BALANCED CYCLE AND CIRCUIT DESIGNS: EVEN CASES

J. C. Bermond*, C. Huang** and D. Sotteau*

1. Introduction.

A <u>k-cycle</u> (or <u>k-circuit</u>) is a set of k distinct elements, $C = (c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_k)$, such that the two elements c_i , c_{i+1} , $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ and $k+1 \equiv 1$ are linked by an edge (or by an arc from c_i to c_{i+1}) while any other two elements of C are unlinked. For undefined terms see [1].

A balanced cycle design, BCD (v,k,λ) (or a balanced circuit design, BCD* (v,k,λ)) is an arrangement of v elements into b k-cycles (or k-circuits) such that each element occurs in the same number, say r, of k-cycles (or k-circuits) and any two distinct elements x and y are linked in exactly λ k-cycles (or linked by an arc from x to y in λ k-circuits and by an arc from y to x in λ k-circuits as well) [7].

A BCD (v,k, λ) (or a BCD*(v,k, λ)) is also called a (v,k, λ) C_k -design (or a (v,k, λ) C_k -design) [4], and is essentially an edge- (or arc-) disjoint decomposition of the complete (or complete directed) multigraph with multiplicity λ , λK_v (or λK_v^*) into subgraphs isomorphic to a cycle C_k (or a circuit C_k) of length k.

It is easy to show that the number of k-cycles in a BCD (v,k,λ) is $b=\frac{\lambda v(v-1)}{2k}$ and the number of k-circuits in a BCD* (v,k,λ) is $b=\frac{\lambda v(v-1)}{k}$. We have (see [4]),

PROPOSITION 1.1. The necessary conditions for the existence of a BCD (v,k,λ) are $v\geq k$, $\lambda v(v-1)\equiv 0\pmod {2k}$ and $\lambda(v-1)\equiv 0\pmod {2}$.

ARS COMBINATORIA, Vol. 5 (1978), pp. 293-318.

 $BCD*(v,k,\lambda)$ are $v \ge k$ and $\lambda v(v-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$. PROPOSITION 1.2. The necessary conditions for the existence of a

proving is odd is considered in [5]. Partial results concerning the case k even have been obtained (see the survey in [4]). We are interested in In this paper we assume that k is even. The case where k

sitions 1.1 and 1.2 are sufficient except for CONJECTURE I. Let k be even. The necessary conditions of Propo-

(i) v = k = 4, λ odd, in Proposition 1.2

(ii) v = k = 6, $\lambda = 1$, in Proposition 1.2

and

also the existence of such designs for some modulo classes and small verification of a finite number of cases (for a given k). values of In fact, we have only been able to reduce the problem to the We prove

General Constructions

where and hence are omitted here. of BCD's and BCD*'s. Their proofs are either obvious, or given else-We list several lemmas which are useful in the construction

LEMMA 2.1. If a BCD (v,k,λ_1) and a BCD (v,k,λ_2) exist, then there exists a BCD $(v,k,p\lambda_1+q\lambda_2)$, where p and q are non-negative

vertex in $^{
m X}_{
m 1}$ is joined by $^{
m \lambda}$ edges to every vertex of $^{
m X}_{
m 2}.$ vertex set $X_1 \cup X_2$ with $\left|X_1\right| = v_1$, $i = 1, 2, X_1 \cap X_2 = \emptyset$ and any Let $\lambda K_{v_1,v_2}$ denote the complete bipartite graph with

BCD $(v_1 + v_2, k, \lambda)$ and if there exists a BCD (v_1,k,λ) for $i=1,\ 2$, then there exists LEMMA 2.2. If λ_{V_1,V_2} can be decomposed into cycles of length k

> BCD $(v_1 + v_2 + 1, k, \lambda)$. and if there exists a BCD $(v_1 + 1, k, \lambda)$, i = 1, 2, then there exists a LEMMA 2.3. If $\lambda K_{{
> m v_1,v_2}}$ can be decomposed into cycles of length k

k-circuits and $\lambda K_{1,\nu_2}$ by complete directed bipartite graph following lemmas. $\lambda K^{*}_{V_{1}}, v_{2}$. Relations between BCD's and BCD*'s are given in the Similar results hold for BCD*'s by replacing k-cycles by

