# Microscopic behavior of TCP

Vincent Dumas

Fabrice Guillemin Bert Zwart

Philippe Robert

May, 16 2002

## Contents

1	Introduction	4
2	Non correlated case: Convergence results	8
3	Non correlated case: The equilibrium	12
4	General loss processes	16

## References

- Vincent Dumas, Fabrice Guillemin, and Philippe Robert, A Markovian analysis of additive-increase multiplicative-decrease (AIMD) algorithms, Advances in Applied Probability 34 (2002), no. 1, –.
- [2] Fabrice Guillemin, Philippe Robert, and Bert Zwart, AIMD algorithms and exponential functionals, Submitted to the Annals of Applied Probability (2002).

# 1. Introduction

## Setting

- Long TCP connection (Elephant).
- Network is a black box rejecting some packets.
- Analysis of the successive congestion window sizes  $(W_n)$  when loss rate  $\alpha$  is small.

## Setting

- Long TCP connection (Elephant).
- Network is a black box rejecting some packets.
- Analysis of the successive congestion window sizes  $(W_n)$  when loss rate  $\alpha$  is small.

## Assumptions

• Model for packet losses.

## Setting

- Long TCP connection (Elephant).
- Network is a black box rejecting some packets.
- Analysis of the successive congestion window sizes  $(W_n)$  when loss rate  $\alpha$  is small.

## Assumptions

• Model for packet losses.

## Objectives

- Prove rigourous convergence results.
- Give explicit expressions of the distributions involved.

Congestion avoidance: The additive increase and multiplicative decrease scheme Congestion avoidance: The additive increase and multiplicative decrease scheme

 $W_n$  is the size of the *n*th congestion window. If  $W_n = x \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

 $W_{n+1} = \begin{cases} \lfloor \delta x \rfloor & \text{if one of the } x \text{ packets is lost,} \\ x+1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ 

An elementary model: the non correlated case

- Each packet has a probability  $\alpha$  of being lost.
- Packet losses are independent.

An elementary model: the non correlated case

- Each packet has a probability  $\alpha$  of being lost.
- Packet losses are independent.

The independence assumption

An elementary model: the non correlated case

- Each packet has a probability  $\alpha$  of being lost.
- Packet losses are independent.

The independence assumption

Reasonable: the network evolves rapidly.

An elementary model: the non correlated case

- Each packet has a probability  $\alpha$  of being lost.
- Packet losses are independent.

The independence assumption

Reasonable: the network evolves rapidly.

Not realistic: losses occur by groups.

## 2. Non correlated case: Convergence results

 $(W_n^{\alpha})$ : sequence of successive window sizes.

Transitions of the Markov chain.

If  $W_0^{\alpha} = x$ 

-  $W_1^{\alpha} = \lfloor \delta x \rfloor$ , with probability  $1 - \exp(-\alpha x)$ .

-  $W_1^{\alpha} = x + 1$ , with probability  $\exp(-\alpha x)$ .

The invariant probability is  $\pi^{\alpha} = (\pi_n^{\alpha})$ ,  $W_{\infty}^{\alpha}$  is some random variable with distribution  $\pi^{\alpha}$ .

The congestion window size is  $\sim 1/\sqrt{\alpha}$ .

The congestion window size is  $\sim 1/\sqrt{\alpha}$ .

## **Theorem.** If $\lim_{\alpha\to 0} \sqrt{\alpha} W_0^{\alpha} = x$ , and

 $W^{\alpha}(t) = \sqrt{\alpha} W^{\alpha}_{\lfloor t/\sqrt{\alpha} \rfloor},$ 

The congestion window size is  $\sim 1/\sqrt{\alpha}$ .

**Theorem.** If  $\lim_{\alpha\to 0} \sqrt{\alpha} W_0^{\alpha} = x$ , and

 $W^{\alpha}(t) = \sqrt{\alpha} W^{\alpha}_{\lfloor t/\sqrt{\alpha} \rfloor},$ 

then  $(W^{\alpha}(t))$  converges in distribution to the Markov process  $(\overline{W}(t))$  whose infinitesimal generator is given by

$$\Omega(f)(x) = f'(x) + x \left( f(\delta x) - f(x) \right)$$

and  $\overline{W}(0) = x$ .

The embedded Markov chain  $(V_n^{\alpha})$ 

 $V_n^{\alpha}$  is the window size after the *n*th loss.

The embedded Markov chain  $(V_n^{\alpha})$ 

 $V_n^{\alpha}$  is the window size after the *n*th loss.

