Localization gameS in graphs

Nicolas Nisse

Université Côte d'Azur, Inria, CNRS, I3S, France

Graph Searching Online seminar, July 10th, 2020

based on joint works with Júlio Araújo, Julien Bensmail, Barthomiej Bosek, Victor Campos, Przemysław Gordinowicz, Jarosław Grytczuk, Frédéric Havet, Karol Maia, Dorian Mazauric, Fionn Mc Inerney, Stéphane Pérennes, Ana Shirley, Joanna Sokół and Małgorzata Śleszyńska-Nowak

Aim of the talk: present the numerous variants of the problem, give (most of) the known results (almost without proof) and hope you will be interested to work on the numerous open questions...

1 Metric dimension and Centroidal dimension

- 2 Sequential localization of an immobile target
- 3 Sequential localization of a mobile target
 - 4 Metric dimension in oriented graphs



Precisely locate using few information

Fix any three points A, B and C in the plane. For any point v, (dist(A, v), dist(B, v), dist(C, v)) is sufficient to locate v ! !

How to generalize to graph metric?

A target is hidden at some (unknown) vertex t of a graph G = (V, E)Probing a vertex $v \in V(G) \Rightarrow$ the (absolute) distance $dist_G(t, v)$.

Resolving set : set of vertices to probe s.t. the target is uniquely located



A target is hidden at some (unknown) vertex t of a graph G = (V, E)Probing a vertex $v \in V(G) \Rightarrow$ the (absolute) distance $dist_G(t, v)$.

Resolving set : set of vertices to probe s.t. the target is uniquely located



A target is hidden at some (unknown) vertex t of a graph G = (V, E)Probing a vertex $v \in V(G) \Rightarrow$ the (absolute) distance $dist_G(t, v)$.

Resolving set : set of vertices to probe s.t. the target is uniquely located



A target is hidden at some (unknown) vertex t of a graph G = (V, E)Probing a vertex $v \in V(G) \Rightarrow$ the (absolute) distance $dist_G(t, v)$.

Resolving set : set of vertices to probe s.t. the target is uniquely located



A target is hidden at some (unknown) vertex t of a graph G = (V, E)Probing a vertex $v \in V(G) \Rightarrow$ the (absolute) distance $dist_G(t, v)$.

Resolving set : set of vertices to probe s.t. the target is uniquely located



A target is hidden at some (unknown) vertex t of a graph G = (V, E)Probing a vertex $v \in V(G) \Rightarrow$ the (absolute) distance $dist_G(t, v)$.

Resolving set : set of vertices to probe s.t. the target is uniquely located



A target is hidden at some (unknown) vertex t of a graph G = (V, E)Probing a vertex $v \in V(G) \Rightarrow$ the (absolute) distance $dist_G(t, v)$.

Resolving set : set of vertices to probe s.t. the target is uniquely located



A target is hidden at some (unknown) vertex t of a graph G = (V, E)Probing a vertex $v \in V(G) \Rightarrow$ the (absolute) distance $dist_G(t, v)$.

Resolving set : set of vertices to probe s.t. the target is uniquely located

Set $R = \{v_1, \cdots, v_i\} \subseteq V$ s.t. $(dist_G(v, v_i))_{j \leq i}$ pairwise distinct $\forall v \in V$.



Metric Dimension MD(G): min. size of a resolving set in G. (MD(G) < |V(G)|)

example : for any tree T, MD(T) = #leaves - #" branching nodes"

Computing MD(G) [Harary, Melter 76, Slater 75] is NP-c in planar graphs [Díaz *et al.* 17], W[2]-hard [Hartung,Nichterlein 13], FPT in tree-length [Belmonte *et al.* 17]...

variant : Centroidal Dimension

Probing <u>two</u> (or more) vertices $A, B \in V(G) \Rightarrow$ the relative "positions" of A and B w.r.t. the target t, i.e., A > B if $dist_G(A, t) > dist_G(B, t)$, A = B if $dist_G(A, t) = dist_G(B, t)$ and A < B otw.



N. Nisse

variant : Centroidal Dimension

Probing <u>two</u> (or more) vertices $A, B \in V(G) \Rightarrow$ the relative "positions" of A and B w.r.t. the target t, i.e., A > B if $dist_G(A, t) > dist_G(B, t)$, A = B if $dist_G(A, t) = dist_G(B, t)$ and A < B otw.



