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## Last Lecture

$\star$ a language $L \subseteq \Sigma^{\omega}$ is $\omega$-regular if $L=\bigcup_{0 \leq i \leq n} U_{i} \cdot V_{i}^{\omega}$ for regular languages $U_{i}, V_{i}$ $(0 \leq i \leq n)$

* a Büchi Automaton is structurally similar to an NFA, but recognizes words $w \in \Sigma^{\infty}$ that visit final states infinitely often


## Theorem

For recognisable $U \in \Sigma^{*}$ and $V, W \in \Sigma^{\omega}$ the following are recognisable:

1. union $V \cup W$
2. intersection $V \cap W$
3. left-concatenation $U \cdot V$
4. $\omega$-iteration $U^{\omega}$
5. complement $\bar{V}$

## Theorem

$L \in \omega \operatorname{REG}(\Sigma)$ if and only if $L=L(\mathcal{A})$ for some NBA $\mathcal{A}$

## Theorem

For every MSO formula $\phi$ there exists an NBA $\mathcal{A}_{\phi}$ s.t. $\hat{\mathrm{L}}(\phi)=\mathrm{L}\left(\mathcal{A}_{\phi}\right)$.

## Today's Lecture

1. Linear temporal logic (LTL)
2. LTL model checking

Linear temporal logic

## Motivation

» linear temporal logic is a logic for reasoning about events in time

- always not $(\phi \wedge \psi)$
- always (Request implies eventually Grant)
- always (Request implies (Request until Grant))
* LTL shares algorithmic solutions with MSO


## Formal Definition

$\star$ the set of LTL formulas over propositions $\mathcal{P}=\{p, q, \ldots\}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi, \psi::=p|\phi \vee \psi| \neg \phi & \text { (Propositional Formulas) } \\
|\times \phi| \phi \cup \psi & \text { (Next and Until) }
\end{aligned}
$$
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$\star$ LTL is a logic of temporal sequences, modeled as infinite words over $\Sigma \triangleq 2^{\mathcal{P}}$

## Formal Definition

$\star$ the set of LTL formulas over propositions $\mathcal{P}=\{p, q, \ldots\}$ is given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\phi, \psi::=p|\phi \vee \psi| \neg \phi \\
|\times \phi| \phi \cup \psi
\end{gathered}
$$

(Propositional Formulas)
(Next and Until)
$\star$ LTL is a logic of temporal sequences, modeled as infinite words over $\Sigma \triangleq 2^{\mathcal{P}}$
$\star$ for a sentence $\phi$ and $w=P_{0} P_{1} P_{2} \ldots$, we define $w \vDash \phi$ as $w ; 0 \vDash \phi$ where

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
w ; i \vDash p & : \Leftrightarrow & p \in P_{i} \\
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& & \text { and } w ; j \vDash \psi \text { for all } i \leq j<k
\end{array}
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|\times \phi| \phi \cup \psi
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(Next and Until)
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* a LTL formula $\phi$ defines the language $\mathrm{L}(\phi) \triangleq\{w \mid w \vDash \phi\}$


## Derived Operators and Positive Normal Forms

| finally: | $\mathrm{F} \phi$ | $: \Leftrightarrow 丁 \cup \phi$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| globally: | $\mathrm{G} \phi$ | $: \Leftrightarrow$ | $\neg(\mathrm{F} \neg \phi)$ |
| release: | $\phi R \psi$ | $: \Leftrightarrow$ | $\neg(\neg \phi \cup \neg \psi)$ |


release: $\quad \phi \mathrm{R} \psi \quad: \Leftrightarrow \quad \neg(\neg \phi \mathrm{U} \neg \psi)$
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\phi, \psi::=p|\neg p| \phi \wedge \psi|\phi \vee \psi| \times \phi|\phi \cup \psi| \phi \mathrm{R} \psi
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- negation only in front of literals


## Derived Operators and Positive Normal Forms

| finally: | $F \phi$ | $: \Leftrightarrow \top \cup \phi$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| globally: | $G \phi$ | $: \Leftrightarrow$ | $\neg(F \neg \phi)$ |

release: $\quad \phi \mathrm{R} \psi: \Leftrightarrow \quad \neg(\neg \phi \mathrm{U} \neg \psi)$

