R}^d or \mathbf{T}^d).
- R. Danchin (isentropic N.S. \mathbf{R}^d or \mathbf{T}^d , global solutions with critical regularity, small data)
- T. A. (non-isentropic, Euler, general data, bounded or exterior domains).

Five key assumptions:

- \rightarrow isentropic / non-isentropic;
- \rightarrow perfect gases / general state laws;
- \rightarrow inviscid / viscous fluid;
- \rightarrow unbounded / bounded domains;
- \rightarrow prepared / general initial data;

Existence of the solutions for a time independent of ε :

- S. Klainerman and A. Majda (isentropic, inviscid or vicous fluids, general data, \mathbf{R}^d or \mathbf{T}^d).
- S. Schochet (non-isentropic, Euler, bounded domains, prepared data).
- G. Métivier and S. Schochet (non-isentropic, Euler, general data, \mathbf{R}^d or \mathbf{T}^d).
- R. Danchin (isentropic N.S. \mathbf{R}^d or \mathbf{T}^d , global solutions with critical regularity, small data)
- T. A. (non-isentropic, Euler, general data, bounded or exterior domains).

Incompressible limit

- S. Klainerman and A. Majda, S. Schochet for prepared data.
- S. Ukai (isentropic, Euler, general initial data, whole space).
- H. Isozaki (isentropic, Euler, general data, exterior domain).
- G. Métivier and S. Schochet (results in \mathbf{R}^d , advances in \mathbf{T}^d).
- T. A. (exterior domains).

Five key assumptions:

- \rightarrow isentropic / non-isentropic;
- \rightarrow perfect gases / general state laws;
- \rightarrow inviscid / viscous fluid;
- \rightarrow unbounded / bounded domains;
- \rightarrow prepared / general initial data;

Existence of the solutions for a time independent of ε :

- S. Klainerman and A. Majda (isentropic, inviscid or vicous fluids, general data, \mathbf{R}^d or \mathbf{T}^d).
- S. Schochet (non-isentropic, Euler, bounded domains, prepared data).
- G. Métivier and S. Schochet (non-isentropic, Euler, general data, \mathbf{R}^d or \mathbf{T}^d).
- R. Danchin (isentropic N.S. \mathbf{R}^d or \mathbf{T}^d , global solutions with critical regularity, small data)

T. A. (non-isentropic, Euler, general data, bounded or exterior domains).

Incompressible limit

- S. Klainerman and A. Majda, S. Schochet for prepared data.
- S. Ukai (isentropic, Euler, general initial data, whole space).
- H. Isozaki (isentropic, Euler, general data, exterior domain).
- G. Métivier and S. Schochet (results in \mathbf{R}^d , advances in \mathbf{T}^d).
- T. A. (exterior domains).

Many other results. Among others: D. Bresch, R. Danchin, B. Desjardins, I. Gallagher, E. Grenier, D. Hoff, C.-K. Lin, P.-L. Lions, N. Masmoudi, S. Schochet

Uniform stability

Theorem (T. A. 04) Uniform existence of solutions having general initial data in appropriate Sobolev spaces $H^{s}(\mathbf{R}^{3})$, together with uniform estimates.

Uniform stability

Theorem (T. A. 04) Let *s* be an integer large enough. For all positive \underline{P} , $\underline{\mathcal{T}}$ and M_0 , there is a positive *T* such that for all $a \in A$ and all initial data $(P_0^a, u_0^a, \mathcal{T}_0^a)$ in the Sobolev space $H^{s+1}(\mathbf{R}^3)$ such that P_0^a and \mathcal{T}_0^a take positive values, and

$$\varepsilon^{-1} \|P_0^a - \underline{P}\|_{H^{s+1}} + \|u_0^a\|_{H^{s+1}} + \|\mathcal{T}_0^a - \underline{\mathcal{T}}\|_{H^{s+1}} \leqslant M_0,$$

the Cauchy problem has a unique solution such that

$$(P^{a} - \underline{P}, u^{a}, \mathcal{T}^{a} - \underline{\mathcal{T}}) \in C^{0}([0, T]; H^{s}(\mathbf{R}^{3}))$$

and P^a and \mathcal{T}^a take positive values. In addition there is a positive M depending only on M_0 , \underline{P} , $\underline{\mathcal{T}}$, and s such that

$$\sup_{a \in A} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left\{ \varepsilon^{-1} \left\| \nabla (P^a(t) - \underline{P}) \right\|_{H^{s-1}} + \left\| \nabla u^a(t) \right\|_{H^{s-1}} + \left\| \mathcal{T}^a(t) - \underline{\mathcal{T}} \right\|_{H^s} \right\} \leqslant M.$$

