Reverse-engineering of the visual brain cortical maps computation using optical-imaging P. Kornprobst<sup>1</sup>, F. Chavane<sup>2</sup>, A. Reynaud<sup>2</sup>, and T. Viéville<sup>1</sup> (1) Projet Odyssée – INRIA Sophia-Antipolis, ENS, ENPC (France)

(2) DyVa Team – INCM (CNRS, UMR 6193), (France)

Contact: Thierry. Vieville@sophia.inria.fr

We asked whether optical imaging could be used to characterize the underlying computations given the activity of a brain area.

If biological neural network information is mainly related to the synaptic input (thus to the membrane potential in this case), it is however usually modeled with high-level representation of the related processing (e.g. variational specification of neural-map computation in relation to local diffusion mechanisms in neural networks), allowing to relate the observed activity with certain classes of underlying computations (e.g.: early-vision processes, winner-take-all mechanisms, etc.).

Neuronal activity diffusion estimation seems feasible, given the proposed assumptions and actual data sets. Further investigation on diffusion map recovery are in progress.

## Goals

□ We propose to evaluate, given the activity of a spiking network which related membrane potential is measured using opticalimaging (here during the observation of V1), what is the underlying diffusion process? This is a highly constrained meso-scopic model of the neuronal activity, likely more robust to estimate than in a less specific case.

## Position of the problem

Given a variable 2D activity map *s(p, t)* related to a diffusion mechanism at each point *p* and time *t*:

□ Considering a very simple experimental paradigm, we analyze if the precision of the data is sufficient to estimate robustly the underlying diffusion mechanisms.

More generally, we propose to estimate the required precision in terms of scale and dynamics for such a reverse-engineering paradigm to be valid.

# Optical imaging & cortical activity observation



Optical imaging of cortical activity based on real-time imaging using extrinsic dyesignals gives a meso-scopic view of changes in membrane potential.

Particularly sub- and supra-threshold

 $\dot{s} = \Delta_{\mathbf{L}} \, s + e$ 

### the goal is to estimate

- The diffusion operator L.
- ' And eventually the sparse input e(p, t).
- □ We consider a discrete integral approximation of the diffusion operator defined in a neighbourhood of *p*.
- $\Box \text{ We define the estimation via a criterion of the form:} \\ \min_{\mathbf{L},e} \int \underbrace{\left[-\dot{s} + \Delta_{\mathbf{L}} s + e\right]^2}_{1} + \underbrace{\Phi(||\nabla_{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{L}||)}_{2} + \underbrace{\psi(e)}_{3} + \underbrace{\lambda \kappa(\mathbf{L})}_{4}$
- 6. Minimizing the estimation error of L
- 2. With non-linear isotropic regularisation of  $\boldsymbol{L}$
- 3. While the input e() is constrained to be sparse
- 4. Introducing unbiasness constraints for L:



synaptic potentials of cortical layers III and IV (resolution of about 100µm e.g. < 10<sup>3</sup> neurons)

Observation of the functional organization of the cortical columns.

Example of V1 dye-signal in the cat, after a visual local stimulation:

Top-left view shows the diffusion at the middle of the record.

 Right and bottom spatiotemporal views show the evolution of the signal Here, two columns are clearly stimulated.



4.1 Either uniform isotropic (and Gaussian) diffusion
4.2 Or constant (in time) isotropic diffusion
4.3 Or time and space variable isotropic diffusion
while other alternatives can easily be introduced.

The estimation is implemented calculating the Euler-Lagrange equation of the previous criterion, easy to implement.

# Preliminary results

- Using synthetic data with a sparse source and isotropic noisy diffusion (virtual Phantom)
  - The estimation data has been validated.
  - Its robustness quantified for

assumption 4.2

Input noise magnitude	0	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-3}$
Signal standard-deviation	$5  10^{-2}$	1.4	14	$1.510^{2}$	$1.610^3$
Estimation standard-deviation	$5.5  10^{-6}$	$0.510^{-5}$	$1.05  10^{-4}$	$0.95  10^{-3}$	0.99

(given an input noise, highly magnified in the signal by the diffusion, the estimation uncertainty remains correct, the last column corresponds to the expected real-data noise-level)



#### Reterences

Jancke, D ; Chavane, F ; Naaman, S ; Grinvald, A (2004), « Imaging cortical correlates of illusion in early visual cortex », Nature, 428: 423-426

Aubert, G ; Kornprobst, P (2006) "Mathematical Problems in Image Processing", Springer, Applied Mathematical Sciences, Vol 147, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition

Shoham, D. et al. Imaging cortical dynamics at high spatial and temporal resolution with novel blue voltage-sensitive dyes. Neuron 24, 791–802 (1999).

Viéville, T ; Kornprobst, P, (2006), «Modeling Cortical Maps with Feed-Backs », Int. J. Conf. Neural Networks. Using real data we have been able to recover some diffusion estimation and have observed that estimating diffusion under assumption 4.1 and 4.2 seems significant (while assumption 4.3 is not statistically significant with the present data set)

**Acknowledgments**: This work was partially supported by the EC IP project FP6-015879, FACETS

www.inria.fr