**Theorem.** If  $\lim_{\alpha\to 0} \sqrt{\alpha} V_0^{\alpha} = \overline{v}$ , then  $(\sqrt{\alpha} V_n^{\alpha})$  converges in distribution to the Markov chain  $(\overline{V}_n)$ , with  $\overline{V}_0 = \overline{v}$  and the transitions

$$\overline{V}_{n+1} \stackrel{dist.}{=} \delta\left(\overline{V}_n + \overline{G}_{\overline{V}_n}\right),$$

where for  $x \ge 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\overline{G}_x \ge y\right) = e^{-(xy+y^2/2)}.$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\alpha}W^{\alpha}_{\lfloor t/\sqrt{\alpha} \rfloor} \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{t \to +\infty} \sqrt{\alpha}W^{\alpha}_{\infty} \\ \xrightarrow{\alpha \to 0} \downarrow \\ (\overline{W}(t)) \\ (\sqrt{\alpha}V^{\alpha}_{n}) \xrightarrow{n \to +\infty} \sqrt{\alpha}V^{\alpha}_{\infty} \\ \xrightarrow{\alpha \to 0} \downarrow \\ (\overline{V}_{n}) \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} (\sqrt{\alpha} v_n) & \longrightarrow & \sqrt{\alpha} v_{\alpha} \\ \\ \alpha \rightarrow 0 & \downarrow \\ & (\overline{V}_n) \end{array}$$



$\left(\sqrt{lpha}V_n^lpha ight)$	$\xrightarrow{n \to +\infty}$	$\sqrt{lpha}V^{lpha}_{\infty}$
$\alpha \rightarrow 0$		
$\left(\overline{V}_n ight)$		



$\left(\sqrt{lpha}V_n^lpha ight)$	$\xrightarrow{n \to +\infty}$	$\sqrt{\alpha}V^{\alpha}_{\infty}$
$\alpha \rightarrow 0 \qquad \downarrow$		
$\left(\overline{V}_n ight)$		



$\left(\sqrt{lpha}V_n^lpha ight)$	$\xrightarrow{n \to +\infty}$	$\sqrt{lpha}V^{lpha}_{\infty}$
$\alpha \rightarrow 0$		
$\left(\overline{V}_n ight)$		



$\left(\sqrt{lpha}V_n^lpha ight)$	$\xrightarrow{n \to +\infty}$	$\sqrt{lpha}V^{lpha}_{\infty}$
$\alpha \rightarrow 0 \qquad \downarrow$		
$\left(\overline{V}_n ight)$	$\longrightarrow$	



$\left(\sqrt{lpha}V_n^lpha ight)$	$\xrightarrow{n \to +\infty}$	$\sqrt{lpha}V^{lpha}_{\infty}$
$\alpha \rightarrow 0$		
$\left(\overline{V}_n ight)$	$\longrightarrow$	$\overline{V}_{\infty}.$



**Theorem.** The above diagrams commute.



**Theorem.** The above diagrams commute. Proof: Exponential estimates of hittings times.

# 3. Non correlated case: The equilibrium

 $(x + \overline{G}_x)^2 \stackrel{dist.}{=} 2E_1 + x^2,$ 

for  $x \ge 0$ , where  $E_1$  is an exponentially distributed random variable with parameter 1.

 $(x + \overline{G}_x)^2 \stackrel{dist.}{=} 2E_1 + x^2,$ 

for  $x \ge 0$ , where  $E_1$  is an exponentially distributed random variable with parameter 1.

Consequence for the embedded chain:

 $\overline{V}_{n+1} = \delta \left( \overline{V}_n + \overline{G}_{\overline{V}_n} \right)$ 

 $(x + \overline{G}_x)^2 \stackrel{dist.}{=} 2E_1 + x^2,$ 

for  $x \ge 0$ , where  $E_1$  is an exponentially distributed random variable with parameter 1.

Consequence for the embedded chain:

 $\overline{V}_{n+1}^2 = \delta \left( \overline{V}_n + \overline{G}_{\overline{V}_n} \right)$ 

 $(x + \overline{G}_x)^2 \stackrel{dist.}{=} 2E_1 + x^2,$ 

for  $x \ge 0$ , where  $E_1$  is an exponentially distributed random variable with parameter 1.