Uniform stability

Theorem (T. A. 04) Let *s* be an integer large enough. For all positive \underline{P} , $\underline{\mathcal{T}}$ and M_0 , there is a positive *T* such that for all $a \in A$ and all initial data $(P_0^a, u_0^a, \mathcal{T}_0^a)$ in the Sobolev space $H^{s+1}(\mathbf{R}^3)$ such that P_0^a and \mathcal{T}_0^a take positive values, and

$$\varepsilon^{-1} \|P_0^a - \underline{P}\|_{H^{s+1}} + \|u_0^a\|_{H^{s+1}} + \|\mathcal{T}_0^a - \underline{\mathcal{T}}\|_{H^{s+1}} \leqslant M_0,$$

the Cauchy problem has a unique solution such that

$$(P^{a} - \underline{P}, u^{a}, \mathcal{T}^{a} - \underline{\mathcal{T}}) \in C^{0}([0, T]; H^{s}(\mathbf{R}^{3}))$$

and P^a and \mathcal{T}^a take positive values. In addition there is a positive M depending only on M_0 , \underline{P} , $\underline{\mathcal{T}}$, and s such that

$$\sup_{a \in A} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left\{ \varepsilon^{-1} \left\| \nabla (P^a(t) - \underline{P}) \right\|_{H^{s-1}} + \left\| \nabla u^a(t) \right\|_{H^{s-1}} + \left\| \mathcal{T}^a(t) - \underline{\mathcal{T}} \right\|_{H^s} \right\} \leqslant M.$$

Remark : one can replace \mathbb{R}^3 by \mathbb{R}^d with $d \ge 3$. In addition, for perfect gases, one can replace \mathbb{R}^3 by \mathbb{R}^d or \mathbb{T}^d with $d \ge 1$.

Singular system : Step 0 of the proof

The triple (p, u, θ) defined by

$$p := \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \log \left(\frac{P}{\underline{P}} \right)$$
 and $\theta := \log \left(\frac{T}{\underline{T}} \right)$,

solves

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + u \cdot \nabla p + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} g_1(\theta, \varepsilon p) \operatorname{div} u - \frac{\kappa}{\varepsilon} \chi_1(\theta, \varepsilon p) \operatorname{div} q = 0, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \cdot \nabla u + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} g_2(\theta, \varepsilon p) \nabla p - \mu \chi_2(\theta, \varepsilon p) \Delta u = 0, \\ \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} + u \cdot \nabla \theta + g_3(\theta, \varepsilon p) \operatorname{div} u - \kappa \chi_3(\theta, \varepsilon p) \operatorname{div} q = 0, \end{cases}$$
(ANS)

where $q = e^{\theta} \nabla \theta$. The coefficients satisfy

$$g_i > 0, \quad \chi_i > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad g_3 \chi_1 < g_1 \chi_3.$$

Important Features of the System

1) Instability: The linearized equations are not uniformly well-posed. The reason is

$$abla g_1(\theta, \varepsilon p) = \mathcal{O}(1) \quad \text{or} \quad
abla g_2(\theta, \varepsilon p) = \mathcal{O}(1).$$

2) No $L_t^{\infty} L_x^2$ estimates available when

$$\kappa >> \varepsilon$$
 and $\nabla\left(rac{g_1(heta, arepsilon p)}{\chi_1(heta, arepsilon p)}
ight) = \mathcal{O}(1).$

The reason is that we cannot factorize

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon}g_1(\theta,\varepsilon p)\operatorname{div} u - \frac{\kappa}{\varepsilon}\chi_1(\theta,\varepsilon p)\operatorname{div} q.$$

Step 1 of the proof : the norm

Definition Let $T\in [0,+\infty)$, $s\in {\bf R}$, $a\in A$.

