

# On Type Inference in the Intersection Type Discipline

*ITRS'04 – July 13th, 2004*

G rard Boudol and Pascal Zimmer

INRIA Sophia Antipolis

# History

- system  $\mathcal{D}$ : Coppo, Dezani, 1980; Pottinger, 1980
- principal typing: Coppo, Dezani and Venneri, 1980; Ronchi della Rocca and Venneri, 1984
- inference: Ronchi della Rocca, 1988
- system  $\mathbb{I}$ : Kfoury and Wells, 1999  
(expansion variables)
- system E: Carlier, Kfoury, Polakow and Wells, 2004

# Types syntax

$$\tau, \sigma \dots ::= t \mid \pi \rightarrow \sigma$$

$$\pi, \kappa \dots ::= \omega \mid \tau \mid \pi \wedge \kappa$$

- conjunction only at the left of an arrow
- empty sequence denoted by  $\omega$
- types considered modulo the congruence  $\equiv_{UACI}$ :

$$\omega \wedge \pi \equiv \pi \quad (U)$$

$$(\pi_0 \wedge \pi_1) \wedge \pi_2 \equiv \pi_0 \wedge (\pi_1 \wedge \pi_2) \quad (A)$$

$$\pi_0 \wedge \pi_1 \equiv \pi_1 \wedge \pi_0 \quad (C)$$

$$\pi \wedge \pi \equiv \pi \quad (I)$$

and written  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n \rightarrow \sigma$ .

# Typing rules

$$\frac{}{x : \tau \vdash x : \tau} \text{(Typ Id)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \tau}{\Gamma \setminus x \vdash \lambda x M : \Gamma(x) \rightarrow \tau} \text{(Typ } \lambda \text{)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n \rightarrow \sigma \quad \forall i, \Delta_i \vdash N : \tau_i}{\Gamma \wedge \Delta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \Delta_n \vdash MN : \sigma} \text{(Typ Appl Gen)} \quad (n \geq 1)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \omega \rightarrow \sigma \quad \Delta \vdash N : \tau}{\Gamma \wedge \Delta \vdash MN : \sigma} \text{(Typ Appl } \omega \text{)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \tau \quad \Gamma \equiv_{UACI} \Delta}{\Delta \vdash M : \tau} \text{(Typ Congr)}$$

# Properties

- Subject reduction: If  $M \rightarrow M'$ , then

$$\Gamma \vdash M : \tau \implies \Gamma \vdash M' : \tau$$

- Theorem: A term  $M$  is typable in  $\mathcal{D}$  if and only if  $M$  is strongly normalising.

# Properties

- Subject reduction: If  $M \rightarrow M'$ , then

$$\Gamma \vdash M : \tau \implies \Gamma \vdash M' : \tau$$

- Theorem: A term  $M$  is typable in  $\mathcal{D}$  if and only if  $M$  is strongly normalising.
- Trivial algorithm: try to strongly normalise, then type.
- Problem: does not work for an extended calculus (recursion...)
- We have the type, but not the typing tree...

# Algorithm: general idea

Mimick  $\beta$ -reduction on types:

$$(\lambda x M)N \rightarrow_{\beta} M\{x \mapsto N\} = M[\dots N \dots N \dots]$$

$$\tau_N \rightarrow t \perp t_1, \dots, t_n \rightarrow \tau_M$$

Copy  $n$  times the type variables and constraints of  $N$ .

$\Rightarrow$  territory (= set of type variables)

Identify  $t$  with  $\tau_M$ , and  $t_i$  with the  $i^{\text{th}}$  copy of  $\tau_N$ .

# Algorithm: general idea

Mimick  $\beta$ -reduction on types:

$$(\lambda x M)N \rightarrow_{\beta} M\{x \mapsto N\} = M[\dots N \dots N \dots]$$

$$\tau_N \rightarrow t \perp t_1, \dots, t_n \rightarrow \tau_M$$

Copy  $n$  times the type variables and constraints of  $N$ .

$\Rightarrow$  territory (= set of type variables)

Identify  $t$  with  $\tau_M$ , and  $t_i$  with the  $i^{\text{th}}$  copy of  $\tau_N$ .