Centroidal Dimension CD(G): min. size of a basis in G. $(2 \le CD(G) < |V(G)|)$ $MD(G) \le CD(G)$ for every graph G

 $\begin{array}{l} (1+o(1))_{\frac{\log n}{\log \log n}} \leq CD(G) < n \text{ in any } n \text{-node graph } G.\\ \text{Computing } CD(G) \text{ is NP-complete, cannot be approximated up to a factor } o(\log n) \text{ and there exists a } O(\sqrt{n \log n})\text{-approximation algorithm.}\\ \text{Finally } CD(G) = \Theta(\sqrt{n}) \text{ if } G \text{ is a } n \text{-node path or cycle.} \qquad \text{[Foucaud,Klasing,Slater 14]} \end{array}$

A lot is Open : Exact value of $CD(P_n)$ unknown?? and so any other graph class would be interesting... 4/19

Metric dimension and Centroidal dimension

2 Sequential localization of an immobile target

- 3 Sequential localization of a mobile target
- 4 Metric dimension in oriented graphs

Sequentiel variant : Seager (2013) : Probe only ONE vertex per turn. look for the unknown location of an immobile target



Sequentiel variant : Seager (2013) : Probe only ONE vertex per turn. look for the unknown location of an immobile target



Sequentiel variant : Seager (2013) : Probe only ONE vertex per turn. look for the unknown location of an immobile target



Sequentiel variant : Seager (2013) : Probe only ONE vertex per turn. look for the unknown location of an immobile target



Sequentiel variant : Seager (2013) : Probe only ONE vertex per turn. look for the unknown location of an immobile target



Sequentiel variant : Seager (2013) : Probe only ONE vertex per turn. look for the unknown location of an immobile target



Target found in < n turns in any *n*-node graph :

Probe each vertex (but one) one by one

Sequentiel variant : Seager (2013) : Probe only ONE vertex per turn. look for the unknown location of an immobile target



Target found in < n turns in any *n*-node graph :

Probe each vertex (but one) one by one

Goal : Minimize # of turns to locate an immobile target hidden in G.

6/19





Bipartite graph : characters / characteristics + One universal vertex not depicted on the figure



Bipartite graph : characters / characteristics + One universal vertex not depicted on the figure

Always better to probe a "characteristic-vertex"



Bipartite graph : characters / characteristics + One universal vertex not depicted on the figure

Always better to probe a "characteristic-vertex"



Bipartite graph : characters / characteristics + One universal vertex not depicted on the figure

Always better to probe a "characteristic-vertex"

What if more than one vertex can be probed per turn?

Sequential Metric Dimension of G

Given k, ℓ, G , is it possible to locate the **immobile** target in G in at most ℓ turns by probing (absolute distances) at most $k \ge 1$ vertices each turn.

 $\lambda_k(G)$: min. # turns to locate an immobile target, probing k vertices per turn.

What if more than one vertex can be probed per turn?

Sequential Metric Dimension of G

Given k, ℓ, G , is it possible to locate the **immobile** target in G in at most ℓ turns by probing (absolute distances) at most $k \ge 1$ vertices each turn.

 $\lambda_k(G)$: min. # turns to locate an immobile target, probing k vertices per turn. **Remark**: for any $G, k \ge 1, \lambda_k(G) \le \lceil \frac{MD(G)}{k} \rceil$

(at each turn, probe k vertices of an optimal resolving set)

What if more than one vertex can be probed per turn?

Sequential Metric Dimension of G

Given k, ℓ, G , is it possible to locate the **immobile** target in G in at most ℓ turns by probing (**absolute distances**) at most $k \ge 1$ vertices each turn.

 $\lambda_k(G)$: min. # turns to locate an immobile target, probing k vertices per turn. **Remark**: for any $G, k \ge 1$, $\lambda_k(G) \le \lceil \frac{MD(G)}{k} \rceil$



What if more than one vertex can be probed per turn?

Sequential Metric Dimension of G

Given k, ℓ, G , is it possible to locate the **immobile** target in G in at most ℓ turns by probing (**absolute distances**) at most $k \ge 1$ vertices each turn.

 $\lambda_k(G)$: min. # turns to locate an immobile target, probing k vertices per turn. **Remark**: for any $G, k \ge 1$, $\lambda_k(G) \le \lceil \frac{MD(G)}{k} \rceil$



$$\lambda_4(G) \leq \lceil \frac{19}{4} \rceil = 5.$$

But...

What if more than one vertex can be probed per turn?

Sequential Metric Dimension of G

Given k, ℓ, G , is it possible to locate the **immobile** target in G in at most ℓ turns by probing (**absolute distances**) at most $k \ge 1$ vertices each turn.

