

Lesson 4 : Information geometry

Intro:

- last session on Information Theory: Fisher information
 - fundamental applications to many domains:
 - optimization
 - modeling: priors on parameters
 - MDL: precision/encoding-cost of a parameter?
 - end of the lesson: fast bandits, needed for projects
-

I - Fisher information

- Fisher information/metric/matrix
 - setting: Model(θ) \rightarrow $p_\theta(x)$
 - formula 1 : $J(\theta) = E_{x \sim p_\theta}[d^2(-\log p_\theta(x))/d\theta^2]$
 - average second derivative of the encoding cost, over all possible data under the model law
 - formula 2 : $E_{x \sim p_\theta}[d \log p_\theta(x)/d\theta^T d \log p_\theta(x)/d\theta]$
 - * covariance of the gradient of the cost, under the model law
 - * obtained by developping:
 - $d \log p/d\theta = 1/p dp/d\theta$
 - $d^2 \log p/d\theta^2 = -1/p^2 dp/d\theta dp/d\theta + 1/p d^2 p/d\theta^2$
 - $J(\theta) = \int_x [-...] = E[(d \log p/dt)^2] + 0$ as $\int_x d^2 p/d\theta^2 dx = d^2/d\theta^2 \int_x p dx = 0$

Why information “geometry” ?

- norms in the space of probabilities (variations)
- examples of observations: $x_1, x_2 \dots$
or $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \dots$ to learn a function $y = f(x)$
 \implies finding the right $\theta =$ learning the function
- second order of $KL(p_{\theta+\delta\theta}||p_\theta) = \int_x p_{\theta+\delta\theta}(x) \log p_{\theta+\delta\theta}(x)/p_\theta(x) dx$
 - use $\ln(1+z) = z - 1/2z^2 + O(z^3)$
 - use $d \ln f(z)/dz = 1/z df/dz$
 - use $\int_x p_\theta(x) = 1$ (for any θ) $\implies \int_x d^k p_\theta(x)/d\theta^k = 0$
 - From now on, all p mean $p_\theta(x)$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - p_{\theta+d\theta}(x) = p(x) + \delta\theta dp/d\theta + 1/2\delta\theta d^2p/d\theta^2 \delta\theta + O(\delta\theta^3) \\
& - p_{\theta+d\theta}(x)/p_{\theta}(x) = 1 + \delta\theta 1/p dp/d\theta + 1/2\delta\theta 1/p d^2p/d\theta^2 \delta\theta + O(\delta\theta^3) \\
& - \log(p_{\theta+d\theta}(x)/p_{\theta}(x)) = \delta\theta 1/p dp/d\theta + 1/2\delta\theta 1/p d^2p/d\theta^2 \delta\theta - \\
& \quad 1/2\delta\theta 1/p^2 dp/d\theta dp/d\theta^T \delta\theta + O(\delta\theta^3) \\
& - KL() = E_{x \sim p_{\theta+\delta\theta}}[\dots] = E_{x \sim p}[\dots] + \delta\theta \int dp/d\theta[\dots] + \dots \\
& \quad = 0 + 0 - 1/2 \delta\theta \int 1/p dp/d\theta dp/d\theta^2 \delta\theta + 1 * idem + O(\delta\theta^3) \\
& \quad = 1/2 \delta\theta E_{x \sim p}[d \log p/d\theta d \log p/d\theta] \delta\theta + O(\delta\theta^3)
\end{aligned}$$

- > metric associated to KL
- > curvature of relative entropy

// + differential entropy <-> Fisher information [Cover&Thomas p 672]

- In practice:
 - Fisher: $\int_{x \sim p_{\theta}}[\dots] \sim 1/n \sum_i[\dots]$
 \implies = empirical covariance or average Hessian
 - sum makes sense as in the same space (tangent of parameter space at point θ)
- link with Cramer-Rao bound, reached (theorem, Amari) [Cover&Thomas: chapter 11.10, p 418 (392) : estimator bias, Cramer-Rao]
 - estimator: given observation x_i , guess the parameter θ so that $p_{\theta}(x)$ fits the best
 - estimator unbiased if $E_{X \sim p_{\theta}}[\theta_{estimated}(X)] = \theta$
 - question: mean of estimator ok, what about the variance? is $|\theta_{estimated} - \theta|$ typically big?
 - Theorem: Cramér-Rao inequality:
 - variance(any unbiased estimator) $\geq 1/J(\theta)$ in dim 1
 - covariance(estimator) $\geq J(\theta)^{-1}$ in higher dims
 - Proof in dim 1
 - * unbiased estimator T
 - * $p = p_{\theta}$
 - * $V = d \log p/d\theta$
 - * Cauchy-Schwartz : $E_{x \sim p}[VT]^2 \leq E[V^2]E[T^2]$
 $\leq J(\theta)var(T)$
 - * $E[VT] = 1$:
 - * $E[VT] = \int_x p d \log p/d\theta T = d/d\theta \int_x p T = d/d\theta \theta = 1$