$$\mathcal{H}^a_{s,T} := \Big\{ \left(p, u, \theta \right) | \mathcal{X}^a_{s,T}(p, u) + \mathcal{P}^a_{s,T}(\varepsilon p, \varepsilon u, \theta) < +\infty \Big\},$$

with

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{X}^{a}_{s,T}(p,u) &:= \|\nabla p\|_{L^{\infty}_{T}H^{s-1}_{x}} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^{\infty}_{T}H^{s-1}_{x}} + \mu^{1/2} \, \|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}_{T}H^{s}_{x}} \\ &+ e \, \|\nabla p\|_{L^{2}_{T}H^{s}_{x}} + e \, \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{L^{2}_{T}H^{s}_{x}} \,, \\ \mathcal{P}^{a}_{s,T}(\varepsilon p, \varepsilon u, \theta) &:= \left\|\Lambda_{e}\left(\varepsilon p, \varepsilon u, \theta\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}_{T}H^{s}_{x}} \\ &+ \kappa^{1/2} \, \|\Lambda_{e}\theta\|_{L^{2}_{T}H^{s+1}_{x}} + \mu^{1/2} \, \|\Lambda_{e}\varepsilon u\|_{L^{2}_{T}H^{s+1}_{x}} \,. \end{split}$$

where

$$e:=\sqrt{\mu+\kappa}$$
 and $\Lambda_e:=(I-e^2\Delta)^{1/2}.$

Step 1 of the proof : the norm

Definition Let $T\in [0,+\infty)$, $s\in {\bf R}$, $a\in A$.

$$\mathcal{H}^{a}_{s,T} := \Big\{ \left(p, u, \theta \right) | \mathcal{X}^{a}_{s,T}(p, u) + \mathcal{P}^{a}_{s,T}(\varepsilon p, \varepsilon u, \theta) < +\infty \Big\},$$

with

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{X}^{a}_{s,T}(p,u) &:= \left\| \nabla p \right\|_{L^{\infty}_{T} H^{s-1}_{x}} + \left\| \nabla u \right\|_{L^{\infty}_{T} H^{s-1}_{x}} + \mu^{1/2} \left\| \nabla u \right\|_{L^{2}_{T} H^{s}_{x}} \\ &+ e \left\| \nabla p \right\|_{L^{2}_{T} H^{s}_{x}} + e \left\| \operatorname{div} u \right\|_{L^{2}_{T} H^{s}_{x}} \,, \\ \mathcal{P}^{a}_{s,T}(\varepsilon p, \varepsilon u, \theta) &:= \left\| \Lambda_{e} \left(\varepsilon p, \varepsilon u, \theta \right) \right\|_{L^{\infty}_{T} H^{s}_{x}} \\ &+ \kappa^{1/2} \left\| \Lambda_{e} \theta \right\|_{L^{2}_{T} H^{s+1}_{x}} + \mu^{1/2} \left\| \Lambda_{e} \varepsilon u \right\|_{L^{2}_{T} H^{s+1}_{x}} \,. \end{split}$$

where

$$e:=\sqrt{\mu+\kappa}$$
 and $\Lambda_e:=(I-e^2\Delta)^{1/2}.$

$$\mathcal{H}^a_{s,0} := \Big\{ (p,u,\theta) \mid \|\nabla p\|_{H^{s-1}} + \|\nabla u\|_{H^{s-1}} + \|\Lambda_e(\varepsilon p,\varepsilon u,\theta)\|_{H^s} < +\infty \Big\}.$$

THEOREM Let $d \ge 3$ be an integer. For all integer s > 1 + d/2 and for all real $M_0 > 0$, there is a positive T and a positive M such that for all $a \in A$ and all initial data in $B(\mathcal{H}^a_{s,0}; M_0)$ the Cauchy problem has a unique classical solution in $B(\mathcal{H}^a_{s,T}; M)$.

THEOREM Let $d \ge 3$ be an integer. For all integer s > 1 + d/2 and for all real $M_0 > 0$, there is a positive T and a positive M such that for all $a \in A$ and all initial data in $B(\mathcal{H}^a_{s,0}; M_0)$ the Cauchy problem has a unique classical solution in $B(\mathcal{H}^a_{s,T}; M)$.

- \rightarrow Estimates for the "linearized" system (additional smoothing effect);
- \rightarrow Estimate from the slow components: $(\varepsilon p^a, \varepsilon u^a, \theta^a)$;
- \rightarrow Estimate for the incompressible component ($\operatorname{curl}(g_2^{-1}(\theta^a, \varepsilon p^a)u^a)$);
- \rightarrow High frequencies estimates : the commutators between $I J_{\varepsilon e}$ and the singular operators can be seen as source terms);

THEOREM Let $d \ge 3$ be an integer. For all integer s > 1 + d/2 and for all real $M_0 > 0$, there is a positive T and a positive M such that for all $a \in A$ and all initial data in $B(\mathcal{H}^a_{s,0}; M_0)$ the Cauchy problem has a unique classical solution in $B(\mathcal{H}^a_{s,T}; M)$.