$\star \mathrm{F} \phi, \mathrm{G} \phi$ and $\mathrm{X} \phi$ are sometimes denoted by $\diamond \phi$, $\square \phi$ and $\circ \phi$, respectively
$\star$ a formula $\phi$ is in positive normal form (PNF) if it is derived from the following grammar:

$$
\phi, \psi::=p|\neg p| \phi \wedge \psi|\phi \vee \psi| \times \phi|\phi \cup \psi| \phi \mathrm{R} \psi
$$

- negation only in front of literals


## Lemma

Every formula $\phi$ can be turned into an equivalent formula $\psi$ in PNF with $|\psi| \leq 2|\phi|$

## Safety Properties in LTL

Safety $=$ something bad never happens $=G \neg \phi_{\text {bad }}$
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* | ...The light is blinking
* b ...The barrier is down
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Safety $=$ something bad never happens $=G \neg \phi_{\text {bad }}$

## Example



* a ...A train is approaching
$\star$ c ...A train is crossing
$\star$ | ...The light is blinking
$\star$ b ...The barrier is down
* when a train is crossing, the barrier is down:

$$
G(c \rightarrow b) \equiv G \neg(c \wedge \neg b)
$$

* if a train is approaching or crossing, the light must be blinking:

$$
G(a \vee c \rightarrow I) \equiv G \neg((a \vee c) \wedge \neg I)
$$

* if the barrier is up and the light is off, no train is approaching or crossing:

$$
\mathrm{G}(\neg \mathrm{~b} \wedge \neg \mathrm{l} \rightarrow \neg \mathrm{a} \wedge \neg \mathrm{c}) \equiv \mathrm{G} \neg(\neg \mathrm{~b} \wedge \neg \mathrm{l} \wedge(\mathrm{a} \vee \mathrm{c}))
$$
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## Liveness Properties in LTL

Liveness $=$ something intiated eventually terminates $=\mathrm{G}\left(\phi_{\text {init }} \rightarrow \mathrm{F} \phi_{\text {term }}\right)$

* approaching trains eventually cross:

$$
\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{a} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fc})
$$

* when a train is approaching, the barrier is down before it crosses:

$$
G(a \rightarrow \neg c \cup b)
$$

* if a train finished crossing, the barrier will be eventually risen

$$
G(c \wedge X \neg c \rightarrow X F \neg b)
$$

## Characterising LTL

$\star$ LTL can be "expressed" within MSO $\equiv$ Büchi Automata
$\star$ MSO and Büchi Automata are strictly more expressive
LTL recognisability < $\omega$-regular

* LTL most naturally translated to alternating Büchi Automata (ABA)
* loop-free (very weak) ABA characterise precisely the class of LTL recognisable languages


## Characterising LTL

« LTL can be "expressed" within MSO 三 Büchi Automata
$\star$ MSO and Büchi Automata are strictly more expressive
LTL recognisability < $\omega$-regular

* LTL most naturally translated to alternating Büchi Automata (ABA)
* loop-free (very weak) ABA characterise precisely the class of LTL recognisable languages


## Example

the Büchi Automaton $\mathcal{A}$ over $\mathcal{P}=\{p, q\}$ given by

is not loop-free (and cannot be turned into equivalent loop-free one)
$\Rightarrow \mathrm{L}(\mathcal{A})$ not expressible in LTL

## (Very Weak) Alternating Büchi Automata

* an alternating Büchi Automaton (ABA) is a tuple $\mathcal{A}=\left(Q, \Sigma, q_{l}, \delta, F\right)$ identical to an AFA
$\star$ execution on words $w \in \Sigma^{\omega}$ are now infinite tree $T_{w}$
$\star$ an execution is accepting in the sense of Büchi: every path visits $F$ infinitely often
$\star \mathrm{L}(\mathcal{A}) \triangleq\left\{w \in \Sigma^{\omega} \mid\right.$ there exist an accepting execution $T_{w}$ for $\left.w\right\}$
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## (Very Weak) Alternating Büchi Automata

