To which extend is the neural code a metric ?

Bruno Cessac* **, Horacio Rostro-González*, Juan-Carlos Vasquez*, Thierry Viéville*

* INRIA Cortex & Odyssee http://www.inln.cnrs.fr

GLOBAL TIME CONSTRAINTS IN SPIKE TRAINS

In computational or biological contexts, spike times are constrained by the neural dynamics and

- [C1] bounded by a refractory period,
- [C2] defined up to some absolute precision,

- [C3] with a minimal delay between one spike and its target - [C4] there is *often* a maximal inter-spike interval with the next spike (if any) In *ms*:

r	δt	dt	D
1	0.1	> 0.01	10^{2-3}

* [C4] is not obvious :

NRIA NANCY

X true when leak + conductances (cortical neurons ?)

X false when internal currents (thalamic neurons ?)

Different dynamics. Without [C4]:

A ``vicious'' neuron can remain silent a very long period of time, and then suddenly fire inducing a complete change in the non-linear system.

* [C1-2] yields a spike train information upper-bound

 $N \frac{T}{r} \log_2\left(\frac{T}{\delta \tau}\right)$

for N during neurons during T, $\simeq 1$ Kb/neuron/seconds.

* [C1-3] allows to study time discretized model instances:

[H1] The raster plot is generically periodic, periods are unbounded

(\Rightarrow external current or synaptic weights),

[H2] There is a one-to-one correspondence between orbits and raster (raster plots provides a symbolic coding).

True for conductance based leaky integrate and fire neurons.

Likely for any model with reset and contracting dynamics.



Parameters variation: $\gamma \rightarrow \gamma + \delta \gamma$

(Partially supported by the ANR MAPS & the MACCAC ARC)

NEURAL CODING AND SPIKE TRAIN METRICS

- Two trains correspond to the ``same neural code´´

→ equivalence-relation

- E.g.: rank coding \Leftrightarrow permutations are equivalence classes
- Two trains correspond ``approximately´´ to the same code → metric-representation
- E.g.: *Binned* metric, spikes grouping in bins (e.g. rate coding), - *Convolution* metrics,
 - defined on spike train convolution,
 - Spike time metrics,

such as alignment metrics.

* Convolution metrics:

(linear response)

$$s_i(t) = \sum_{\substack{t_i^n \in \mathcal{F}_i \\ \rho_i(t)}} K_i(t - t_i^n) = K_i * \rho_i \in]0, 1],$$

$$\rho_i(t) = \sum_{\substack{t_i^n \in \mathcal{F}_i \\ \delta(t - t_i^n)},$$

relate the spike-train ${f
ho}$ with a continuous signal ${f s}$.



[A] The spike train itself, [B] A causal local frequency measure estimation, [C] A non-causal spike density, [D] A normalized causal exponential profile. Related to: evoked post-synaptic potential, representations using Fourier or Wavelet Transforms, including Mercer scalar-products (``kernel´´ methods). .

- Kernel identification: given ${\bf s}$ and ${\boldsymbol \rho}$ yields ${\bf K}$ \rightarrow Laplace transform via Parseval theorem
- Signal deconvolution: given s and K yields ρ
 → Inverse usual kernels are well-defined
- Signal reconstruction (Shanon generalization): A [- Ω , Ω] frequency signal **s** is defined by **p** iff max [t_iⁿ, t_iⁿ⁺¹] < Ω / π

* Alignment metrics:

Minimum cost **c** of transforming one spike train into the other with: - spike insertion or spike deletion c += 1

- spike shift $c+=|t-t'|/\tau$

quadratic algorithm available.



- + Non-linear cost generalization, integrating [C2].
- + Causality integration (``older´´ spikes less matter).
- Applicable to spike-interval, spike-motifs, ... includes: spike-time differences, rate distance, etc...

[A] The phase space is partitioned into bounded domain and for each initial condition the initial trajectory is attracted to a periodic orbit. [B] If the parameters (input, weights) change, the landscape is modified and several phenomena can occur: change in the basins shape, number of attractors, modification of the attractor.

- * [C3-4] allows to optimize event-based simulation
 - + Ultra-fast event-time's queue with bounded size
 - + Allows to introduce ``lazy´´ event management (next-event time is given after lower-bounds estimations)

A minimal 10Kb C++ kernel with O(D/dt + N) buffer size and $10^{1-1.5}$ operation/spikes $\rightarrow > 10^6$ spike/sec on a laptop.

Used as plugin for existing simulators <u>http://enas.gforge.inria.fr</u>

- Characterize neuronal variability and coding
- Allow to perform spike train computation/training:

E.g.:considering a SRM model:

$$\begin{split} V_i(t) &= \nu(t - t_i^{n-1}) + \sum_{jm} w_{ij} \, \alpha(t - t_j^m), t_i^{n-1} < t \le t_i^n, \\ \text{yields the following formal learning rule:} \\ \Delta w_{ij} &\equiv \sum_n (t_i^n - \bar{t}_i^n) \, \frac{\partial V_i}{\partial w_{ij}}(t_i^n) \, \Big/ \, \frac{\partial V_i}{\partial t_i^n}(t_i^n) \end{split}$$

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- B. Cessac and T. Viéville. On dynamics of integrate-and-fire neural networks with adaptive conductances. Frontiers in neuroscience, 2008. in press.
- [2] F. Rieke, D. Warland, Rob de Ruyter von Steveninck, and William Bialek. Spikes, Exploring the Neural Code. The M.I.T. Press, 1996.
- [3] J.D. Victor. Spike train metrics. Current Opinion in Neurobiology, 15(5):585-592, 2005.