- \rightarrow Estimates for the "linearized" system (additional smoothing effect);
- \rightarrow Estimate from the slow components: $(\varepsilon p^a, \varepsilon u^a, \theta^a)$;
- \rightarrow Estimate for the incompressible component ($\operatorname{curl}(g_2^{-1}(\theta^a, \varepsilon p^a)u^a)$);
- \rightarrow High frequencies estimates : the commutators between $I J_{\varepsilon e}$ and the singular operators can be seen as source terms);

The main part: Low frequencies estimates

 \rightarrow Firstly, estimates for $J_{\varepsilon e}(\varepsilon \partial_t)^s(p^a, u^a)$. Secondly, induction using the equations.

The limit system

Fix μ and κ . The triple $(p^{\varepsilon}, u^{\varepsilon}, \theta^{\varepsilon})$ solves

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial p^{\varepsilon}}{\partial t} + u^{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla p^{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} g_{1}(\theta^{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon p^{\varepsilon}) \operatorname{div} u^{\varepsilon} - \frac{\kappa}{\varepsilon} \chi_{1}(\theta^{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon p^{\varepsilon}) \operatorname{div} q^{\varepsilon} = 0, \\ \frac{\partial u^{\varepsilon}}{\partial t} + u^{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla u^{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} g_{2}(\theta^{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon p^{\varepsilon}) \nabla p^{\varepsilon} - \mu \chi_{2}(\theta^{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon p^{\varepsilon}) \Delta u^{\varepsilon} = 0, \\ \frac{\partial \theta^{\varepsilon}}{\partial t} + u^{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla \theta^{\varepsilon} + g_{3}(\theta^{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon p^{\varepsilon}) \operatorname{div} u^{\varepsilon} - \kappa \chi_{3}(\theta^{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon p^{\varepsilon}) \operatorname{div} q^{\varepsilon} = 0, \end{cases}$$

We expect that $\,(p^{\varepsilon},u^{\varepsilon},\theta^{\varepsilon}) o (0,u,\theta)$, where $\,(u,\theta)\,$ is the solution of

The limit system

Fix μ and κ . The triple $(p^{\varepsilon}, u^{\varepsilon}, \theta^{\varepsilon})$ solves

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial p^{\varepsilon}}{\partial t} + u^{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla p^{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} g_{1}(\theta^{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon p^{\varepsilon}) \operatorname{div} u^{\varepsilon} - \frac{\kappa}{\varepsilon} \chi_{1}(\theta^{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon p^{\varepsilon}) \operatorname{div} q^{\varepsilon} = 0, \\ \frac{\partial u^{\varepsilon}}{\partial t} + u^{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla u^{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} g_{2}(\theta^{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon p^{\varepsilon}) \nabla p^{\varepsilon} - \mu \chi_{2}(\theta^{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon p^{\varepsilon}) \Delta u^{\varepsilon} = 0, \\ \frac{\partial \theta^{\varepsilon}}{\partial t} + u^{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla \theta^{\varepsilon} + g_{3}(\theta^{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon p^{\varepsilon}) \operatorname{div} u^{\varepsilon} - \kappa \chi_{3}(\theta^{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon p^{\varepsilon}) \operatorname{div} q^{\varepsilon} = 0, \end{cases}$$

We expect that $\,(p^{\varepsilon},u^{\varepsilon},\theta^{\varepsilon})
ightarrow (0,u,\theta)$, where $\,(u,\theta)\,$ is the solution of

$$\begin{cases} g_1(\theta,0) \operatorname{div} u - \kappa \chi_1(\theta,0) \operatorname{div} q = 0, \\\\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \cdot \nabla u + g_2(\theta,0) \nabla \Pi - \mu \chi_2(\theta,0) \Delta u = 0, \\\\ \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} + u \cdot \nabla \theta + g_3(\theta,0) \operatorname{div} u - \kappa \chi_3(\theta,0) \operatorname{div} q = 0, \end{cases}$$

with appropriate initial data.