* an alternating Büchi Automaton (ABA) is a tuple $\mathcal{A}=\left(Q, \Sigma, q_{l}, \delta, F\right)$ identical to an AFA
$\star$ execution on words $w \in \Sigma^{\omega}$ are now infinite tree $T_{w}$
$\star$ an execution is accepting in the sense of Büchi: every path visits $F$ infinitely often
$\star \mathrm{L}(\mathcal{A}) \triangleq\left\{w \in \Sigma^{\omega} \mid\right.$ there exist an accepting execution $T_{w}$ for $\left.w\right\}$
$\star$ very weak $A B A($ VWABA $)$ is an ABA if for every a $\in \Sigma, \xrightarrow{a} \subseteq \leq$ for some linear order $\leq \subseteq Q \times Q$
Example

$G p \wedge F q$


## LTL and Automata

## Theorem

Let $L$ be a language over $\Sigma=2^{\mathcal{P}}$. The following are equivalent:
$\star L$ is $L T L$ definable.
$\star L$ is recognizable by VWABA.

## From Automata to LTL

fix a VWABA $\mathcal{A}=\left(\left\{q_{0}, \ldots, q_{n}\right\}, 2^{\mathcal{P}}, q_{0}, \delta, F\right)$ where wlog. $q_{0}>q_{1}>\cdots>q_{n}$
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* for propositions $P \subseteq \mathcal{P}$, the construction uses the characteristic function

$$
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## From Automata to LTL

fix a VWABA $\mathcal{A}=\left(\left\{q_{0}, \ldots, q_{n}\right\}, 2^{\mathcal{P}}, q_{0}, \delta, F\right)$ where wlog. $q_{0}>q_{1}>\cdots>q_{n}$

* since $\mathcal{A}$ is very weak, there are transitions from $q_{i}$ to $q_{j}$ only if $i \geq j$
$\star$ we now associate each state $q_{i}$ with a formula $\phi_{i}$ s.t.

$$
\mathrm{L}\left(\phi_{i}\right)=\mathrm{L}_{\mathcal{A}}\left(q_{i}\right)
$$

$\star$ this can be done inductively: while construction $\phi_{i}$, we already have suitable formulas $\phi_{j}$ for $i>j$

* for propositions $P \subseteq \mathcal{P}$, the construction uses the characteristic function

$$
\chi_{P} \triangleq\left(\bigwedge_{p \in P} p\right) \wedge\left(\bigwedge_{p \notin P} \neg p\right)
$$

* the construction differs whether the state is final, we thus consider two cases


## From Automata to LTL (II)

fix a VWABA $\mathcal{A}=\left(\left\{q_{0}, \ldots, q_{n}\right\}, 2^{\mathcal{P}}, q_{0}, \delta, F\right)$ where wlog. $q_{0}>q_{1}>\cdots>q_{n}$

* informally, $\phi_{i}$ should satisfy

$$
\phi_{i} \equiv \bigvee_{P \subseteq \mathcal{P}} \chi_{P} \wedge X\left(\delta\left(q_{i}, P\right)\left[q_{i} / \phi_{i}, q_{i+1} / \phi_{i+1} \ldots, q_{n} / \phi_{n}\right]\right)
$$

* to get rid of the "recursive definition", we distinguish two cases:
- if $q_{i} \notin F$ then we rewrite the right-hand side as $\psi \vee\left(\rho \wedge \mathrm{X} \phi_{i}\right)$ and set

$$
\phi_{i} \triangleq \rho \cup \psi
$$

- if $q_{i} \in F$ then we rewrite the right-hand side as $\psi \wedge\left(\rho \vee \mathrm{X} \phi_{i}\right)$ and set

$$
\phi_{i} \triangleq \mathrm{G} \psi \vee(\psi \cup(\rho \wedge \psi))
$$

## From LTL to Automata

the $\mathrm{ABA} \mathcal{A}_{\phi}$ for a PNF formula $\phi$ is given by $\left(Q, 2^{\mathcal{P}}, \phi, \delta, F\right)$ where
$\star Q \triangleq\{T, \perp\} \cup\left\{q_{\psi} \mid \psi\right.$ occurs as sub-formula in $\left.\phi\right\}$

