



Shanghai Jiao Tong University

Mobility and Threads in Ambients

Xudong GUAN

In this talk ...

Part I. Introducing myself

Part II. Mobility and threads in Ambients

Who, Where, What

- Xudong GUAN, Ph.D.
- Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China
- Thesis: Ambients
- Other interests: Web Usage Mining, Wiki

Thesis: Ambients

- Robust Ambients: coaction parameters
- Evolving Type System: mobility and threads
- Algebraic proof of pi-encoding in pure ambients

Web Usage Mining

- Preprocessing
- Association: improving the pattern interestingness
- Clustering: fast session and page clustering
- Destination prediction and recommendation

Wiki - “The Writable Web”

- Collaborative Document Authoring
 - ⇒ Project documentation
 - ⇒ Resource and knowledge sharing
- Personal knowledge storage
 - ⇒ Bookmarking and comments
 - ⇒ Experiences recording
 - ⇒ Resource keeping

Part II Mobility and Threads in Ambients

1. Motivation

2. The type system: ETS-MT

3. Equational laws under ETS-MT

4. The new encoding

Motivation

- Levi and Sangiorgi, 00: algebraic proof of renaming, firewall-crossing, pi-encoding, ...
- Zimmer, 00: pi-encoding in pure ambients, non-algebraic proof, leaving one conjecture -- all the auxiliary reductions are confluent.
- Problem: Can we make use of the equational laws already developed in LS00 to prove the conjecture?

What we have: a review of the equational laws

- Untyped laws
 - ⇒ simple but restrictive
- Single-threadness laws
 - ⇒ the two interacting ambients must be single-threaded
- Uniform receptiveness laws
 - ⇒ built on single-threadness and immobility

What we want: a review of the encoding and the auxiliary reductions

- Channel name $\Rightarrow n[\textit{allowIO } n \mid \textit{server read } . \dots]$
- Variable name $\Rightarrow x[\textit{allowIO } x \mid \textit{fwd } M']$
- input process $\Rightarrow \textit{read}[\textit{request read } M . \dots]$
- output process $\Rightarrow \textit{write}[\textit{request write } M . \dots]$
- Communication steps:
 - \Rightarrow redirection: *r/w-in-x* *enter-in-r/w* *r/w-open-enter*
r/w-out-x
 - \Rightarrow meet: *r/w-in-n* *enter-in-read* *read-open-enter*
enter-out-read
 - \Rightarrow construction: *enter-in-write* *write-open-enter*
read-be-p *write-in-p* *p-open-write* *read-out-n* *p-*
be-read *p-out-read* *read-be-x* *p-out-x* *open-p*

Classification of the auxiliary reductions

- *read / write* entering and exiting n / x
⇒ $n / x : \text{imm}; \text{ read, write} : \text{ST}$
- *enter* entering and exiting *read / write*
⇒ *enter, read, write* : ST
- opening *enter, read, write*, and p
⇒ *enter, read, write, p* : ST
- Is this possible?

The problem of typing

- *read* is mobile, must be ST
- communication makes the resulting variable ambient $x[\textit{fwd } M / \textit{allowIO } x]$, which is not ST. As a result, it must be immobile.
- two renamings: from *read* to *p* and from *p* to *x*
- ---- the type systems in LS00 is difficult to handle here.

Solution: a small type system + a few trivial modifications to the encoding

- able to record mobility and threads of ambients and processes
 - ⇒ n is mobile: n may exercise *in/out* at some point
 - ⇒ threads of ambient: maximum number of concurrent top-level actions of the process inside
- special treatment to the *open/co-open* capabilities
 - ⇒ distinguish the behavior before and after opening
 - ⇒ e.g. *write* is mobile and x is immobile, x can open *write* to get *fwd M*

Part II Mobility and Threads in Ambients

1. Motivation

2. The type system: ETS-MT

3. Equational laws under ETS-MT

4. The new encoding

ETS-MT: grammar

- only records threads and mobility information

$$\Gamma = \{n:T / \dots\}$$

$$\Gamma /-- P:T \quad \Gamma /-- n:T$$

$$\Gamma /-- M:W$$

$$T \text{ (type)} ::= \perp / U / U[T]$$

$$W \text{ (context)} ::= --$$

$$U \text{ (pre-type)} ::= Z^Y \quad / U \cdot_t W$$

$$Z \text{ (mobility)} ::= \underline{\vee} \mid \curvearrowright \quad / T /_t W$$

$$Y \text{ (threads)} ::= 0 / 1 / \omega \quad / U[W]$$

Current type and future type (ex. in SA)

$U[T]$ U : current type, current behavior

T : future type, behavior after being opened

$\mathbf{0} : \underline{V}^0$ **in read** . $\mathbf{0} : \curvearrowright^1$

in read . $\overline{\mathbf{open}}$ **write** . $\mathbf{0} : \curvearrowright^1[\underline{V}^0]$