Consequence for the embedded chain:

 $\overline{V}_{n+1}^2 = \delta^2 \left( \overline{V}_n + \overline{G}_{\overline{V}_n} \right)^2$ 

 $(x + \overline{G}_x)^2 \stackrel{dist.}{=} 2E_1 + x^2,$ 

for  $x \ge 0$ , where  $E_1$  is an exponentially distributed random variable with parameter 1.

Consequence for the embedded chain:

$$\overline{V}_{n+1}^{2} = \delta^{2} \left( \overline{V}_{n} + \overline{G}_{\overline{V}_{n}} \right)^{2}$$
$$\stackrel{dist.}{=} \delta^{2} \left( \overline{V}_{n}^{2} + 2E_{n} \right)$$

 $(x+\overline{G}_x)^2 \stackrel{dist.}{=} 2E_1 + x^2,$ 

for  $x \ge 0$ , where  $E_1$  is an exponentially distributed random variable with parameter 1.

Consequence for the embedded chain:

$$\overline{V}_{n+1}^{2} = \delta^{2} \left( \overline{V}_{n} + \overline{G}_{\overline{V}_{n}} \right)^{2}$$
$$\stackrel{dist.}{\equiv} \delta^{2} \left( \overline{V}_{n}^{2} + 2E_{n} \right)$$

**Proposition.** The sequence  $\left(\overline{V}_n^2\right)$  is AR (Auto-Regressive):

$$\overline{V}_{n+1}^2 = \delta^2 \left( \overline{V}_n^2 + 2E_n \right)$$

 $(E_n)$  i.i.d. exponential variables with parameter 1.

#### Invariant measure of the AR process

**Theorem.** The distribution of the random variable  $\overline{V}_{\infty}$  is given by

$$\overline{V}_{\infty}^2/2 \stackrel{dist.}{=} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \delta^{2n} E_n \stackrel{dist.}{=} \int_0^{+\infty} \delta^{2N(s)} ds.$$

where  $(E_i)$  are i.i.d. exp. random variables with parameter 1; N Poisson process with parameter 1.

#### Invariant measure of the AR process

**Theorem.** The distribution of the random variable  $\overline{V}_{\infty}$  is given by

$$\overline{V}_{\infty}^2/2 \stackrel{dist.}{=} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \delta^{2n} E_n \stackrel{dist.}{=} \int_0^{+\infty} \delta^{2N(s)} ds.$$

where  $(E_i)$  are i.i.d. exp. random variables with parameter 1; *N* Poisson process with parameter 1. Its density  $h_{\delta}$  given by

$$h_{\delta}(x) = \frac{1}{\prod_{n=1}^{+\infty} (1-\delta^n)} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (1-\delta^{-2k})} \delta^{-2n} x e^{-\delta^{-2n} x^2/2},$$

for  $x \geq 0$ .

# **Corollary.** The throughput of the TCP model is given by

$$\lim_{\alpha \to 0} \sqrt{\alpha} \mathbb{E} \left( W_{\infty}^{\alpha} \right) \stackrel{def.}{=} \overline{\rho}(\delta) = \frac{1}{\mathbb{E} \left( \overline{V}_{\infty} \right)} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \prod_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{(1-\delta^{2n})}{(1-\delta^{2n-1})}.$$

## **Corollary.** The throughput of the TCP model is given by

$$\lim_{\alpha \to 0} \sqrt{\alpha} \mathbb{E} \left( W_{\infty}^{\alpha} \right) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \overline{\rho}(\delta) = \frac{1}{\mathbb{E} \left( \overline{V}_{\infty} \right)} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \prod_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{(1-\delta^{2n})}{(1-\delta^{2n-1})}.$$

 $\overline{\rho}(1/2) \sim 1.3098,$ 

**Corollary.** The throughput of the TCP model is given by

$$\lim_{\alpha \to 0} \sqrt{\alpha} \mathbb{E} \left( W_{\infty}^{\alpha} \right) \stackrel{def.}{=} \overline{\rho}(\delta) = \frac{1}{\mathbb{E} \left( \overline{V}_{\infty} \right)} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \prod_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{(1 - \delta^{2n})}{(1 - \delta^{2n-1})}.$$

 $\overline{
ho}(1/2) \sim 1.3098,$ Tail distribution:  $\mathbb{P}\left(\overline{W}_{\infty} \geq x\right) \sim C \exp\left(-x^2/\delta^2\right).$ 

