

C5. Syzygies, Elimination, Sylvester mult. ①

1) Computing syzygies of an ideal

• Suppose given an ideal $\mathcal{I} = (f_1, \dots, f_m) \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n] =: R$

For computing the 1st step of a F.F.R. of \mathcal{I} one needs to compute the syzygies of \mathcal{I} :

$$\text{Syz}(\mathcal{I}) := \{ (h_1, \dots, h_m) \mid \sum h_i f_i = 0 \} \subset R^m$$

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Syz}(\mathcal{I}) \rightarrow R^m \xrightarrow{f_1 \dots f_m} R \rightarrow R_{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow 0$$

Actually, what we need is a generating set of $\text{Syz}(\mathcal{I}) \subset R^m$.

• Let $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_s\}$ be a G.B. of $\mathcal{I} = (g_1, \dots, g_s)$.

$$S(g_i, g_j) = \frac{x^\gamma}{\text{LT}(g_i)} g_i - \frac{x^\gamma}{\text{LT}(g_j)} g_j \quad x^\gamma = \text{LCM}(\text{LT}(g_i), \text{LT}(g_j))$$

Since G is a G.B. these S -pairs reduce to 0. Hence, by the division algorithm we get:

$$S(g_i, g_j) = \sum_{k=1}^s a_{ijk} g_k, \quad a_{ijk} \text{ polynomials.}$$

Now, let $a_{ij} := a_{ij1} e_1 + \dots + a_{ijs} e_s \in R^s = \bigoplus_{\alpha=1}^s R e_\alpha$

$$\text{and } \Delta_{ij} := \frac{x^\gamma}{\text{LT}(g_i)} e_i - \frac{x^\gamma}{\text{LT}(g_j)} e_j - a_{ij} \quad \forall i \neq j$$

THM (Schreyer): The set $\{s_{ij}, 1 \leq i, j \leq s\}$ for a generating set of $Syz(\mathbb{I})$ as an R -module.

Proof: See C.L.O. (technical).

ms) Buchberger's algorithm, with a slight modification, gives

$$R^N \begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ \hat{s}_{ij} \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow R^S (g_1, \dots, g_s) \rightarrow R \rightarrow \frac{R}{\mathbb{I}} \rightarrow 0$$

To continue this process to get a F.F.R, we need to compute the syzygies of a submodule of R^S , not only a submodule of R (i.e. an ideal).

2) Grobner basis for modules.

Goal: Shortly introduce G.B. for submodule $M \subset R^m$ $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

Typical questions: - Given $M \subset R^m$ and $f \in R^m$, determine if $f \in M$.

- Given $\{f_1, \dots, f_s\} \subset R^m$, find a set of generators for the syzygy $Syz(f_1, \dots, f_s) \subset R^s$

Extending Grobner basis to modules requires three things: define monomial orders, construct a division algo, get a Buchberger-like algorithm.

• Monomial orders.

- a monomial $m \in R^m = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m R e_i$ is an elt of the form $x^\alpha e_i$

- Every $f \in R^m$ can be written as $\sum_{i=1}^m c_i m_i$, $c_i \in R$.

$$\left(f = \begin{pmatrix} 5x \\ 2y \\ x+iz \end{pmatrix} = 5x e_1 + 2y e_2 + x e_3 + iz e_3 \right)$$

- Let $m = x^\alpha e_i$ and $n = x^\beta e_j$.

$$n \mid m \iff i=j \text{ and } x^\beta \mid x^\alpha$$

and if $n \mid m$ then $\frac{m}{n} = \frac{x^\alpha}{x^\beta} \in R$.

$$- \text{GCD}(m, n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \\ \text{GCD}(x^\alpha, x^\beta) \cdot e_i & \text{if } i=j \end{cases} \quad \text{LCM}(m, n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \\ \text{LCM}(x^\alpha, x^\beta) e_i & \text{if } i=j \end{cases}$$

- An ordering relation $>$ on the monomials of R^m is a monomial ordering if:

- $>$ is a total order
- $m, n \in R^m$, $m > n \implies x^\alpha m > x^\alpha n \quad \forall x^\alpha \in R$
- $>$ is well-ordering

(very similar to the previous case where $m=1$).