 $\lambda_k(G)$: min. # turns to locate an immobile target, probing k vertices per turn. **Remark**: for any $G, k \ge 1$, $\lambda_k(G) \le \lceil \frac{MD(G)}{k} \rceil$



$$\lambda_4(G) \leq \lceil \frac{19}{4} \rceil = 5.$$

But...

What if more than one vertex can be probed per turn?

Sequential Metric Dimension of G

Given k, ℓ, G , is it possible to locate the **immobile** target in G in at most ℓ turns by probing (**absolute distances**) at most $k \ge 1$ vertices each turn.

 $\lambda_k(G)$: min. # turns to locate an immobile target, probing k vertices per turn. **Remark**: for any $G, k \ge 1$, $\lambda_k(G) \le \lceil \frac{MD(G)}{k} \rceil$



Metric Dimension MD(G) = 19

 $\lambda_4(G) \leq \lceil \frac{19}{4} \rceil = 5.$ But...

In one turn, only five locations remain possible

What if more than one vertex can be probed per turn?

Sequential Metric Dimension of G

Given k, ℓ, G , is it possible to locate the **immobile** target in G in at most ℓ turns by probing (**absolute distances**) at most $k \ge 1$ vertices each turn.

 $\lambda_k(G)$: min. # turns to locate an immobile target, probing k vertices per turn. **Remark**: for any $G, k \ge 1$, $\lambda_k(G) \le \lceil \frac{MD(G)}{k} \rceil$



$$\lambda_4(G) \leq \lceil \frac{19}{4} \rceil = 5.$$

But...
 $\lambda_4(G) = 2 < \lceil \frac{19}{4} \rceil.$

What if more than one vertex can be probed per turn?

Sequential Metric Dimension of G

Given k, ℓ, G , is it possible to locate the **immobile** target in G in at most ℓ turns by probing (**absolute distances**) at most $k \ge 1$ vertices each turn.

 $\lambda_k(G)$: min. # turns to locate an immobile target, probing k vertices per turn. **Remark**: for any $G, k \ge 1$, $\lambda_k(G) \le \lceil \frac{MD(G)}{k} \rceil$



Metric Dimension MD(G) = 19 $\lambda_4(G) = 2 < \lceil \frac{19}{4} \rceil$.

Lemma : for any $k \ge 1$

 $\lambda_k(G)$ may be arbitrary smaller than

$$\left\lceil \frac{MD(G)}{k} \right\rceil$$

 $\lambda_k(G)$: min. # turns to locate an immobile target, probing k vertices per turn.

In general graphs :

Computational complexity	[Bensmail et al. 2018]
• Let $k \ge 1$ be a fixed integer.	
The problem that takes any graph <i>G</i> with diameter 2 and $\ell \ge 1$ as inputs and decides if $\lambda_k(G) \le \ell$ is NP-complete.	an integer
• Let $\ell \geq 1$ be a fixed integer.	
The problem that takes any graph G with diameter 2 and $k \ge 1$ as inputs and decides if $\lambda_k(G) \le \ell$ is NP-complete.	an integer

Previous results extend (because of diameter 2) to the case of relative distances

Polynomial-time algorithm

[Bensmail et al. 2018]

Let k, ℓ ≥ 1 be two fixed integers. The problem of deciding if λ_k(G) ≤ ℓ, for any n-node graph G, can be solved in time n^{O(kℓ)}.

Open : FPT algorithm in $k + \ell$? What about particular graph classes (planar...)? 9/19

 $\lambda_k(G)$: min. # turns to locate an immobile target, probing k vertices per turn.

In TREES :



Open : FPT algorithm in *k* for trees? exact or approximation algorithms in other graph classes?
Probing k vertices per steps, find the target in the min. $\lambda_k(T)$ number of steps.

Deciding the first k vertices to be probed (first step)	[Bensmail et al. 2018]
NP-complete : Reduction from Hitting Set	
Probing k vertices per steps, find the target in the min. $\lambda_k^X(T)$ steps, given that the first k vertices (set X) to be probed (first	

If first step given : dynamic programming

 $\lambda_k^X(T)$ can be computed in time $O(n \log n)$ in *n*-node trees *T*.

Polynomial-time algorithms

[Bensmail et al. 2018]

[Bensmail et al. 2018]

- There exists a polynomial-time +1-approximation to compute $\lambda_k(T)$.
- Let k ≥ 1 be a fixed integer. The problem of deciding if λ_k(T) ≤ ℓ, for any n-node tree T and any ℓ ≥ 1, can be solved in time O(n^{k+1} log n). (try all O(n^k) possible first steps)

Metric dimension and Centroidal dimension

2 Sequential localization of an immobile target

3 Sequential localization of a mobile target



The game(s) in a graph G

```
Initialization : Target chooses an (unknown) vertex t \in V(G)
Each turn :
```

- Player probes $k \ge 1$ vertices \Rightarrow absolute/relative distances to Target.
- Then, Target may move to some neighbor of its current location.