Take care of  $\beta_K$ -redexes:  $(\lambda x M)N \rightarrow_{\beta} M$

$\Rightarrow$  special rule for  $n = 0$

$\Rightarrow$  extended  $\lambda$ -calculus



# Implementation – Notations

- Implementation of the algorithm: TYPI

<http://www-sop.inria.fr/mimoso/Pascal.Zimmer/typi.html>

- Notations slightly different from the paper in the proceedings.

# Example

$$M = F(\lambda u \Delta(uu))$$

with  $F = \lambda x I = \lambda x \lambda y y$  and  $\Delta = \lambda x (xx)$

# Example

$$M = F(\lambda u \Delta(uu))$$

with  $F = \lambda x I = \lambda x \lambda y y$  and  $\Delta = \lambda x (xx)$

- First step:  
annotate every variable and application with a fresh type variable.

$$M' = (F' (\lambda u (\Delta' (u^{t_4} u^{t_5})^{t_6})^{t_7}))^{t_8}$$

where  $F' = \lambda x \lambda y y^{t_0}$   
and  $\Delta' = \lambda x (x^{t_1} x^{t_2})^{t_3}$

# Example - $F(\lambda u \Delta(uu))$

- Second step:  
for every application  $(M'N')^t$ , build the  
constraint:

$$\text{Typ}(N') \rightarrow t \perp \text{Typ}(M') [ftv(N')]$$

# Example - $F(\lambda u \Delta(uu))$

- Second step:  
for every application  $(M' N')^t$ , build the constraint:

$$\text{Typ}(N') \rightarrow t \perp \text{Typ}(M') [ftv(N')]$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (t_4, t_5 \rightarrow t_7) \rightarrow t_8 \perp \omega \rightarrow t_0 \rightarrow t_0 \quad [t_1, \dots, t_7], \\ t_6 \rightarrow t_7 \perp t_1, t_2 \rightarrow t_3 \quad [t_4, t_5, t_6], \\ t_5 \rightarrow t_6 \perp t_4 \quad [t_5], \\ t_2 \rightarrow t_3 \perp t_1 \quad [t_2] \end{array} \right\}$$

# Example - $F(\lambda u \Delta(uu))$

Decomposition of:

$$t_6 \rightarrow t_7 \perp t_1, t_2 \rightarrow t_3 [t_4, t_5, t_6]$$

corresponding to  $\Delta(uu) \rightarrow (uu)(uu)$ .

- $D(2, \{t_4, t_5, t_6\})$ : duplicate the equations whose node is in  $(uu)$ , duplicate the type variables occurring in  $(uu)$
- substitute  $\{t_7 \mapsto t_3, \emptyset\}$
- replace the  $x$  in  $\Delta$  by the two copies:

$$\{t_1 \mapsto t_6^1, \{t_4^1, t_5^1, t_6^1\}\} ; \{t_2 \mapsto t_6^2, \{t_4^2, t_5^2, t_6^2\}\}$$

# Example - $F(\lambda u \Delta(uu))$

Updated system:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{llll} (t_4^1, t_4^2, t_5^1, t_5^2 \rightarrow t_3) \rightarrow t_8 & \perp & \omega \rightarrow t_0 \rightarrow t_0 & [T], \\ t_6^2 \rightarrow t_3 & \perp & t_6^1 & [t_4^2, t_5^2, t_6^2] \\ t_5^1 \rightarrow t_6^1 & \perp & t_4^1 & [t_5^1], \\ t_5^2 \rightarrow t_6^2 & \perp & t_4^2 & [t_5^2] \end{array} \right.$$

where  $T = \{t_3, t_4^1, t_4^2, t_5^1, t_5^2, t_6^1, t_6^2\}$

Those equations correspond to the term:

$$F(\lambda u (uu)(uu))$$

# Example - $F(\lambda u \Delta(uu))$

Decomposition of:

$$(t_4^1, t_4^2, t_5^1, t_5^2 \rightarrow t_3) \rightarrow t_8 \perp \omega \rightarrow t_0 \rightarrow t_0 [T]$$

We should not “erase” the argument, since it must be typable ! Updated system:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} t_6^2 \rightarrow t_3 \quad \perp \quad t_6^1 \quad [t_4^2, t_5^2, t_6^2], \\ t_5^1 \rightarrow t_6^1 \quad \perp \quad t_4^1 \quad [t_5^1], \\ t_5^2 \rightarrow t_6^2 \quad \perp \quad t_4^2 \quad [t_5^2] \end{array} \right\}$$

Those equations correspond to the terms:

$I$  and  $\lambda u (uu)(uu)$

(no equation for  $I$ ) and not to  $I$  alone.