 $BCD*(v,k,\lambda)$. LEMMA 2.4. If there exists a BCD (v,k,λ) , then there exists

does not (the necessary conditions are not satisfied). true, for example, a BCD*(8,8,1) exists ([3]) but a BCD(8,8,1)Remark. The converse of the statement is not necessarily

 $BCD(v,k,2\lambda)$. LEMMA 2.5. If there exists a BCD* (v,k,λ) , then there exists a

BCD(4,4,2) exists but a BCD*(4,4,1) does not (see [3]). Remark. The converse is again not true, for example, a

results which have been obtained in [11]. In order to apply Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 we need the following

and only if v_1 and v_2 are even, $v_1, v_2 \ge n$ and $v_1v_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2n}$. LEMMA 2.6. v_1, v_2 is decomposable into cycles of length 2n if

if and only if $~v_1,v_2 \geq n~$ and $~v_1v_2 \equiv 0~$ (mod n). LEMMA 2.7. $K*_{v_1,v_2}$ is decomposable into circuits of length 2n

v, we use a direct construction which is analagous to R. C. Bose's method of symmetrically repeated differences from smaller ones; to solve the existence problem for small values of All these lemmas enable us to construct BCD's and BCD*'s

In this paper, the elements of a BCD (v,k,λ) , D , are represented by residue classes modulo n, where n=v or v-1. In the latter case, the extra element is represented by $\infty.$ The set of the n elements is denoted by Z_n .

Let A be an automorphism of D. Two cycles C_1 and C_j are said to be in the same orbit if $A^P(C_1)=C_j$ for some $p\geq 1$. An orbit can be represented by any one of its cycles, which will be called a base cycle and the order of the base cycle is the cardinality of the orbit it belongs to. Hence a collection of base cycles, one from each orbit, determines the whole design when automorphism A is applied. A BCD is said to be cyclic if A consists of a single cycle of length v, without loss of generality, let $A=(0\ 1\ 2\ldots (v-1))$. Remark. We can define base circuits similarly.

To construct base cycles or base circuits, we need families of differences. We will first consider the directed case. If c_1 and c_2 are linked in a circuit C by an arc from c_1 to c_2 , then $d_{1j}=c_1-c_1$ is called the difference between c_1 and c_2 (it is actually the arc from c_1 to c_2). If $c_3=\infty$, then $d_{1j}=\infty$ and if $c_1=\infty$ then $d_{1j}=-\infty$. An element d of $\{1,2,\ldots,n-1\}$ \cup $\{+\infty,-\infty\}$ is said to occur p times as a difference in a base circuit C of order m if pn/m elements d_{1j} in C are of the value d. Notice that d_{1j} 's are taken modulo n and the element $+\infty$ or $-\infty$ can appear at most once as a difference d_{1j} in a circuit.

The family of base circuits C_1 , i \in I determine the whole design if for each d in $\{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$ or $\{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$ or $\{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$ \cup $\{+\infty, -\infty\}$, depending on whether n = v or n = v-1, $\sum\limits_{i \in I} p_i^d = \lambda$, where p_i^d denotes the number of times the element d occurs in the circuit C_i .

To construct a base circuit C_1 , it is sufficient to $\frac{\text{either}}{d_1} \text{ a family } D = \{d_1,\ d_2,\ \dots,\ d_k\}, \text{ with }$ $d_i \in \{1,\ 2,\ \dots,\ n-1\}$ such that

(1)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} d_i = 0$$
 and $\sum_{i=\alpha}^{\alpha} d_i \neq 0$ for $1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq k$,

$$(\alpha, \beta) \neq (1, 1)$$

and in this case, the base circuit can easily be constructed, for

$$c = (0, d_1, d_1 + d_2, ..., d_1 + d_2 + d_3 + ... + d_{k-1});$$

or a family
$$D = \{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{k-2}\}$$
, with

 $d_i \in \{1, 2, \dots, v-2\}$ such that

(2)
$$\sum_{i=\alpha}^{\beta} d_i \neq 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq k-2$$

and in this case, $C = (\infty, 0, d_1, d_1 + d_2, \dots, d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_{k-2})$.

For the undirected case, the method is similar; as the arc from $c_{\underline{1}}$ to $c_{\underline{1}}$ are not distinguishable, the difference between $c_{\underline{1}}$ and $c_{\underline{j}}$ is defined to be

$$d_{ij} = \min\{|c_i - c_j|, n - |c_i - c_j|\}$$
.