## From LTL to Automata

the $\mathrm{ABA} \mathcal{A}_{\phi}$ for a PNF formula $\phi$ is given by $\left(Q, 2^{\mathcal{P}}, \phi, \delta, F\right)$ where
$\star Q \triangleq\{T, \perp\} \cup\left\{q_{\psi} \mid \psi\right.$ occurs as sub-formula in $\left.\phi\right\}$
$\star$ the transition function $\delta: Q \times 2^{\mathcal{P}} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^{+}(Q)$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta(\top, P) \triangleq \top \quad \delta(\perp, P) \triangleq \perp \quad \delta\left(q_{p}, P\right) \triangleq\left\{\begin{array} { l l } 
{ \top } & { \text { if } p \in P } \\
{ \perp } & { \text { if } p \notin P }
\end{array} \quad \delta ( q _ { \neg p } , P ) \triangleq \left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\perp & \text { if } p \in P \\
\top & \text { if } p \notin P
\end{array}\right.\right. \\
& \delta\left(q_{\psi_{1} \wedge \psi_{2}}, P\right) \triangleq \delta\left(q_{\psi_{1}}, P\right) \wedge \delta\left(q_{\psi_{2}}, P\right) \\
& \quad \delta\left(q_{\psi_{1} \vee \psi_{2}}, P\right) \triangleq \delta\left(q_{\psi_{1}}, P\right) \vee \delta\left(q_{\psi_{2}}, P\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\delta\left(q_{\times \psi}, P\right) \triangleq q_{\psi}
$$

$$
\delta\left(q_{\psi_{1} \cup \psi_{2}}, P\right) \triangleq \delta\left(q_{\psi_{2}}, P\right) \vee\left(\delta\left(q_{\psi_{1}}, P\right) \wedge q_{\psi_{1} \cup \psi_{2}}\right)
$$

$$
\delta\left(q_{\psi_{1} \mathrm{R} \psi_{2}}, P\right) \triangleq \delta\left(q_{\psi_{2}}, P\right) \wedge\left(\delta\left(q_{\psi_{1}}, P\right) \vee q_{\psi_{1} \mathrm{R} \psi_{2}}\right)
$$

## From LTL to Automata

the $\mathrm{ABA} \mathcal{A}_{\phi}$ for a PNF formula $\phi$ is given by $\left(Q, 2^{\mathcal{P}}, \phi, \delta, F\right)$ where
$\star Q \triangleq\{T, \perp\} \cup\left\{q_{\psi} \mid \psi\right.$ occurs as sub-formula in $\left.\phi\right\}$
$\star$ the transition function $\delta: Q \times 2^{\mathcal{P}} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^{+}(Q)$ is given by

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\delta(\top, P) \triangleq \top \quad \delta(\perp, P) \triangleq \perp \quad \delta\left(q_{p}, P\right) \triangleq\left\{\begin{array} { l l l } 
{ \top } & { \text { if } p \in P } \\
{ \perp } & { \text { if } p \notin P }
\end{array} \quad \delta ( q _ { \neg p } , P ) \triangleq \left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\perp & \text { if } p \in P \\
\top & \text { if } p \notin P
\end{array}\right.\right. \\
\delta\left(q_{\psi_{1} \wedge \psi_{2}}, P\right) \triangleq \delta\left(q_{\psi_{1}}, P\right) \wedge \delta\left(q_{\psi_{2}}, P\right) \\
\quad \delta\left(q_{\psi_{1} \vee \psi_{2}}, P\right) \triangleq \delta\left(q_{\psi_{1}}, P\right) \vee \delta\left(q_{\psi_{2}}, P\right)
\end{array}\right\} \begin{aligned}
& \delta\left(q_{\times}, P\right) \triangleq q_{\psi} \\
& \delta\left(q_{\psi_{1} \cup \psi_{2}}, P\right) \triangleq \delta\left(q_{\psi_{2}}, P\right) \vee\left(\delta\left(q_{\psi_{1}}, P\right) \wedge q_{\psi_{1} \cup \psi_{2}}\right) \\
& \delta\left(q_{\psi_{1} \mathrm{R} \psi_{2}}, P\right) \triangleq \delta\left(q_{\psi_{2}}, P\right) \wedge\left(\delta\left(q_{\psi_{1}}, P\right) \vee q_{\psi_{1} \mathrm{R} \psi_{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\star$ the only final states are $T$ and $q_{\psi_{1} R \psi_{2}} \in Q$