$\overline{\mathbf{open}} n . \overline{\mathbf{open}} m . ! \overline{\mathbf{in}} p : \underline{V}^1[\underline{V}^1[\underline{V}^\omega]]$

$\mathbf{open} m \mid m[\mathbf{open} n \mid n[\overline{\mathbf{open}} n . \overline{\mathbf{open}} m . ! \overline{\mathbf{in}} p]]$

$\rightarrow \rightarrow ! \overline{\mathbf{in}} p : \underline{V}^\omega$

Subtyping

$$\underline{V} \leq \curvearrowright \quad 0 \leq 1 \leq \omega$$

e.g.

$$\underline{V}^0 \leq \overset{0}{\curvearrowright} \underline{V}_1 \leq \curvearrowright^1$$

$$\underline{V}^0 [\underline{V}^0] \leq \underline{V}^1 [\underline{V}^0] \leq \underline{V}^1 [\curvearrowright^1]$$

Type operators

$$\bullet_t \quad \mathbf{in} \ m \ . \ \overline{\mathbf{out}} \ n \ . \ \mathbf{0} : \curvearrowright^1$$
$$\curvearrowright^1 \bullet_t \underline{\mathbf{V}}^1 \bullet_t \underline{\mathbf{V}}^0 = \curvearrowright^1$$

$$\big|_t \quad \mathbf{in} \ m \ | \ \overline{\mathbf{out}} \ n : \curvearrowright^\omega$$
$$\curvearrowright^1 \big|_t \underline{\mathbf{V}}^1 = \curvearrowright^\omega$$

$$\mathbf{in} \ m \ | \ n[P] : \curvearrowright^1$$
$$\curvearrowright^1 \big|_t \underline{\mathbf{V}}^0 = \curvearrowright^1$$

Typing of co-open

in read . $\overline{\text{open}}$ write . $\mathbf{0}$: $\curvearrowright^1 [\underline{V}^0]$

$\overline{\text{open}}$ write . $\mathbf{0}$: $\underline{V}^1 [\underline{V}^0]$

$\overline{\text{open}}$ write . P : $\underline{V}^1 [T_P]$

$\overline{\text{open}}$ n : $\underline{V}^1 [\text{--}]$

Typing of parallel co-opens

in $m . \mathbf{0} / \overline{\text{open}} n . \mathbf{0} : \curvearrowright^\omega [\curvearrowright^1]$

$\curvearrowright^1 \mid_t \underline{\vee}^1 [\underline{\vee}^0]$

$= (\curvearrowright^1 \mid_t \underline{\vee}^1) [\curvearrowright^1 \mid_t \underline{\vee}^0]$

$= \curvearrowright^\omega [\curvearrowright^1]$

$\overline{\text{open}} n . \overline{\text{open}} n . \mathbf{0} : \underline{\vee}^1 [\underline{\vee}^1 [\underline{\vee}^0]]$

$\overline{\text{open}} n . \mathbf{0} \mid \overline{\text{open}} n . \mathbf{0} : \underline{\vee}^1 [\underline{\vee}^1 [\underline{\vee}^0]]$

$M_1 . \overline{\text{open}} n . P_1 \mid M_2 . \overline{\text{open}} n . P_2 : U_1[T_1] \mid_t U_2[T_2] = ?$

Typing of open

$$\text{open } n . \mathbf{0} \mid n [\overline{\text{open}} n . \mathbf{0}] \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$$

$$n : \underline{V}^1 [\underline{V}^0] \quad \text{open } n . \mathbf{0} : \underline{V}^1 \cdot_t \underline{V}^0$$

$$\text{open } n . \mathbf{0} \mid n [\overline{\text{open}} n . Q] \rightarrow Q$$

$$n : \underline{V}^1 [T_Q] \quad \text{open } n . \mathbf{0} : \underline{V}^1 \cdot_t T_Q$$

$$\text{open } n . P \mid n [\overline{\text{open}} n . Q] \rightarrow P \mid Q$$

$$P : T_P \quad n : \underline{V}^1 [T_Q] \quad \text{open } n . P : \underline{V}^1 \cdot_t (T_P \mid_t T_Q)$$

$$\text{open } n : \underline{V}^1 \cdot_t (_ \mid_t T_Q)$$

Relating ETS-MT and grave interference

grave interference \implies 

Part II Mobility and Threads in Ambients

1. Motivation

2. The type system: ETS-MT

3. Equational laws under ETS-MT

4. The new encoding

Single-threadedness and immobility

- $Current(U)=U$, $Current(U[T])=U$
- $Future(U[T])=T$
- ST: $P/n:T$ and $Current(T).threads \leq 1$
- I: $P/n:U$ and $U.mobility = \underline{V}$