# 4. General loss processes

## Non correlated model:



## Non correlated model:



"Real" loss process (Paxson 1997):



$$\overline{V}_{n+1}^2 = \delta^2 \left( \overline{V}_n^2 + 2E_n \right)$$

$$\overline{V}_{n+1}^2 = \delta^{2X_n} \left( \overline{V}_n^2 + 2E_n \right)$$

$$\overline{V}_{n+1}^2 = \delta^{2X_n} \left( \overline{V}_n^2 + 2E_n \right)$$

 $(E_n)$  i.i.d. exponential variables with parameter 1,  $(X_n)$  i.i.d. integer valued random variables.

$$\overline{V}_{n+1}^2 = \delta^{2X_n} \left( \overline{V}_n^2 + 2E_n \right)$$

 $(E_n)$  i.i.d. exponential variables with parameter 1,  $(X_n)$  i.i.d. integer valued random variables.

#### Equilibrium

$$\overline{V}_{\infty}^{2}/2 \stackrel{dist.}{=} \beta^{X_{0}} \left( \overline{V}_{\infty}^{2}/2 + E_{1} \right)$$
$$\overline{V}_{\infty}^{2}/2 \stackrel{dist.}{=} I = \int_{0}^{+\infty} \beta^{X(s)} ds,$$

with  $\beta = \delta^2$ ,

$$X(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{N(t)} X_i,$$

N Poisson process with parameter 1.

*I* is an integral exponential functional of a Lévy process

$$I = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{Y(s)} \, ds.$$

with  $Y(t) = (X_1 + \dots + X_{N(t)}) \log \beta$ , N is a Poisson process with parameter 1.

*I* is an integral exponential functional of a Lévy process

$$I = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{Y(s)} \, ds.$$

with  $Y(t) = (X_1 + \dots + X_{N(t)}) \log \beta$ , N is a Poisson process with parameter 1.

When  $Y(t) = B(t) - \mu t$ , (B(t)) Brownian motion and  $\mu > 0$ , I plays a role in mathematical finance models and statistical physics.

Work by Bertoin, Carmona, Monthus, Petit, Yor (and many others).

The distribution of  $\boldsymbol{I}$ 

The Laplace transform of I is given by,

$$\mathbb{E}\left(e^{-\lambda I}\right) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\lambda)^m}{\prod_{k=1}^m \left(1 - \mathbb{E}(\beta^{kX_1})\right)},$$

## The distribution of $\boldsymbol{I}$

The Laplace transform of *I* is given by,

$$\mathbb{E}\left(e^{-\lambda I}\right) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\lambda)^m}{\prod_{k=1}^m \left(1 - \mathbb{E}(\beta^{kX_1})\right)},$$

If 
$$X_1 \in \{1, \dots, n+1\}$$
,  
 $1 - \mathbb{E}(u^{X_1}) = (1-u)(1-a_1u)\dots)(1-a_nu),$   
 $\mathbb{E}(e^{-\lambda I}) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\lambda)^m}{(a_1\beta;\beta)_m\dots(a_n\beta;\beta)_m(\beta;\beta)_m}$ 

with the q-factorial  $(a;q)_m = (1-a)(1-aq)\dots(1-aq^{m-1})$  $1 \le m \le +\infty$ . The distribution of *I* 

The Laplace transform of I is given by,

$$\mathbb{E}\left(e^{-\lambda I}
ight) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} rac{(-\lambda)^m}{\prod_{k=1}^m \left(1 - \mathbb{E}(eta^{kX_1})
ight)},$$

If  $X_1 \in \{1, \ldots, n+1\}$ ,

$$1-\mathbb{E}\left(u^{X_1}\right)=(1-u)(1-a_1u)\ldots)(1-a_nu),$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left(e^{-\lambda I}\right) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\lambda)^m}{(a_1\beta;\beta)_m \dots (a_n\beta;\beta)_m (\beta;\beta)_m}$$

with the q-factorial  $(a;q)_m = (1-a)(1-aq)\dots(1-aq^{m-1})$  $1 \le m \le +\infty$ .

The Laplace transform of I is related to a q-hypergeometric function.