 $d_{\mbox{1j}}$ is sometimes called the $\underline{edge\mbox{ length}}$ of the edge joining $c_{\mbox{1}}$ and $c_{\mbox{j}}$.

As we deal with collections of elements rather than with sets and we want to retain the multiplicities, we will denote by $\mathtt{D}_1 \cup \mathtt{D}_2$ the result of adjoining the elements of \mathtt{D}_1 to those of \mathtt{D}_2 with total multiplicities retained. In particular, sD will denote a collection of elements of D with multiplicities being increased s fold. Finally, we denote by $\mathtt{I}_{\mathtt{t}}$ the set $\{1,\ 2,\ \ldots,\ t\}$.

The Construction of BCD* (v,k,λ) , k even.

find

In this section, we give the constructions of balanced circuit designs, BCD*(v,k, λ) for some modulo classes of v and even k

Proof. If there exists a BCD*(v,k, λ), then b = $\lambda v(v-1)/k$ must be integral. Let x be the g.c.d. of λ and k, and put $\lambda = \lambda' x$, k = k'x. Then v(v-1)/k' is an integer. Let y be the g.c.d. of v and k', put k = xyz, v = yzt + ℓ y with t \geq 0, 0 \leq ℓ \leq z, then

$$z | (yzt + \ell y - 1)$$
 if $\ell > 0$.

We will give the proof of the following theorem later.

THEOREM 3.2. If there exists a BCD*(v_0 ,k, λ) for $v_0 = \overline{v} + pyz$, k = xyz and $\lambda = x$, where $0 \le p \le x-1$, then there exists a BCD*(v_1 ,k, λ) for $v = \overline{v} + qyz$, k = xyz and $\lambda \equiv 0 \pmod{x}$ for any $q \ge 0$; k is even.

An important consequence of this theorem is that the verification of Conjecture I (in directed case) is reduced to a finite number of cases, namely,

COROLLARY. The necessary condition for the existence of a BCD*(v,k,λ) in Lemma 3.1 is also sufficient for k even if there exists a BCD*(v_0,k,λ) for $v_0=(x+p)yz+\ell y$, k=xyz, k=xyz,

We have the following lemma [3]

LEMMA 3.3. There exists a BCD*(k+1,k,1) for any integer k.

LEMMA 3.4. If there exists a BCD*(v,k,x), then there exists a BCD*(v+k,k,x), where k is even.

Proof. Applying Lemma 2.1 to the result of Lemma 3.3, a BCD*(k+1,k,x) exists. By hypothesis, a BCD*(v,k,x) exists. Then apply Lemma 2.3 for the directed case with v_1 = v-1, v_2 = k, λ = x; since $K^*_{v_1,v_2}$ can be decomposed into circuits of length k (Lemma 2.7), a BCD*(v+k,k,x) exists.

We can now return to Theorem 3.2.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Assume that a BCD*(\overline{v} + pyz,xyz,x) exists for $0 \le p \le x-1$, then a BCD*(\overline{v} + pyz + k, xyz,x) exists lso by Lemma 3.4. Now put q = sx + p where $s \ge 0$, then we can prove the existence of a BCD*(v,xyz,x), where $v = \overline{v} + qyz = \overline{v} + sk + pyz$, by induction on s. Lemma 2.1 is used to prove cases where $\lambda > x$.

Note. Unless otherwise stated, k is always even in this section, furthermore, we only construct designs with minimal $\,\lambda,\,$ in view of Lemma 2.1.

THEOREM 3.5. There exists a BCD*(tyz+1,xyz, λ) for any t \geq x and λ \equiv 0 (mod x).

If there exists a BCD*(tyz+1,xyz,x) the number of k-circuits is b = vt, where v = tyz + 1; hence we will construct t base circuits C_1 , i = 1, 2, ..., t, each of order v. In fact, we will look for t collections of k elements each,

$$D_{i} = \{d_{i_{1}}, d_{i_{2}}, \dots, d_{i_{k}}\}, i = 1, 2, \dots, t$$

such that the elements satisfy condition (1), that is

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(3) & \sum_{j=1}^{k} d_{i,j} = 0 \\
\end{array}$$

(4)
$$\sum_{j=\alpha}^{\beta} d_{ij} \neq 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq k, (\alpha,\beta) \neq (1,k)$$

and, in addition,

(5)
$$\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq t} \mathbb{D}_i = x \mathbb{I}_{v-1}$$