## From LTL to Automata

the $\operatorname{ABA} \mathcal{A}_{\phi}$ for a PNF formula $\phi$ is given by $\left(Q, 2^{\mathcal{P}}, \phi, \delta, F\right)$ where
$\star Q \triangleq\{T, \perp\} \cup\left\{q_{\psi} \mid \psi\right.$ occurs as sub-formula in $\left.\phi\right\}$
$\star$ the transition function $\delta: Q \times 2^{\mathcal{P}} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^{+}(Q)$ is given by

$$
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{ T } & { \text { if } p \in P } \\
{ \perp } & { \text { if } p \notin P }
\end{array} \quad \delta ( q _ { \neg p } , P ) \triangleq \left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\perp & \text { if } p \in P \\
\top & \text { if } p \notin P
\end{array}\right.\right. \\
\delta\left(q_{\psi_{1} \wedge \psi_{2}}, P\right) \triangleq \delta\left(q_{\psi_{1}}, P\right) \wedge \delta\left(q_{\psi_{2}}, P\right) \\
\quad \delta\left(q_{\psi_{1} \vee \psi_{2}}, P\right) \triangleq \delta\left(q_{\psi_{1}}, P\right) \vee \delta\left(q_{\psi_{2}}, P\right)
\end{array}\right\} \begin{aligned}
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\end{aligned}
$$

$\star$ the only final states are $T$ and $q_{\psi_{1} R \psi_{2}} \in Q$

## Notes

$\star \mathcal{A}_{\phi}$ is linear in size in $|\phi|$
$\star$ using the construction for AFAs, this ABA can be transformed to an NBA of size $\mathrm{O}\left(2^{|\phi|}\right)$
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## Transition Systems (TSs)

« transition systems capture evolution of state based programs etc.

* they can be seen as finite representations of potentially infinitely many program runs
$\star$ a transition system (TR) is a tuple $\mathcal{S}=\left(S, \rightarrow, s_{l}, \lambda\right)$ where

1. $S$ is a set of states
2. $\rightarrow \subseteq S \times S$ is a transition relation
3. $s_{l} \in S$ is an initial state
4. $\lambda: S \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{P}}$ a labeling of states by propositions $\mathcal{P}$

* we assume $\mathcal{S}$ is total, i.e. every node has a successor: $\forall s \in S . \exists t \in S . s \rightarrow t$
$\star$ a run in a total TS is an infinite word $w=P_{0} P_{1} P_{2} \ldots$ such that $\lambda\left(s_{i}\right)=P_{i}$ for an infinite path

$$
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## LTL Model Checking In Practice

Explicit Model Checking: each automaton node is an individual state
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Symbolic Model Checking: each automaton node represents a set of state, symbolically

* SMV model checker: http://www.cs.cmu.edu/~modelcheck/smv.html
they have been successfully applied in industrial contexts (see e.g.
http://spinroot.com/spin/success.html)


## Main Challenge

* while real problems have a finite number of states, we deal with an astronmoical number of cases
$\star$ industrial-strength tools such as the ones above generate $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{\neg \phi}$ on-the-fly and implement several techniques to combat state-space explosion
- partial order reduction: detects when an ordering of interleavings is irrelevant. E.g., the $n$ ! transitions of $n$ concurrently executing processes is reduced to 1 representative transition, when ordering irrelevant for property under investigation
- Bounded Model Checking: check that $\phi$ is violated in $\leq k$ steps
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