Well-founded induction

Yves Bertot

April 15, 2008

Yves Bertot Well-founded induction

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

æ

- Structural recursion on one argument is restrictive,
- Functions that are total, but not structural recursive are frequent,
 - merge (for merge_sort), quicksort
 - ► gcd,
- Structural recursion imposes the choice of data-structure,
 - factorial on Z, Q

```
merge (a::11) (b::12) =
if le_lt_dec a b then a::merge 11 (b::12)
else b::merge (a::11) 12
merge nil 12 = 12
merge 11 nil = 11
```

- When a \leq b, only the first argument decreases
- ▶ When b < a, only the second argument decreases
- The sum of length of the lists decreases by one at each recursive call!

- ▶ gcd a b = if a = b then a else if a < b then gcd a (b-a) else gcd (a-b) b
- This algorithm is guaranteed to terminate only if a and b are positive
- The sum of the two arguments decreases at each recursive call,
- ► The decrease is non-zero, but we can't know by how much.

- Add an artificial argument to count what decreases,
- Return a dummy value when the artificial argument reaches 0,
- Ensure the artificial argument has a good initial value.

bounded recursion for merge_sort

Fixpoint bmerge (I1 I2 : list nat)(n:nat){struct n} : list nat := match n with $0 \Rightarrow nil$ | S p => match I1, I2 with (a::l1), (b::l2) => if le_dec a b then a::bmerge l1 (b::l2) p else b::bmerge (a::l1) l2 | ni|, |2 => |2|| 11, nil => 11 end end. Definition merge (I1 I2 : list nat) := bmerge |1 |2 (length |1+length |2).

通 と く ほ と く ほ と

- Impose conditions on the bound to avoid the degenerate case,
- Example for merge
 Lemma merge_sorted :
 ∀n |1 |2, length |1 + length |2 = n →
 sorted |1 → sorted |2 → sorted (merge |1 |2 n).
- Perform proofs by induction on the bound,

- The artificial argument is a nuisance,
- The code developed in Coq is also meant to be transformed into software
 - Argument kept in derived code,
 - Computation of the intial value may take time.

- Support direct encoding of terminating sequences,
- Allow functions where recursive calls follow terminating sequences.

- Given a relation R, an element x is accessible, if there is no infinite sequence x_n such that:
 - ► x₀=x
 - $\forall i, R x_{i+1} x_i$
- Actually x is accessible if and only if all its R-predecessors are, Inductive Acc (A:Type)(R:A→A→Prop) : A→Prop := Acc_intro : ∀x, (∀y, R y x → Acc A R y) → Acc A R x.
- A relation is well-founded when all elements are accessible.

- A Coq library makes it possible to construct well-founded relations,
- The order It is well-founded,
- The order $Zwf \equiv fun a \times y:Z$, $a \le y / \land x < y$ is well-founded,
- Composition with a function preserves well-foundedness,
- Inclusion preserves well-foundedness,
- Lexical ordering preserves well-foundedness,
- Sometimes, one needs to prove well-foundedness by going back to accessibility.

- A definition f x = E has well-founded recursion for R when f only appears in E applied to expressions e such that R e x.
- ► Expressed with types, for f: A → B F : ∀x:A, (∀y:A, R y x → B) → B f x = F x f
- ► More generally, with dependent types, for f : $\forall x:A, B \times F : \forall x:A, (\forall y:A, R y \times \rightarrow B y) \rightarrow B \times$

Good to choose a dependent type for P

- Input type : list nat*list nat,
- measure : $m \equiv fun a \Rightarrow length (fst a) + length (snd a)$
- Relation : R a b \equiv m a < m b
- output specification:

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Q a } \mbox{I} \equiv \\ \mbox{permutation } \mbox{I} \mbox{ (fst a} + + \mbox{snd a}) \ / \ \\ \mbox{sorted (fst a)} \rightarrow \mbox{ sorted (snd a)} \rightarrow \mbox{ sorted } \mbox{I} \end{array}$

• output type : P a \equiv {I:list nat | Q a I}

```
mergeF x f \equiv
match x return P x with
 (a::|1,b::|2) =>
    match le lt dec a b with
      left ab =>
      let (I, Ip) := f(I1, b::I2) (dec1 a I1 b I2) in
     exist (Q (a::1,b::12)) (a::1) (rp1 a 11 b 12 l ab lp)
    | right ba =>
      let (I, Ip) := f(a::I1, I2) (dec2 a I1 b I2) in
      exist (Q(a::|1,b::|2))(b::|)(rp2 a | 1 b | 2 b a | p)
    end.
|(nil, l2) = exist (Q(nil, l2)) l2 (rp3 l2)
|(11, nil) = exist (Q(11, nil)) |1(rp4|1)
end.
```

Well-founded recursion for merge (continued)

 Lemma dec1 : ∀a l1 b l2, m (l1, b::l2) < m (a::l1, b::l2).
 Lemma rp1 : ∀a l1 b l2 l, a ≤ b → Q (l1, b::l2) l → Q (a::l1, b::l2) (a::l)

同 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

Well-founded recursion for merge (continued)

- Definition merge = Fix (Wf_nat.well_founded_ltof _ m) mergeF
- Almost no need to do proofs about this code: information in the type.
- ► If behavior must be analyzed, use theorem Fix_eq.

Function merge (p:list nat * list nat) {measure m} : list nat :=
match p with (a::l1, b::l2) =>
if le_lt_dec a b then a::merge (l1,b::l2) else b::merge(a::l1, l2)
| (nil, l2) => l2
| (l1, nil) => l1
end.

- The command produces goals, which correspond to dec1 and dec2 of the previous slide,
- The correctness with respect to sorting and permutation is not proved yet,
- There is a specific induction principle for the function (too verbose to show here, but very useful for the proofs) (make a demo).

白 ト イヨト イヨト