Generalised Recursion in ML and Mixins

Seminar in Aalborg – November 24th, 2004

Pascal Zimmer

pzimmer@daimi.au.dk

BRICS

Generalised Recursion in ML and Mixins - p. 1

General motivation

To design a base language with:

- functional core
- objects
- well-defined semantics, that can be realistically implemented

• ML-like inference of principal types in the goal of adding other paradigms (migration, reactive)...

Outline

- semantics of object languages
- a language with recursive records and generalised recursion
- a type system with degrees
- implementation, abstract machine
- mixins

Semantics of objects 1

Auto-application semantics

- model initiated by Kamin, 1988; reference: Abadi and Cardelli, 1996
- object = collection of pre-methods:

$$o = [\dots, l = \zeta(\text{self}) b, \dots]$$

• method call:

$$o.l \Rightarrow b \{ self \leftarrow o \}$$

- specific typing
- inference of principal types impossible

Semantics of objects 2

Recursive record semantics

- Cardelli 1988, Wand 1994, Cook 1994
- class:

 $C = \lambda x_1 \dots \lambda x_n \text{ } \lambda \text{ self } \{l_1 = M_1, \dots, l_p = M_p\}$

- object: $o = \operatorname{fix}(CN_1 \dots N_n)$
- row variables to extend the object
- no modification of the state, since self is bound to the initial object
- typing model of OCAML

Language proposition

- Wand's recursive record semantics
- ML-like references to hold the state of the object
- examples:

 $point = \lambda x \lambda self$ $\{pos = ref x, \\ move = \lambda y (self.pos := !self.pos + y)\}$ p = fix (point 4) $color_point = \lambda x \lambda c \lambda self$ $\{point x self, color = ref c\}$

Evaluating the fixpoint

• Problem: how can we evaluate the fixpoint ?

fix = λf (let rec x = fx in x)

• In SML, only allowed construct:

let rec $x = \lambda y N$ in M

- We need a generalised recursion operator
- But some recursions are dangerous:

let rec x = xV in M

let rec x = x + 1 in M

Type system with degrees

- Boudol, 2001
- degree = boolean information in function types and in typing contexts

$$\theta^d \to \tau$$

- 0 = "dangerous", 1 = "sure"
- intuitively: is the value required or not when evaluating
- (let rec x = N in M) is typable iff N is typable with a degree 1 for x
- (let rec x = fx in M) is typable iff f has type $\theta^1 \to \tau$ ("protective" function)

Degrees - examples

• example of protective function:

 $point0 = \lambda self$ $\{pos = ref 0,$ $move = \lambda y (self.pos := !self.pos + y)\}$

- fix = $\lambda f(\text{let rec } x = fx \text{ in } x)$ has type: $(\tau^1 \to \tau)^0 \to \tau$
- $\lambda \operatorname{self} \{x = 0, y = \operatorname{self} x\}$ has type: $\{\rho, x : \tau\}^0 \to \{x : int, y : \tau\}$ where ρ is a row variable with the constraint $\rho :: \{x\}$

Degrees - results

- subject reduction
- safety: the evaluation of a typable term never leads to an error (recursion, field access, applications...)
- algorithm for infering principal types, extension of ML's one

Unification and inference algorithms

- more "realistic" and efficient versions
- working on graphs (recursive types)
- unification of degrees, records, types
- polymorphism similar to ML, on degree, row or type variables; generalising for:

let (rec)
$$x = V$$
 in M

• constraints on row variables ($\rho :: L$) and degree variables; example: $\lambda f \lambda x(fx)$ has type $(\theta^{\alpha} \to \tau)^{\beta} \to \theta^{\gamma} \to \tau$ with $\gamma \leq \alpha$

Abstract machine

• we need to evaluate terms with the shape

 $(\lambda \mathrm{self}M) o$

where o is a still unevaluated variable, knowing that the value of self is not needed to evaluate M

• usual machines for λ -calculus or ML do not allow the evaluation of generalised recursion

Abstract machine

 $\mathcal{M} = (S, \sigma, M, \xi)$

- S: control stack
- σ : environment
- *M*: term to evaluate
- ξ : memory for recursive values (and references)
- set of 11 transition rules, among which a "magic" rule:

$$(S :: (\sigma \lambda y M[]), \rho :: \{x \mapsto \ell\}, x, \xi) \rightarrow (S, \sigma :: \{y \mapsto \ell\}, M, \xi) \qquad \text{if } \xi(\ell) = \bullet$$

Abstract machine

- operational correspondence
- determinism
- no infinite "silent" reductions
- correction:

if the starting term is typable, then both the machine and the calculus semantics go through the same reductions

MLOBJ

http://www-sop.inria.fr/mimosa/Pascal.Zimmer/mlobj.html

OCAML-like interpreter...

Mixins

- goal: use higher-order constructs to build more powerful objects
- generator: $\lambda s \{\ldots\}$
- mixin: generator modifier

 $C = \lambda x_1 \dots \lambda x_n \lambda g \lambda s \{\dots \text{ fields} \dots \text{ methods} \dots\}$

• instance (λs {} is the initial generator):

fix $(CN_1 \dots N_n(\lambda s \{\}))$

• new operator:

 $new = \lambda m \text{ fix } (m (\lambda s \{\}))$

Mixins - definition

Implemented by syntactic sugar rules.

mixin

var l = N $\operatorname{cst} l = N$ **meth** l(super, self) = N method **meth** $l(\text{super}, \text{self}) \leftarrow N$ method override inherit N without *l* rename l as l'end

non-constant data constant data inheritance field suppression field renaming

Method call: M # l

Mixins - examples

 $point = \lambda x$ **mixin var** pos = x **meth** $move \dots$ **end** $coloring = \lambda c$ **mixin var** color = c **meth** $paint \dots$ **end**

 $color Point = \lambda x \lambda c$ **mixin inherit** point x **inherit** coloring c **end**

 \Rightarrow multiple inheritance

Mixins - examples

reset = **mixin meth** reset(super, self) = self.pos := 0 **end**

 $resetPoint = \lambda x$ **mixin inherit** point x **inherit** reset **end**

 $resetColorPoint = \lambda x \lambda c$ **mixin inherit** colorPoint x c **inherit** reset **end**

 \Rightarrow code sharing

Mixins - examples

mixin meth $reset(super, self) \leftarrow \lambda d (super # reset; super # paint d)$ end

- Typing determines which mixins can be instantiated and which cannot.
- By changing the initial generator, one can get initialisers.
- Mixins = first order values
 ⇒ a huge expressive power still to be explored !

And after ?

 advanced functionalities: cloning, binary methods... :

meth $eq(super, self) = \lambda p (self.pos == p.pos)$

- operationally, no problem
- typing: not enough polymorphism !
- System F ? type inference undecidable...
- intersection types ? finite-rank inference is decidable...
 ⇒ 2nd part of PhD thesis: new inference algorithm for intersection types

Future

- integrate intersection types in the language MLOBJ
- polymorphic methods in MLOBJ
- study the expressivity of mixins more closely
- extend the language with other paradigms

The end