Six uniform receptiveness structures

- $read [\mathbf{in} \ n . P_1 / Q_1] \mid n [\mathbf{!in} \ n . P_2 \mid Q_2]$
 $\Rightarrow read : ST, \ n : I$
- $\mathbf{!} \ enter[\mathbf{in} \ read . P_1 / Q_1] \mid read [\mathbf{in} \ read . P_2 \mid Q_2]$
 $\Rightarrow read : ST$
- other 4 cases:
 $\mathbf{!}n[\mathbf{out} \ \dots], \ n[\mathbf{!out} \ \dots], \ \mathbf{!open} \ n \dots, \ \mathbf{!}n[\mathbf{open} \ \dots]$

Part II Mobility and Threads in Ambients

1. Motivation

2. The type system: ETS-MT

3. Equational laws under ETS-MT

4. The new encoding

Single-threaded encoding in pure SA

- some results:
 - ⇒ *read, write, enter* : ST
 - ⇒ *n, x* : I
 - ⇒ no renaming
- the encoding:

$$\{(\nu n)P\} \triangleq (\nu n:\underline{V}^\omega) \\ (n[\text{allowIO } n / n_1 [\text{allowIO } n_1] \\ / \text{server write} . \mathbf{in} \ n_1 . \mathbf{in} \ \overline{\text{read}} . \mathbf{open} \ \overline{\text{write}} \\ / \text{server read} . \mathbf{in} \ n_1 . \mathbf{in} \ \overline{\text{read}} . \mathbf{out} \ n_1 . \mathbf{out} \ n . \overline{\mathbf{out}} \ \overline{\text{read}} \\ . \mathbf{open} \ \overline{\text{write}} . \overline{\mathbf{out}} \ \overline{\text{read}} . \mathbf{open} \ r_2 . \overline{\mathbf{open}} \ \overline{\text{read}}] \\ | \{P\})$$

$$\{M(x).P\} \triangleq \text{read} [\text{request read } M \\ / (\nu x:\underline{V}^\omega) \\ (c_1 [\mathbf{out} \ \overline{\text{read}} . \overline{\mathbf{open}} \ c_1 . \{P\}] \\ | r_1 [\mathbf{in} \ \overline{r_1} . \mathbf{in} \ x . \overline{\mathbf{open}} \ r_1] \\ | x [\mathbf{in} \ \overline{x} . \mathbf{open} \ r_1 . \mathbf{open} \ w_1 \\ . (\text{allowIO } x | r_2 [\mathbf{out} \ x . \overline{\mathbf{open}} \ r_2])])]$$

$$| \mathbf{open} \ c_1 | \mathbf{open} \ c_2 | \mathbf{open} \ \overline{\text{read}}$$

$$\{M\langle M' \rangle.P\} \triangleq \text{write} [\text{request write } M \\ / c_2 [\mathbf{out} \ \overline{\text{read}} . \overline{\mathbf{open}} \ c_2 . \{P\}] \\ / w_1 [\mathbf{in} \ \overline{r_1} . \overline{\mathbf{open}} \ w_1 . \text{fwd } M']]$$

$$\{0\} \triangleq 0$$

$$\{P/Q\} \triangleq \{P\} \{Q\}$$

$$\{!P\} \triangleq !\{P\}$$

Typing of the encoding

$$\{(v n)P\} \triangleq (v n : \underline{V}^\omega) \\
(n [\text{allowIO } n / n_1 [\text{allowIO } n_1] \text{ } \overline{\text{ }} \\
/ \text{server write} . \mathbf{in} \ n_1 . \mathbf{in} \ \overline{\text{read}} . \mathbf{open} \ \overline{\text{write}} \\
/ \text{server read} . \mathbf{in} \ n_1 . \mathbf{in} \ \overline{\text{read}} . \mathbf{out} \ n_1 . \mathbf{out} \ n . \overline{\text{out read}} \\
. \mathbf{open} \ \overline{\text{write}} . \overline{\text{out read}} . \mathbf{open} \ r_2 . \overline{\text{open read}}] \\
| \{P\})$$

$$\text{enter} : \curvearrow^1 [\curvearrow^1 [\underline{V}^0]]$$

$$\text{read}, \text{write}, r_1, r_2, w_1 : \curvearrow^1 [\underline{V}^0]$$

$$c_1, c_2 : \curvearrow^1 [\underline{V}^\omega] \qquad n_1 : \underline{V}^\omega$$

$$n, x : \underline{V}^\omega \qquad \{P\} : \underline{V}^\omega$$

The result

- Auxiliary reductions, and even some primary reductions are confluent, the only interference is the mutual selections of *reads* and *writes* inside channels.

Conclusion

- verification made easy by typing, but
- typing is not easy

$$m [\overline{\mathbf{out}} n / n [\mathbf{out} m . (! \overline{\mathbf{in}} n \mid ! \overline{\mathbf{out}} n) / p[P]]]$$

--- *The End* ---