- We can get monomial orders on R^n by extending monomial order of R as follows:

First order the entries in a column: $e_1 > e_2 > \dots > e_m$

then, let $>$ be a monomial order on R :

- TOP-extension: $x^\alpha e_i > x^\beta e_j$ if $x^\alpha > x^\beta$, or if $x^\alpha = x^\beta$ and $i < j$
- POT-extension: $x^\alpha e_i > x^\beta e_j$ if $i < j$, or if $i=j$ and $x^\alpha > x^\beta$

Now, any $f \in R^m$ is such that $f = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i m_i$, $m_1 > m_2 > \dots$

and one can define $\text{LT}(f) = c_1 m_1$, $\text{LM}(f) = m_1$.

- Division algorithm

(4)

Let $\{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$ be a s-tuple of elts in R^m . Then every $f \in R^m$ can be written as

$$f = a_1 f_1 + \dots + a_s f_s + v, \quad a_i \in R, v \in R^m$$

where - $\langle M(f) \rangle, \langle M(a_i f_i) \rangle \forall i$

- $v = 0$ or v is a k-linear combination of monomials

none of which is divisible by any $M(f_i)$.

(Same proof as in the case $m=1$).

- Groebner Basis Definition

Let M be a submodule of R^m and $>$ a monomial order.

Let $\langle LT(M) \rangle$ the monomial sub-module generated by the leading terms of all $f \in M$

Def: A finite set $\{g_1, \dots, g_s\} \subset M \subset R^m$ is called a G.B. for M if $\langle LT(M) \rangle = \langle LT(g_1), \dots, LT(g_s) \rangle$.

Proposition: Let $G := \{g_1, \dots, g_s\}$ be a G.B. for a submodule $M \subset R^m$ and let $f \in R^m$:

- i) $f \in M \iff$ the remainder of the division by G is 0
- ii) G generates M as a module.

Finally G.B. do exist for all submodules of R^m .

Rk: minimal and reduced G.B. can be defined as well.

- S-pairs

Fix a monomial order on R^m and let $f, g \in R^m$.

$$S(f, g) = \frac{m}{LT(f)} f - \frac{m}{LT(g)} g \quad \text{where } m = \text{LCM}(LT(f), LT(g)).$$

Example: $f = \begin{pmatrix} xy - x \\ x^3 + y \end{pmatrix}, g = \begin{pmatrix} x^2 + 2y^2 \\ x^2 - y^2 \end{pmatrix}$ in $k[x, y]^2$, using POT on lx .

$$LT(f) = xye_1, \quad LT(g) = x^2e_1, \quad \text{LCM}(f, g) = x^2ye_1$$

$$S(f, g) = xf - yg = \begin{pmatrix} -x^2 - 2y^3 \\ x^4 - x^2y + xy + y^3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- Buchberger's criterion and algorithm

THM: A set $G := \{g_1, \dots, g_s\} \subset R^m$ is a G.B. for the module $\langle M \rangle$ it generates iff all S-pairs reduce to zero.
(essentially same proof).

so same algorithm to build a G.B.

3) Computing F.F.R.

We proceed as in 1) to compute syzygies of a submodule $M \subset R^m$.

Let $G := \{g_1, \dots, g_s\}$ be a G.B. of M . The S-pairs reduce to zero and hence we have

$$S(g_i, g_j) = \sum a_{ijk} g_k$$

which gives a syzygy (as in 1) denoted by s_{ij} .

Thm (Scheider) Let $G := \{g_1, \dots, g_s\}$ be a G.B. The set of s_{ij} syzygies form a G.B. for the syzygy module $\lfloor \text{Syz}(g_1, \dots, g_s) \rfloor$.