II - Natural gradient [Bensadon MDL talk 6]

- desired properties

- invariance to reparameterization
 - * importance of metrics
 - norm of a variation $d\theta$?
 - dependance to parameter representation
 - natural gradient
 - Approximations and related
 - $Hessian^{-1}$
 - diagonalized
 - Kalman
 - EM (expectation-minimization) = natural gradient step
 - Newton
 - exponential family
-

III - Universal coding

[Bensadon p 30]

- model with parameters
- data arrives \rightarrow 4 ways to update models / encode parameters :

1. Explicit encoding of parameters

- read all data, estimate parameters
- encode parameters
- re-read all data and encode data given the model with those parameters
 \rightarrow Pb: encode a real value? infinite number of bits?
- k first binary digits of θ : $K(\theta) = k$, precision on $\theta = 2^{-k}$
- $K(\mu) - \log(\mu(x))$: complexity vs accuracy \implies we'll see the optimal choice of k in next section (using Fisher information)

2. Parameters update, no encoding

- start from canonical parameters
- encode a bit of data
- update the parameters accordingly
- iterate

In 2: - no need to encode parameters! - gain: no encoded/hard-coded parameter
 - cost: the data is encoded with wrong parameters at the beginning, so its length is higher before parameters converge

3. Normalized Maximum Likelihood [Bensadon p 34]

- issue with 1:
 - given x , pick θ_1 and encode x with p_{θ_1} (encoding θ_1 first) or pick θ_2 and encode x with p_{θ_2} (encoding θ_2 first)
 - \implies these 2 codes are different but encode the same x
 - \implies redundancy \implies not optimal code
- solution: for a given x , set $p_{\theta}(x) = 0$ for all θ for which $p_{\theta}(x) < p_{best\theta}(x)$; denote $\theta(x) = best \theta$ for $x = argmax_{\theta} p_{\theta}(x)$
- and renormalize: $NML(x) = p_{\theta(x)}(x) / \sum_z p_{\theta(z)}(z)$
- issues: many, for instance $NML(x_1, x_2) \neq NML(x_1) NML(x_2|x_1)$

4. Choose a prior q over parameters

- and integrate over it: $p_{model}(x) = \int_{\theta} q(\theta) proba_{model,\theta}(x) d\theta$
- now independent of θ
- as if replacing $\sum_{\mu} 2^{-\mu}[\dots]$ by $\int_{\theta} q(\theta)[\dots]$
- encode
- Note: choosing explicitly a parameter is less efficient: [Bensadon p 34]
 - $\max(p(\theta_1) p_{\theta_1}(x), p(\theta_2) p_{\theta_2}(x))$ vs $\sum_{\theta} p(\theta) p_{\theta}(x)$
 - \implies longer codewords!

Cover&Thomas p 433-434

Example with Bernoulli(θ): binary sequence 001111000010100100

- code with θ known: entropy =
- count number of 1, encode it, select sequence among all sequences with that number of 1 = twice shorter
- uniform prior on $\theta \implies new proba(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \int_{\theta} p_{\theta}(x_1, \dots, x_n) d\theta \implies idem$
- Laplace estimate of θ on the fly: $p(x_{i+1}|x_{\leq i}) = \frac{\text{number of 1 so far} + 1}{i + 1 + 1}$
- from other prior on θ : Dirichlet(1/2, 1/2) ($= \beta$) : $p(\theta) = 1/\pi \sqrt{\theta(1-\theta)}$
 \implies as if $p(x_{i+1}|x_{\leq i}) = (\text{number of 1 so far} + 1/2)/(i + 1)$

IV - Parameter precision

[Bensadon p 30]

- precision when encoding / estimating parameters
 - cf Cramer-Rao
-

V - Prior by default

- Jeffrey's prior [Bensadon p 34]
 - Example: Krichevsky-Trofimov estimator
 - Bernoulli(θ)
-

VI - Examples / Miscellaneous

- justification of BIC? [Bensadon p 32]
- BIC : $K(\mu) = 1/2$ number of parameters * $\log(\text{number of observations})$
Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) [Schwartz, 1978].
- These 2 approaches leads to the same encoding cost:
 - two-part code prior (encode parameter then data):
 - * cost of encoding parameters = optimal precision * nb parameters
 - regret using Jeffrey's prior

// + CTW [Bensadon p 37] // + ex: music partition generation with RNN ?

Note: - maximizing entropy can be good: + $KL(p_\theta || \text{uniform}) = \sum_x p_\theta(x) \log(p_\theta(x)|X|) = \log|X| - H(p_\theta) \implies$ if you have no information on the law, pick the parameter leading to highest entropy

VII - Conclusion of the Information Theory part

- Information geometry
-