# $\Lambda_{\kappa}$ -calculus

- Inspired by Klop, 1980.
- Syntax:

$$M, N ::= x \mid MN \mid \lambda x M \mid [M, N]$$

- Semantics:

For  $x \in fv(M)$ :

$$[\lambda x M, N_1, \dots, N_n] N \longrightarrow_{\kappa} [M\{x \mapsto N\}, N_1, \dots, N_n]$$

For  $x \notin fv(M)$ :

$$[\lambda x M, N_1, \dots, N_n] N \longrightarrow_{\kappa} [M, N_1, \dots, N_n, N]$$

# $\Lambda_{\mathcal{K}}$ -calculus

- $\mathcal{WN}_{\mathcal{K}} = \mathcal{SN}_{\mathcal{K}}$ : normalising terms are strongly normalising
- $\mathcal{SN}_{\Lambda} = \Lambda \cap \mathcal{SN}_{\mathcal{K}}$ : they correspond to strongly normalising terms in  $\lambda$ -calculus
- We add the typing rule:

$$\frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash M_1 : \tau \quad \Gamma_2 \vdash M_2 : \sigma}{\Gamma_1 \wedge \Gamma_2 \vdash [M_1, M_2] : \tau} \text{(Typ Forget)}$$

# Reduction rules

System state:  $(\mathcal{E}, \Pi)$  where

- $\mathcal{E}$  is a set of constraints
- $\Pi$  is a proof skeleton, that will evolve to a valid typing tree

# Reduction rules

System state:  $(\mathcal{E}, \Pi)$  where

- $\mathcal{E}$  is a set of constraints
- $\Pi$  is a proof skeleton, that will evolve to a valid typing tree

Rule for  $n \geq 1$ :

$$(\{\tau \rightarrow t \perp t_1, \dots, t_n \rightarrow \sigma [T]\} \cup \mathcal{E}, \Pi) \longrightarrow (S(\mathcal{E}), S(\Pi))$$

$$\text{with } S = \{t_i \mapsto \langle \tau \rangle^i, \langle T \rangle^i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n} ; \{t \mapsto \sigma, \emptyset\} ; D(n, T)$$

$(R_n)$

# Reduction rules

Rule for  $n = 0$ :

$$\left( \{ \tau \rightarrow t \perp \omega \rightarrow \sigma [T] \} \cup \mathcal{E}, \Pi \right) \longrightarrow (S(\mathcal{E}), S(\Pi))$$

with  $S = \{ t \mapsto \sigma, \emptyset \}$

$(R_0)$

Final rule:

$$\left( \{ \tau \perp t \} \cup \mathcal{E}, \Pi \right) \longrightarrow_f (S(\mathcal{E}), S(\Pi)) \quad \text{with } S = \{ t \mapsto \tau \}$$

$(R_f)$

# Results

- A term  $M$  is in normal form if and only if the corresponding system  $\mathcal{E}_M$  is irreducible.

# Results

- A term  $M$  is in normal form if and only if the corresponding system  $\mathcal{E}_M$  is irreducible.
- Operational correspondence...

# Results

- A term  $M$  is in normal form if and only if the corresponding system  $\mathcal{E}_M$  is irreducible.
- Operational correspondence...
- Theorem: A term  $M$  is typable if and only if the initial system corresponding to  $M$  converges.



# Results

- A term  $M$  is in normal form if and only if the corresponding system  $\mathcal{E}_M$  is irreducible.
- Operational correspondence...
- Theorem: A term  $M$  is typable if and only if the initial system corresponding to  $M$  converges.
- Theorem: If  $M$  is typable, then the final proof skeleton is a valid typing tree for  $M$ . Moreover, the final *typing* is *principal*, in the sense of Coppo, Dezani, Venneri 80.