[See Cox-Little-O'Shea, Chapter 5, Univ. alg. geom.]

From there, one can compute F.F.R. iteratively. (up to change of basis matrices if one wants to keep a specific list of generators instead of a G.B. - see CLO, Chapter 5).

4) Projection and elimination.

Another application of G.B. is solving polynomial systems of equations. The idea is to project down to a lower dimensional space, solve and then lift the solutions. This approach is similar to Gaussian elimination for linear systems. It can be done for polynomial systems as follows.

Def: Let $\mathcal{I} \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n] = R$ an ideal. The m^{th} elimination ideal $\lfloor \text{ideal}_m \mathcal{I} \rfloor$ of \mathcal{I} is: $m \mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I} \cap k[x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n]$.

Geometrically, consider the projection

$$\begin{array}{ccc} k^{\mathbb{A}^n} & \xrightarrow{\pi_m} & k^{\mathbb{A}^{n-m}} \\ (a_1, \dots, a_n) & \mapsto & (a_{m+1}, \dots, a_n) \end{array}$$

The projection of a variety is not necessarily a variety, but one can consider its closure (Zariski topology).

(7)

THM: Assume k is alg. closed field, then

$$\overline{\pi_m(V(I))} = V({}_m I)$$

Proof: \subseteq let $(a_{m+1}, \dots, a_n) \in \overline{\pi_m(V(I))}$; $\exists (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \pi_m^{-1}(a_{m+1}, \dots, a_n) \in V(I)$

let $f \in {}_m I$. Since $f \in I$, $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$
 But $f \in k[x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n]$ so $f(a_{m+1}, \dots, a_n) = 0$
 $f(\pi_m(a_1, \dots, a_n))$

hence $\pi_m(V(I)) \subseteq V({}_m I)$

\supseteq We show that $I(\pi_m(V(I))) \subseteq I(V({}_m I))$, which gives the result by passing to varieties.

let $g \in I(\pi_m(V(I))) \subset R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ (seen as a poly. in R).

It vanishes on $V(I)$, so $g^p \in I$, but also $g^p \in k[x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n]$

so $g^p \in {}_m I$, so $g \in \sqrt{{}_m I} = I(V({}_m I))$. \square

Now, it remains to compute ${}_m I$.

THM: let $I \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and let $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_s\}$ be a G.B. for I w.r.t. lex order $x_1 > x_2 > \dots > x_n$. Then

${}_m G := G \cap k[x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n]$ is a G.B. for ${}_m I$.

Proof: It is clear that ${}_m G \subseteq {}_m I$.

Pick $f \in {}_m I$: $f = \sum h_i g_i$ w/ly div. algo. And since we used lex order then all g_i 's that appear must be in ${}_m I$.

So ${}_m I = ({}_m G)$. It remains to show that S -pairs reduce to zero, but this is automatic as G is a G.B. \square

A classical application is to compute equations of the image of a polynomial map. ms M2 file

⑧

We will now devise tools for dealing with this question.

More precisely, we consider the implicitization of rational plane curves:

Given a map $\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ $(s:t) \mapsto (f_0 : f_1 : f_2)$ $\left(\begin{array}{c} \mathbb{A}^1 \xrightarrow{\text{embed}} \mathbb{A}^2 \\ t \mapsto \left(\frac{f_1}{f_0}, \frac{f_2}{f_0} \right) \end{array} \right)$

such that the image is a curve \mathcal{C} , compute an implicit equation of \mathcal{C} , say $F(x_0, x_1, x_2) = 0$ (of minimal degree).

This pb has a long history. It can be solved via G.B. but this is not satisfactory for many practical applications. More interesting approaches are based on resultant matrices.