The density of I is given by

 $\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} r_k eta^{-k} e^{-eta^{-k} x},$ 

The density of *I* is given by

$$\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty}r_keta^{-k}e^{-eta^{-k}x},$$

1.  $\mathbb{P}(X_1 = 1) = p = 1 - \mathbb{P}(X_1 = 2).$ 

The density of I is given by

$$\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} r_k \beta^{-k} e^{-\beta^{-k} x},$$

1.  $\mathbb{P}(X_1 = 1) = p = 1 - \mathbb{P}(X_1 = 2).$ 

$$r_k = rac{1}{(-eta(1-p);eta)_{\infty}(eta;eta)_{\infty}(1/eta;1/eta)_k} \ \sum_{m=0}^k rac{(1/eta;1/eta)_k}{(1/eta;1/eta)_m(1/eta;1/eta)_{k-m}} (-(1-p))^m.$$

The density of I is given by

$$\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} r_k \beta^{-k} e^{-\beta^{-k}x},$$

1.  $\mathbb{P}(X_1 = 1) = p = 1 - \mathbb{P}(X_1 = 2).$ 

$$r_k = rac{1}{(-eta(1-p);eta)_{\infty}(eta;eta)_{\infty}(1/eta;1/eta)_k} \ \sum_{m=0}^k rac{(1/eta;1/eta)_k}{(1/eta;1/eta)_m(1/eta;1/eta)_{k-m}} (-(1-p))^m.$$

2.  $\mathbb{P}(X_1 = n) = p^{n-1}(1-p), n \ge 1.$ 

$$r_k = rac{1}{(eta;eta)_\infty} rac{(eta^{k+1}p;eta)_\infty}{(eta;eta)_{k-1}}$$

The fractional moments of *I* 

**Proposition.** For any  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $-s \notin \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$ , if  $\mathbb{E}(\beta^{(s+1)X_1}) < +\infty$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}\left(I^{s}\right) = \Gamma(s+1) \prod_{k=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1 - \mathbb{E}\left(\beta^{(s+k)X_{1}}\right)}{1 - \mathbb{E}\left(\beta^{kX_{1}}\right)},$$

for  $u \ge \min(s + 1, 0)$ .

**Proposition.** The asymptotic throughput of an AIMD algorithm with multiplicative decrease factor  $\delta$  in a correlated loss model is given by

$$\overline{\rho}_X = \lim_{\alpha \to 0} \sqrt{\alpha \mathbb{E}(X_1)} \mathbb{E}\left(\overline{W}_{\infty}^{\alpha}\right) = \sqrt{\frac{2\mathbb{E}(X_1)}{\pi}} \prod_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1 - \mathbb{E}\left(\delta^{2nX_1}\right)}{1 - \mathbb{E}\left(\delta^{(2n-1)X_1}\right)}.$$

Question: What are the properties of the mapping

 $X \to \overline{\rho}_X(\delta)$ ?

Impact of correlation of the loss process

**Definition.** [Concave ordering of random variables] The inequality  $X \leq_{cv} Y$  holds when

## $\mathbb{E}(f(X)) \leq \mathbb{E}(f(Y))$

is true for any non-decreasing concave function on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Impact of correlation of the loss process

**Proposition.** The asymptotic throughput  $X \to \overline{\rho}_X/\sqrt{\mathbb{E}(X)}$  is a non-increasing function for the concave order,

$$X \leq_{cv} Y$$
 implies  $\frac{\overline{\rho}_X}{\sqrt{\mathbb{E}(X)}} \geq \frac{\overline{\rho}_Y}{\sqrt{\mathbb{E}(Y)}}.$  (1)

In particular, when  $\mathbb{E}(X) = \mathbb{E}(Y)$ ,  $X \leq_{cv} Y$  implies  $\overline{\rho}_X \geq \overline{\rho}_Y$ .

Impact of correlation of the loss process

**Proposition.** The asymptotic throughput  $X \to \overline{\rho}_X/\sqrt{\mathbb{E}(X)}$  is a non-increasing function for the concave order,

$$X \leq_{cv} Y$$
 implies  $\frac{\overline{\rho}_X}{\sqrt{\mathbb{E}(X)}} \geq \frac{\overline{\rho}_Y}{\sqrt{\mathbb{E}(Y)}}.$  (1)

In particular, when  $\mathbb{E}(X) = \mathbb{E}(Y)$ ,  $X \leq_{cv} Y$  implies  $\overline{\rho}_X \geq \overline{\rho}_Y$ .

## Corollary. For a fixed loss rate

- The more variable the loss process, the better the throughput.
- The non correlated model is worst than any loss model.

## Discussion

- Analogous results for Finite Maximum Window size.
- Slow start period. Duration  $-\log_2(\alpha)$ : negligible at the time scale  $1/\sqrt{\alpha}$ .
- Variable RTT's. Under weak assumptions, formulas are still valid even with dependence.
- Occurences of timeouts:  $\mathbb{P}(X_1 = +\infty) > 0$ .