Consider the case when v is odd. Put

$$D' = \{1, 2, ..., (v-1)/2, 1, 2, ..., (v-1)/2,\}$$

1, 2, ...,
$$(v-1)/2$$
,

that is, x copies of $I_{(v-1)/2}$ in the given order. Now let S_1 contain the first k/2 elements of D', S_2 contain the following k/2 elements and so on, so S_t contains the last k/2 elements. Then reorder each S_j so that its elements are in a strictly increasing order, that is,

 j_1 j_2 $j_{k/2}$

FOR $L \leq J \leq t$, put

..., a_{j_2} , $-a_{j_1}$, $+a_{j_k/2}$ }, where $+a_{j_k/2}$ and hence upper signs

are used when $k\equiv 2\pmod 4$ and -a, and hence lower signs are used when $k\equiv 0\pmod 4$.

 $^{\Phi}$ It is easy to see that the $D_{j}^{\ \prime}s$ satisfy condition (3) and (5) since

To verify that the D_1 's satisfy condition (4) we use the following property: let $b_1 < b_2 < \ldots < b_s$ be s elements of Z_n . Then b_1 , $b_1 - b_2$, $b_1 - b_2 + b_3$, ..., $b_1 - b_2 + b_3 - \ldots$ the (+b if s is odd, -b if s is even) are all different from zero and their absolute value is strictly less than b_s .

For the case where v is even, let

 $D' = \{1, 2, \dots, \frac{v}{2}, \dots, 1, 2, \dots, \frac{v}{2}, \dots, 1, 2, \dots, \frac{v}{2} - 1, \dots, 1, 2, \dots, \frac{v}{2} - 1\}$

that is $\frac{x}{2}$ copies of $I_{v/2}$ following by $\frac{x}{2}$ copies of $I_{v/2-1}$ in the given order. Then we construct t sets S_j and t sets D_j exactly as in the odd case. Hence a BCD*(tyz+1,xyz,x) exists and the proof is complete.

Remark. 1) The design BCD*(tyz+1,xyz, λ), λ \equiv 0 (mod x), constructed in the last proof is cyclic.

2) We constructed a design for any $t \ge x$ although Theorem 3.2 and its Corollary imply that it suffices to prove the existence for t, where $x \le t \le 2x-1$.

THEOREM 3.6. A BCD*(tyz,xyz, λ) exists for all t \geq x and λ \equiv 0 (mod x), except that a BCD*(4,4, λ) with λ odd and a BCD*(6,6,1) do not exist.

Proof. The existence of a BCD*(tyz,xyz,x) implies that we must have b = x(tyz)(tyz-1)/xyz = t(v-1) k-circuits. Hence we will construct t base circuits, each of order v-1. Represent the elements of the design by $Z_{v-1} \cup \{\infty\}$.

Again, we will look for x sets $D_j = \{d_i, d_j, \dots, d_i\}$,

for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, x$, which satisfy

(4') $\sum_{i=\alpha}^{\beta} d_{j}i \neq 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq k-2$ and for t-x sets, $D_{j} = \{d_{j_{1}}, d_{j_{2}}, \ldots, d_{j_{k}}\}$, where $j = x+1, x+2, \ldots$ t which satisfy (3), (4) and

$$(5') \qquad \bigcup_{1 \le j \le t} D_j = x I_{v-2}.$$

When v is even, put $D' = \{1,2,\ldots,(v-2)/2,1,2,\ldots,(v-2)/2,\ldots,1,2,\ldots,(v-2)/2\}\;,$ that is, x copies of $I_{(v-2)/2}$ in the given order. When v is odd, let D' consist of $\frac{x}{2}$ copies of $I_{(v-1)/2}$ followed by $\frac{x}{2}$ copies of $I_{(v-3)/2}$.

Now let F_1 contain the first $\frac{k}{2}-1$ elements of D' and F_2 contain the next $\frac{k}{2}-1$ elements of D' and so on, for F_3 , F_4 , ..., F_x ; then, let S_{x+1} contain the following $\frac{k}{2}$ elements of D' and so on, and finally S_t contains the last $\frac{k}{2}$ elements of D'. From the sets S_j , $x+1 \le j \le t$, we obtain the sets D_j which satisfy conditions (3) and (4) using the same method as in the proof of Theorem 3.5.