4) Sylvester resultant

Let $f = a_0 s^m + \dots + a_m t^m$ and $g = b_0 s^n + \dots + b_n t^n$ be hom. polynomials in $\mathbb{A}[s, t]$ (\mathbb{A} is a comm. ring). We define

$$\text{Sylv}(f, g) := \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & 0 & \dots & b_0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_0 & \dots & b_0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_m & 0 & \dots & b_n & 0 \\ 0 & a_m & \dots & 0 & b_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} \uparrow \\ \text{m+n} \\ \downarrow \end{matrix}, \text{ equivalently}$$

$\leftarrow \begin{matrix} n & m \end{matrix} \rightarrow$

$$\begin{pmatrix} s^{m+n-1} & s^{m+n-2} & \dots & t^{m+n-1} \end{pmatrix} \text{Sylv}(f, g) = \begin{pmatrix} s^{n-1} f & t f & s^{m-1} g & \dots & t g \end{pmatrix}$$

It is called the Sylvester matrix of f, g of degree m, n .

More abstractly, $\text{Syl}(f, g)$ is the matrix of the map

(9)

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} A[s, t](-m) & \oplus & A[s, t](-n) \\ u & & v \end{array} \xrightarrow{\Psi} A[s, t] \right]_{m+n-1}$$

in canonical bases.

Def. The Sylvester resultant is defined as

$$\lfloor \quad \text{Res}(f, g) := \det(\text{Syl}(f, g)) \in A.$$

Proposition: Assume that A is a domain. $\forall f, g \in A$:

- i) $\text{Res}(f, g) \neq 0$
- ii) Ψ is injective
- iii) f and g are coprime in $\text{Frac}(A)[s, t]$
(which means no common root in $\mathbb{P}^1_{\text{Frac}(A)}$).

Moreover, if A is a field then

$$\lfloor \quad \text{corank}(\text{Syl}(f, g)) = \deg(\gcd(f, g)).$$

Proof: exercise.

• Coming back to our problem, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}^1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}^2 \\ (s, t) & \longmapsto & (f_0 : f_1 : f_2) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} f_i \text{ hom. pol. of degree } d \geq 1; \gcd(f_i) = 1 \\ \text{defining a curve of degree } d \text{ in } \mathbb{C}(x_0, x_1, x_2) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{We have } \text{Res}(f_1(s, t) - x_1 f_0(s, t), f_2(s, t) - x_2 f_0(s, t)) \\ = C(x_1, x_2)^{\text{deg}(\Phi)}. \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{deg}(\Phi)$ is the number of pre-images of a general point on the curve.

Notice that it is clear that $V(\text{Res}(-)) = V(C(1, x_1, x_2))$.

Example: circle $\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$
 $(s:t) \mapsto (s^2+t^2 : s^2-t^2 : 2st)$ $\left(t \mapsto \frac{1-t^2}{1+t^2}, \frac{2t}{1+t^2} \right)$

$$(s^2-t^2) - x_1(s^2+t^2) = s^2(1-x_1) + t^2(-1-x_1) = 0$$

$$2st - x_2(s^2+t^2) = s^2(-x_2) + 2st + t^2(-x_2) = 0$$

$$\text{Sylv}(-,-) = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cc|cc} sf & tf & sg & tg \\ \hline 1-x_1 & 0 & -x_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1-x_1 & 2 & -x_2 \\ -1-x_1 & 0 & -x_2 & 2 \\ 0 & -1-x_1 & 0 & -x_2 \end{array} \begin{array}{l} s^3 \\ s^2t \\ st^2 \\ t^3 \end{array} \\ \text{det} = 4(x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 1) \end{array}$$

We notice that the size of Sylv here is $2d \times 2d$, whereas we expect something of degree d (actually $d = \text{deg}(\phi) \cdot \text{deg}(\psi)$).

It turns out that

$$\text{Rs}(x_0 f_1 - x_1 f_0, x_0 f_2 - x_2 f_0) = \underbrace{x_0^d}_{\text{hidden extraneous factor}} C(x_0, x_1, x_2)^{\text{deg}(\phi)}$$