















### 1.2 A typical example: JavaBeans Graphical components in Java Quite simple : • a Java class (or several) • a naming convention to identify **properties**: public T getX () • method: public void setX () • method: private $\top X = \langle default value \rangle;$ • an attribute: • a communication pattern: Events, Source, Listeners and ... a class is turned into a graphical component ! The Java introspection allows to discover dynamically the properties, and to configure them, assemble JB interactively 9



























































# Programming vs. Composing The underlying model of parallel and distributed computing being used is FUNDAMENTAL. How to build components that actually compose: • semantics, correctness, • efficiency, predictability of performance, ... without a clearly defined programming model ? For 50 years, Computer Science have been looking for abstractions that compose: functions, modules, classes, objects, ... The semantics of a composite is solely and well defined from the semantics of inner components. *The quest is not over !*























# **Characteristics and optimizations**

Same semantics guaranteed (RDV, FIFO order point to point, asynchronous) Safe migration (no agent in the air!) Local references if possible when arriving within a VM Tensionning (removal of forwarder)





# **Characteristics and optimizations**

Same semantics guaranteed (RDV, FIFO order point to point, asynchronous) Safe migration (no agent in the air!) Local references if possible when arriving within a VM Tensionning (removal of forwarder)





# **Characteristics and optimizations**

Same semantics guaranteed (RDV, FIFO order point to point, asynchronous) Safe migration (no agent in the air!) Local references if possible when arriving within a VM Tensionning (removal of forwarder)

















### Abstract Deployment Model Objectives

Problem:

- Difficulties and lack of flexibility in deployment
- Avoid scripting for: configuration, getting nodes, connecting, etc.

63

A key principle:

- Abstract Away from source code:
  - Machines
  - Creation Protocols
  - Lookup and Registry Protocols

Context:

- Distributed Objects, Java
- Not legacy-code driven, but adaptable to it







### **Descriptors: Virtual Nodes in Programs**

Descriptor pad = ProActive.getDescriptor ("file:.ProActiveDescriptor.xml"); VirtualNode vn = pad.activateMapping ("Dispatcher"); // Triggers the JVMs Node node = vn.getNode();

C3D c3d = ProActive.newActive("C3D", param, node); log ( ... "created at: " + node.name() + node.JVM() + node.host() );

### // Cyclic mapping: set of nodes

VirtualNode vn = pad.activateMapping ("RendererSet");
while ( ... vn.getNbNodes ... ) {
 Node node = vn.getNode();
 Renderer re = ProActive.newActive("Renderer", param, node);


















Creation, Acquisition of	Remote Execution Control List of current processes solida.inria.fr   /net/home/lm pom.inria.fr   /net/home/lme lo.inria.fr   /net/home/lmestr	Create new process hostname lo.inrla.fr username Imestre java command path /net/linux-libc6/local/jdk1.3.1/bin/java policy file path home/Imestre/ProActive/demo/sc2001/proactive.java.poli classname to start fr.inrla.proactive.rmi.StartNode			
and Nodes		classpath DISPLAY=palliata.inri.fr]			
Protocols:	Stop selected process	Start new process			
rsh, ssh	Messages for process running fr.inria.proactive.rmi.StartNode           clear messages           J4:25.55 (AMT-EventQuove-0) => Command is rsh =1 lmestre lo.inria.fr /net/linux=libc6/local/jdk1.3.1/bin/java -cp /net/home/limestre/proactive-tmp./net/home/limestre/ProActive/classes				
Globus,					
LSF	14:25:33 (IM -> rsh -/ Imastre Io. In. 14:25:53 (IM -> rsh -/ Imastre Io. In. 14:25:53 (IM -> rsh -/ Imastre Io. In. 14:25:55 (IM -> rsh -/ Imastre Io. In.	>> Process started ThreadellN >> rsh -1 linestre lo.in m/ => Process started ThreadelRN >> rsh -1 linestre lo.in => ClassFilesrver bound on port 2005 with no codebases (reading resources from classpath) => Detected an existing RMI Registry on port 1099 => Protective Node successfully bound in registry at //lo.inria_fr/nodeSolida => OK. Node nodeSolida created in VM id=ed4be964c03e2377/45a877;ec61849b50:-7ffe			







Component Manipulation				
Configuration Frame Configure deployment descript components descript go on with this component name parallel wheels component 1 - STAR parallel 1 - UNBIND INTERNAL PARAL motor 1 - UNBIND INTERNAL PARAL composite 1 - START LIFE CYCLE parallel 2 - STOP LIFE CYCLE	or file is : not selected or file is : not selected s configuration GET COMPONENT parallel 1 - STOP LIFE CYCLE parallel component 1 - HALT WHEELS parallel 1 - BIND INTERNAL PARALLE motor component 1 - BIND WHEEL 2 composite 1 - ADD INTERNAL PARALL composite 1 - ADD INTERNAL PARAL parallel 2 - START LIFE CYCLE	Internal Components		
Selecting: Managing	component ar DEI g: life cycle, 1	nd deployment descriptors PLOY rebinding, in and out		



































## **Object oriented middleware systems** Parallel objects • ParDIS: K. Keahey and D. Gannon • PaCO: C. René and T. Priol

99

- Data Parallel CORBA: OMG
- PaCO++: C. Pérez, T. Priol and A. Ribes

Main differences

- Description of the parallelism
- Support for distributed data





































































CORBACoG
http://www.caip.rutgers.edu/TASSL/Projects/CorbaCoG/
Client Applications Client Applications
Secure IIOP
Naming Service Event Service Security Service
ORE     Grid     GRAM     GASS     GSI     Grid Service       Server     Object     Object     Object     Object     For other services
MDS       Gatekeeper       GASS       Net solve       Discover         Globus Services       Active Data Repository Services
From CORBACoG web site













## Conclusion -- Perspectives Not all models are equivalent: Component Orientedness Level 1: Configuration 2: Assembly 3: Hierarchic 4:Reconfiguration Specificity for GRID Components: Parallel (HPC), Distributed, Collective Op., Deployment, ... Reconfiguration Can programming models be independent of (Grid) Components ? Do not target the same objectives But can components ... compose, ... reconfigure without a clear model ? Reconfiguration is the next big issue: Life cycle management, but with direct communications as much as possible For the sake of reliability and fault tolerance ---> GRID Error, Exception handling across components Checkpointing: independent, coordinated, memory channel, ... Other pending issues: Peer-to-peer (even more volatile ... reconfiguration is a must), Security, ...

141

142

## Adaptive GRID

The need for adaptive middleware is now acknowledged, with dynamic strategies at various points in containers, proxies, etc.

Can we afford adaptive GRID?

with dynamic strategies at various points (communications, checkpointing, reconfiguration, ...) for various conditions (LAN, WAN, network, P2P, ...)

## HPC vs. HPC

High Performance Components vs. High Productivity Components




























## The ASP calculus: Asynchronous Sequential Processes

An Imperative and Parallel Object Calculus Together with Ludovic Henrio, and Bernard Serpette

**Objectives:** 

- Formally study the ProActive model
- Investigate various strategies for asynchronous calls
- Prove some equivalence between Sequential and Parallel programs
- Demonstrate the deterministic nature of sub-sets of the model