Case 1: x even. In this case, we can partition the sets F_1 , $1 \le i \le x$ into consecutive pairs, $F_{2j-1} \cup F_{2j}$, $1 \le j \le \frac{x}{2}$. If an element a occurs twice in a union, then replace one of them by v-1-a, and then reorder the elements in a strictly increasing order; that is, $(F_{2j-1} \cup F_{2j}) = \{a_j, a_j, \cdots, a_{jk-2}\}$ with that is, $(F_{2j-1} \cup F_{2j}) = \{a_j, a_j, \cdots, a_{jk-2}\}$ with $a_j \in A_2$. Then for $1 \le j \le \frac{x}{2}$, put

$$D_{2j-1} = \{a_j, -a_j, a_j, \dots, -a_{j_{k-2}}\}$$

Again it is easy to see that the D $_j$'s $1\leq j\leq x$ satisfy conditions (4') and that D $_j$, $1\leq j\leq t,$ satisfy (5').

Example. A BCD*(12,8,4) exists. Here $v=12,\ k=8,\ x=4$ and t=6.

 $D^{\dagger} = \{1,2,3,4,5,1,2,3,4,5,1,2,3,4,5,1,2,3,4,5\}$ $E_{-} = \{1,2,3,4,5,1,2,3,4,5,1,2,3,4,5\}$

$$\begin{split} & \mathbf{F_1} = \{1,2,3\}, \quad \mathbf{F_2} = \{4,5,1\}, \quad \mathbf{F_3} = \{2,3,4\}, \quad \mathbf{F_4} = \{5,1,2\} \\ & \mathbf{S_5} = \{3,4,5,1\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{S_6} = \{2,3,4,5\} \; . \\ & \mathbf{F_1} \cup_{\mathbf{F_2}} \mathbf{F_2} = \{1,2,3,4,5,1\}, \quad (\mathbf{F_1} \cup \mathbf{F_2})^\intercal = \{1,2,3,4,5,10\} \; , \\ & \text{Hence} \quad \mathbf{D_1} = \{1,-2,3,-4,5,-10\}, \quad \mathbf{D_2} = \{-1,2,-3,4,-5,10\} \; . \\ & \mathbf{F_3} \cup \mathbf{F_4} = \{2,3,4,5,1,2\}, \quad (\mathbf{F_3} \cup \mathbf{F_4})^\intercal = \{1,2,3,4,5,9\} \; , \\ & \text{Hence} \quad \mathbf{D_3} = \{1,-2,3,-4,5,-9\}, \quad \mathbf{D_4} = \{-1,2,-3,4,-5,9\} \; . \\ & \text{Also,} \quad \mathbf{D_5} = \{1,-3,4,-5,-4,3,-1,5\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{D_6} = \{2,-3,4,-5,-4,3,-2,5\} \; . \end{split}$$

(a) x=1. The existence of a BCD*(tyz,yz,λ) for any λ follows from that of a BCD*(yz,yz,1) by Theorem 3.2. The existence of a BCD*(k,k,1) is equivalent to the existence of a decomposition of K* into hamiltonian circuits. It is known that the decomposition is impossible for k = 4 or 6 and it has been proved recently [12] that a BCD*(k,k,1) exists for all k ≥ 8. When k = 4, a BCD*(4,4,λ) for λ odd does not exist (see Lemma 4.2). A BCD*(4,4,λ) exists for any t ≥ 2 and any λ. When k = 6, a BCD*(6,6,1) does not exist ([2]), Hence, by Theorem 3.2, a BCD*(6,6,1) does not exist ([3]); but there exists a BCD*(6,6,2), (i.e. x = 2 in Case 1) and a BCD*(6,6,3) ([2]); thus, by Lemma 2.1, a BCD*(6,6,λ) exists for any λ ≥ 2. Furthermore a BCD*(12,6,1) exists ([2]). Hence, by Theorem 3.2, a BCD*(6,6,λ) exists for any t ≥ 2 and any λ.

(b) $x \ge 3$. By Theorem 3.2, it suffices to show the existence of a $BCD^*(tyz,xyz,x)$ for $x \le t \le 2x-1$. For t=x, this follows from case (a). Thus we will suppose that $x < t \le 2x-1$; hence v is even.