# Other results and ongoing work

- Strong conjecture: The *typing tree* is *principal*.
- Rank: Syntactic definition on types; to evaluate the “level” of polymorphism.

Property: The finite-rank algorithm *always stops*.

Consequence: Finite-rank inference is *decidable*.

# Other results and ongoing work

- Variant: by replacing the rule ( $R_0$ ) with the general rule ( $R_n$ ); related to the type system  $\mathcal{D}\Omega$ , with the rule:

$$\overline{\vdash M : \omega}^{(\text{Typ } \omega)}$$

(if the algorithm converges, then the term is typable).

- Extension to references (introducing conjunction only for values, as in ML; less liberty on the order of resolution)
- Extension to recursion  $\mu x M$  (additional unification step at the end of the algorithm)

# Comparison

- The  $\Lambda_{\kappa}$ -calculus is made explicit; easier proofs.

## Ronchi della Rocca 88

- complex definition to compute the expansion

## System II

- expansion variables vs territories, different type systems
- different atomicity of operations  
(1 step  $\Rightarrow n + 2$  steps)

## System E

- similar to the variant with  $\omega$ ; system  $\mathcal{D}\Omega$  with expansion variables

**The end**

# Rank

$$\mathit{inc}(0) = 0$$

$$\mathit{inc}(n) = n + 1 \quad \text{for } n > 0$$

$$\mathit{rank}(t) = 0$$

$$\mathit{rank}(\tau \rightarrow \sigma) = \max(\mathit{inc}(\mathit{rank}(\tau)), \mathit{rank}(\sigma))$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathit{rank}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n \rightarrow \sigma) = \\ \max(\mathit{inc}(\max(1, \mathit{rank}(\tau_1), \dots, \mathit{rank}(\tau_n))), \mathit{rank}(\sigma)) \\ \text{for } n \neq 1 \end{aligned}$$

# Rank

Syntactic definition on types...

- rank 0: usual types without intersection
- rank 1: empty
- rank  $r \geq 2$ : there is a non-trivial conjunction under  $r - 1$  arrows

Example:

$(t_1 \rightarrow t_2), (\omega \rightarrow t_3) \rightarrow t_1 \rightarrow t_3$  has rank 3

# Finite-rank algorithm

- Choose a maximal allowed rank  $r$ .
- For every intermediate step  $(\mathcal{E}, \Pi)$ , check that  $rank(\Pi) \leq r$ .
- Otherwise, the term is not typable at rank  $r$ .



# Finite-rank algorithm

- Choose a maximal allowed rank  $r$ .
- For every intermediate step  $(\mathcal{E}, \Pi)$ , check that  $rank(\Pi) \leq r$ .
- Otherwise, the term is not typable at rank  $r$ .

Property: The finite-rank algorithm *always stops*.

Consequence: Finite-rank inference is *decidable*.

# Variant

What happens if we use the general rule also for  $n = 0$  ?

$$(\{\tau \rightarrow t \perp t_1, \dots, t_n \rightarrow \sigma [T]\} \cup \mathcal{E}, \Pi) \longrightarrow (S(\mathcal{E}), S(\Pi))$$

$$\text{with } S = \{t_i \mapsto \langle \tau \rangle^i, \langle T \rangle^i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n} ; \{t \mapsto \sigma, \emptyset\} ; D(n, T)$$

$(R_n)$

- Leads to “erase” constraints or sub-trees by  $D(0, T)$
- Correspondence with the type system  $\mathcal{D}\Omega$  (Krivine) or  $\lambda\cap$  (Barendregt)

$$\frac{}{\vdash M : \omega} \text{(Typ } \omega)$$

# Variant

- Property: The variant of the algorithm converges iff the term is normalising.
- Proposition: A term is typable in  $\mathcal{D}\Omega$  with a non-trivial type iff it has a head-normal form.
- Characterisation of normalising terms.
- Corollary: If the algorithm converges, then the term is typable.
- Reciprocal property: not true (example:  $x\Omega$ )