References : P. Embid, R. Danchin, S. Dellacherie, P.-L.Lions, ... ? Mathematical and Numerical aspects of Low Mach Number Flows June 21 - 25, 2004 / Porquerolles, France – p.11/14

Decay to 0 of the local energy

Only partial result! **PROPOSITION** (T. A.) Fix $\mu \in [0,1]$ and $\kappa \in [0,1]$. Assume that $(p^{\varepsilon}, u^{\varepsilon}, \theta^{\varepsilon})$ satisfy (ANS) and

$$\sup_{\varepsilon \in (0,1]} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \| (\nabla p^{\varepsilon}, \nabla u^{\varepsilon}, \theta^{\varepsilon})(t) \|_{H^s} < +\infty,$$

for some fixed T > 0 and s large enough. Suppose that the initial data $\theta^{\varepsilon}(0)$ converge in $H^{s}(\mathbf{R}^{3})$ to a function θ_{0} decaying sufficiently rapidly at infinity in the sense that

$$|\theta_0(x)| \leq C |x|^{-1-\delta}, \quad |\nabla \theta_0(x)| \leq C |x|^{-2-\delta},$$

for some positive constants C and δ . Then $(\nabla p^{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon \partial_t p^{\varepsilon})$ converge strongly to 0 in $L_{lo}^{2\ c}([0,T] \times \mathbf{R}^{\circ})$.

Proof

The proof relies upon a Theorem of G. Métivier and S. Schochet : If

$$\varepsilon^2 \partial_t (a^{\varepsilon}(t, x) \partial_t \phi^{\varepsilon}) - \operatorname{div}(b^{\varepsilon}(t, x) \nabla \phi^{\varepsilon}) = \varepsilon f^{\varepsilon}(t, x),$$

where

$$\begin{split} \phi^{\varepsilon} \text{ is bounded in } C^{0}([0,T];H^{2}(\mathbf{R}^{d})), \\ f^{\varepsilon} \text{ is bounded in } L^{2}([0,T];L^{2}(\mathbf{R}^{d})), \\ a^{\varepsilon} \text{ and } b^{\varepsilon} \text{decay to zero at spatial infinity :} \\ a^{\varepsilon}(t,x) \geqslant c, \quad |a^{\varepsilon}(t,x) - \underline{a}| = \mathcal{O}(|x|^{-1-\delta}), \quad |\nabla a^{\varepsilon}(t,x)| = \mathcal{O}(|x|^{-2-\delta}), \end{split}$$

$$b^{\varepsilon}(t,x) \ge c, \quad |b^{\varepsilon}(t,x) - \underline{b}| = \mathcal{O}(|x|^{-1-\delta}), \quad |\nabla b^{\varepsilon}(t,x)| = \mathcal{O}(|x|^{-2-\delta}),$$

Then ϕ^{ε} converges strongly to 0 in $L^2_{loc}([0,T] \times \mathbf{R}^d)$ to (0,0). We apply this rersult with $(\varepsilon \partial_t) p^{\varepsilon}$. Then we multiply the momentum equation by ∇p^{ε} to deduce convergence for ∇p^{ε} .

Conclusion

→ Considering regular solutions defined in the whole space, taking into account: general initial data, thermal conduction and general state laws, an existence and uniform boundedness result for a time interval independent of the small parameters ε , μ and κ , has been stated.

Conclusion

 \rightarrow Considering regular solutions defined in the whole space, taking into account: general initial data, thermal conduction and general state laws, an existence and uniform boundedness result for a time interval independent of the small parameters ε , μ and κ , has been stated.

 \rightarrow Concerning the convergence, it has been stated that:

 $p^{\varepsilon} \to 0,$

and

$$g_1(\theta^{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon p^{\varepsilon}) \operatorname{div} u^{\varepsilon} - \kappa \chi_1(\theta^{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon p^{\varepsilon}) \operatorname{div} q^{\varepsilon} \to 0.$$

Conclusion

 \rightarrow Considering regular solutions defined in the whole space, taking into account: general initial data, thermal conduction and general state laws, an existence and uniform boundedness result for a time interval independent of the small parameters ε , μ and κ , has been stated.

 \rightarrow Concerning the convergence, it has been stated that:

$$p^{\varepsilon} \to 0,$$

and

$$g_1(\theta^{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon p^{\varepsilon}) \operatorname{div} u^{\varepsilon} - \kappa \chi_1(\theta^{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon p^{\varepsilon}) \operatorname{div} q^{\varepsilon} \to 0.$$

 \rightarrow Additional results for perfect gases.