Goal : locate the target after a finite number of steps.

Sequential localization against a moving target

The game(s) in a graph G

Initialization : Target chooses an (unknown) vertex $t \in V(G)$ **Each turn :**

- Player probes $k \ge 1$ vertices \Rightarrow absolute/relative distances to Target.
- Then, Target may move to some neighbor of its current location.

Goal : locate the target after a finite number of steps.

If $G = K_3$ (triangle) and k = 1, the target cannot be located.





If the target cannot move in K_3	If the target can move (along one edge) after every	
In \leq 2 steps, the target is located.		12/19
	probe. No way to locate the target in K_3 !	

The game(s) in a graph G

Initialization : Target chooses an (unknown) vertex $t \in V(G)$ **Each turn :**

- Player probes $k \ge 1$ vertices \Rightarrow absolute/relative distances to Target.
- Then, Target may move to some neighbor of its current location.

Goal : locate the target after a finite number of steps.

If $G = K_3$ (triangle) and k = 1, the target cannot be located.

Minimize k such that Target can be located in finite number of steps whatever be Target's strategy

 $\zeta(G) = \min k$ with **absolute** distances $\zeta^*(G) = \min k$ with **relative** distances

 $\zeta(G) \leq \zeta^*(G)$ for all graphs G

Sequential localization against a moving target

 $\zeta(G)$: min. number of probes (absolute distances) per turn to locate the target moving in *G*?

 $\zeta^*(G)$: min. number of probes (**relative** distances) per turn to locate the target moving in *G*?

Sequential localization against a moving target

 $\zeta(G)$: min. number of probes (**absolute** distances) per turn to locate the target moving in *G*? $\zeta^*(G)$: min. number of probes (**relative** distances) per turn to locate the target moving in *G*?

Localization of a moving target in a graph G:[Seager 13]• $\zeta(T) \leq 2$ for any tree T; Characterization for $\zeta(T) = 2$;[Seager 14]• Deciding if $\zeta(G) \leq k$ (resp., $\zeta^*(G) \leq k$) is NP-hard;[Bosek et al. 18]• $\zeta^*(T) \leq 2$ for any tree T;[Bosek et al. 18]• $\zeta^*(C) = k$ (resp., $\zeta^*(G) \leq k$) is NP-hard;[Bosek et al. 18]

- ζ(G) unbounded in the class of graphs obtained from a tree plus one vertex (i.e., with treewidth ≤ 2);
- $\zeta(G) \leq k$ (resp., $\zeta^*(G) \leq k+1$) for any graph G with **pathwidth** k

[Bosek et al. 18]

• For any **outerplanar** graph $G \Rightarrow tw(G) \le 2$, $\zeta^*(G) \le 3$ [Bosek et al. 18] and $\zeta(G) \le 2$ [Bonato,Kinnersley 18].

Open : $\zeta^*(G) \leq 2$ for any outerplanar *G*? what about other graph classes? **Tradeoff** between # vertices to be probed at each step and number of steps? ^{13/19}

2 Sequential localization of an immobile target

3 Sequential localization of a **mobile** target



4 Metric dimension in oriented graphs

Metric Dimension in Oriented Graphs

Orientation of *G* : each edge $\{u, v\}$ becomes exactly one arc among uv or vu. Probing a vertex $v \in V(G) \Rightarrow$ the distance $dist_G(v, t)$ FROM v TO t.

Resolving set : set of vertices to probe s.t. the target is uniquely located Set $R = \{v_1, \dots, v_i\} \subseteq V$ s.t. $(dist_D(v_i, v))_{j \leq i}$ pairwise distinct $\forall v \in V$.

Remark : A priori, $dist_D(v, t)$ may be ∞ .

Metric Dimension in Oriented Graphs

Orientation of *G* : each edge $\{u, v\}$ becomes exactly one arc among uv or vu. Probing a vertex $v \in V(G) \Rightarrow$ the distance $dist_G(v, t)$ FROM v TO t.

Resolving set : set of vertices to probe s.t. the target is uniquely located Set $R = \{v_1, \dots, v_i\} \subseteq V$ s.t. $(dist_D(v_i, v))_{j \leq i}$ pairwise distinct $\forall v \in V$.