# System II

- System proposed by Kfoury and Wells (variant: System E with Carlier)
- Types contain *expansion variables*:

$$\psi ::= \alpha \mid (\psi \rightarrow \psi)$$

$$\psi ::= \psi \mid (\psi \wedge \psi') \mid (F\psi)$$

- Algorithm for solving similar constraints and returning a typing tree

# System II

- Correspondence expansion variables / territory:

$$F_T \longleftrightarrow T = \{v \mid F_T \in \text{E-path}(v, \Gamma_{\text{II}}(M))\}$$

- Both algorithms perform the same operations, not necessarily in the same order, if we ignore expansion variables  
→ operational correspondence
- Used to avoid redoing the proofs of some results (principality, finite rank)

# References

The expression

$$(\lambda r (r := ["a \ string"]; \text{hd}(! r) + 1)) (\text{ref } [])$$

is typable, but its execution leads to an error...

# References

The expression

$$(\lambda r (r := ["a \ string"]; \text{hd}(! r) + 1)) (\text{ref } [])$$

is typable, but its execution leads to an error...

Solution similar to the one for polymorphism in ML: introducing conjunction only for *values* (Davies and Pfenning).

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash V : A \quad \Gamma \vdash V : B}{\Gamma \vdash V : A \wedge B}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : A \rightarrow B \quad \Gamma \vdash N : A}{\Gamma \vdash MN : B}$$

# References

- Distinguish the types of terms-variables and applications:  $t_v$  and  $t_@$
- Extended syntax for types:

$$t_b ::= t_v \mid t_b \text{ ref} \mid \text{cte} \mid t_b \text{ list}$$

$$\tau, \sigma ::= t_v \mid \tau \text{ ref} \mid \text{cte} \mid \tau \text{ list} \mid t_@ \mid t_b, \dots, t_b \rightarrow \tau$$

- Decomposable equations:

$$\tau \rightarrow t_@ \perp t_{b_1}, \dots, t_{b_n} \rightarrow \sigma \quad [T]$$



# References

$$(\{\tau \rightarrow t_{@} \perp t_{b_1}, \dots, t_{b_n} \rightarrow \sigma [T]\} \cup \mathcal{E}, \Pi) \longrightarrow (S(\mathcal{E}), S(\Pi))$$

$$\text{with } S = \begin{cases} \text{mgu}(t_{b_i}, \langle \tau \rangle^i, \langle T \rangle^i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}; \{t_{@} \mapsto \sigma, \emptyset\}; D(n, T) & \text{if } \text{ValueType}(\tau) \\ \text{mgu}(t_{b_i}, \tau, T)_{1 \leq i \leq n}; \{t_{@} \mapsto \sigma, \emptyset\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

# References

$$(\{\tau \rightarrow t_{@} \perp t_{b_1}, \dots, t_{b_n} \rightarrow \sigma [T]\} \cup \mathcal{E}, \Pi) \longrightarrow (S(\mathcal{E}), S(\Pi))$$

$$\text{with } S = \begin{cases} \text{mgu}(t_{b_i}, \langle \tau \rangle^i, \langle T \rangle^i)_{1 \leq i \leq n} ; \{t_{@} \mapsto \sigma, \emptyset\} ; D(n, T) & \text{if } \text{ValueType}(\tau) \\ \text{mgu}(t_{b_i}, \tau, T)_{1 \leq i \leq n} ; \{t_{@} \mapsto \sigma, \emptyset\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

but we also need to impose an order for solving the constraints, corresponding more or less to call-by-value...

# Recursion

- We add an operator  $\mu x M$
- Solution: infer types as for  $M$ , then additional unification algorithm
- Modify the type system:

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : \sigma_1, \dots, x : \sigma_n \vdash M : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \mu x M : \tau} \text{(REC)} \quad \text{with } \forall i \sigma_i \equiv \tau$$

- Equality modulo commutativity and contraction:

$$\dots, \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots \rightarrow \sigma \equiv \dots, \tau_2, \tau_1, \dots \rightarrow \sigma$$

$$\dots, \tau, \tau, \dots \rightarrow \sigma \equiv \dots, \tau, \dots \rightarrow \sigma$$