Remark : A priori, $dist_D(v, t)$ may be ∞ .

MD(D): min. size of a resolving set in a oriented graph D.

Computation of MD(D)

- upper bounds [Chartrand et al. 00]
- NP-complete in strong oriented graphs [Rajan et al. 14] and DAGs [Araújo et al. 20]
- complete graphs [Lozano 13], Cayley digraphs [Fehr et al. 06], de Bruijn and Kautz [Rajan et al. 14], poly-time algorithm for oriented trees [Araújo et al. 20]

Worst/Best Oriented Metric Dimension (WOMD/BOMD)

Given a class \mathcal{G} of undirected *n*-node graphs, • $WOMD_{(s)}(\mathcal{G}) = \max_{\substack{D \ (strong) \ orientation \ of \ G \in \mathcal{G}}} MD(D)$ • $BOMD_{(s)}(\mathcal{G}) = \min_{\substack{D \ (strong) \ orientation \ of \ G \in \mathcal{G}}} MD(D)$

Worst/Best Oriented Metric Dimension (WOMD/BOMD)



- $WOMD_{(s)}(\mathcal{G}) = \max_{D \text{ (strong) orientation of } G \in \mathcal{G}} MD(D)$
- $BOMD_{(s)}(\mathcal{G}) = \min_{D \text{ (strong) orientation of } G \in \mathcal{G}} MD(D)$

Very few previous work

- tournaments : WOMD(K_n) = n/2
- \mathcal{H} , class of Hamiltonian graphs : $BOMD(\mathcal{H}) = 1$.

Every Hamiltonian graph has an orientation D with MD(D) = 1.



[Chartrand et al. 01]

Some recent results

\mathcal{G}_Δ : class of *n*-node graphs with maximum degree $\leq \Delta$. [Bensmail, Mc Inerney, N. 19]

- $\frac{2n}{5} \leq WOMD_s(\mathcal{G}_3) \leq \frac{n}{2}$
- $\frac{n}{2} \leq WOMD_s(\mathcal{G}_4) \leq \frac{6n}{7}$
- $\lim_{\Delta \to \infty} WOMD_s(\mathcal{G}_{\Delta}) = n$

Grids : class of cartesian n-node grids.

• $\frac{n}{2} \leq WOMD_s(Grids) \leq \frac{2n}{3}$

Trees[Araújo et al. 20]• $WOMD(T) \in \{\alpha(T) - 1, \alpha(T)\}$ for any tree T. α independence number• WOMD(T) and BOMT(T) can be computed in linear time
(surprisingly technical) dynamic programming

Open : Close the gaps in grids. Other graph classes... None is known about sequential metric dimension in oriented graphs.

[Bensmail, Mc Inerney, N. 19]

Conclusion : Recap on some open problems

Centroidal dimension

• Exact value for paths unknown. Other graph classes...

Sequential metric dimension, immobile target

- FPT in $k + \ell$?
- What about particular graph classes (planar...)? (when k or ℓ is fixed)
- FPT in trees? exact or approximation algorithms in general graphs?

Sequential metric dimension, mobile target

- Tradeoff between # probed vertices and number of steps?

Oriented graphs

- Close the gaps in grids, bounded max. degree. Other graph classes...
- None is known about sequential metric dimension in oriented graphs.



WOMD/BOMD...

 $\lambda_k(G) \leq \ell$?

$\overline{CD}(G)$

Conclusion : Recap on some open problems

Centroidal dimension

• Exact value for paths unknown. Other graph classes...

Sequential metric dimension, immobile target

- FPT in $k + \ell$?
- What about particular graph classes (planar...)? (when k or ℓ is fixed)
- FPT in trees? exact or approximation algorithms in general graphs?

Sequential metric dimension, mobile target

- $\zeta^*(G) \leq 2$ for any outerplanar G? Other graph classes?
- Tradeoff between # probed vertices and number of steps?

Oriented graphs

- Close the gaps in grids, bounded max. degree. Other graph classes...
- None is known about sequential metric dimension in oriented graphs.

Thank you ! !



$\zeta(G), \zeta^*(G)$

WOMD/BOMD...

$\lambda_k(G) \leq \ell$?

May 11-15th, 2020 \rightarrow (hopefully) May 15-21th, 2021...

GRASTA 2020 10th Workshop on GRAph Searching, Theory and Applications

May 11st-15th, 2020, Porquerolles, France



http://www-sop.inria.fr/coati/events/grasta2020/

if you are not already in the mailing list and want to attend, send me an email.