As in the construction for the case where x is even, we partition D' into x sets F_1 's and t-x sets S_j 's. We now have an odd number of F_1 's. Consider the first three, F_1 , F_2 and F_3 . Put $F_1 \cup F_2 \cup F_3$; we will construct D_1 *, D_2 * and D_3 * from F_1 , such that each D_1 * satisfies the condition (4'). The rest of the construction remains the same. Now $|F| = f = 3(\frac{k}{2}-1)$ and we have three possibilities:

(a) f = 2(v-2)/2, that is, F = 2I(v-2)/2 which implies that 3xyz = 2tyz + 2. Now t = (3xyz-2)/2yz being an integer implies that yz = 2 and t = (3x-1)/2. Hence k = 2x and v = 3x-1.

Put $D_1*=\{1, -2, 3, -4, \ldots, (2x-3), -(2x-2)\},$ $D_2*=\{-1, 2, -3, 4, \ldots, -(x-2)\} \cup \{(2x-2), -(2x-1), \ldots, (3x-3)\}$ and $D_3*=\{(x-1), -x, \ldots, -(2x-3)\} \cup \{(2x-1), -2x, \ldots, -(3x-3)\}.$ It is easy to check that $D_j*, j=1, 2, 3$, satisfies (4').

(b) f < v - 2, that is, F < $2I_{(v-2)/2}$. Let $F = \{1,2,\ldots,\frac{v-2}{2}\} \cup \{1,2,\ldots,p\}, \text{ where } 1 \le p < (v-2)/2,$ and let $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} b_i * = \{\pm 1,\pm 2,\ldots,\pm \frac{v-2}{2}\} \cup \{\pm 1,\pm 2,\ldots,\pm p\}$ $\equiv \{\pm 1,\pm 2,\ldots,\pm p\} \cup \{\pm \frac{v}{2},\pm (\frac{v}{2}+1),\ldots,\pm (v-2)\}.$

Note that 3(k-2) = v-2+2p implies that $p = \frac{3k-v-4}{2}$. Put q = k-p-3 and $s = 2k-p-\frac{v}{2}-3$. Hence $s \le p$ and $p + (q+1) = (p-s) + (\frac{v}{2}-1) = s + (\frac{v}{2}-q-2) = k-2$. If p and $\frac{v}{2}$ are both even, put

302 -

If p is even and $\frac{v}{2}$ is odd, put

$$D_1^* = \{1, -2, \dots, (p-1), -p\} \cup \{\frac{v}{2}\} \cup \{-(v-q-1), (v-q), \dots, p\} \cup \{\frac{v}{2}\} \cup \{-(v-q-1), \dots, p\} \cup \{-(v$$

Lastly, if p and $\frac{v}{2}$ are both odd, put

(c)
$$f > v - 2$$
, that is $3I_{(v-2)/2} > F > 2I_{(v-2)/2}$. Put $F + = \{1, -2, 3, -4, \dots, \delta(\frac{v}{2} - 1)\}$ and $F - = \{-1, 2, -3, 4, \dots, -\delta(\frac{v}{2} - 1)\}$

$$\delta = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \frac{v}{2} & \text{is even} \\ -1 & \text{if } \frac{v}{2} & \text{is odd} \end{cases}$$

Now $-a \equiv v-1-a$ for $1 \le a \le v-2$ implies that F+ and F+' = $\{-\delta \frac{v}{2}, \delta(\frac{v}{2}+1), \ldots, -(v-2)\}$ are equivalent and F- and F-' = $\{\delta \frac{v}{2}, -\delta(\frac{v}{2}+1), \ldots, (v-2)\}$ are equivalent.

Let p be such that

$$2p + 2(v - 2) = 3(k - 2)$$
, and $p = (3k - 2v - 2)/2 \ge 1$. Put $P + = \{1, -2, ..., \theta p\}$ and $P - = \{-1, 2, ..., -\theta p\}$ where $\theta = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p & \text{is odd} \\ -1 & \text{if } p & \text{is even} \end{cases}$

Also P+ is equivalent to P+' = $\{-\theta(v-1-p), ..., -(v-2)\}$ and P- is equivalent to P-' = $\{\theta(v-1-p), ..., (v-2)\}$.

The construction of $D_{\underline{1}}^{*}$'s is similar to that in (b).

Here

Let s be such that $(\frac{v}{2}-1)+s+p=k-2$, hence s=(v-k)/2.

If p and
$$\frac{V}{2}$$
 are both even, then s is odd, put $D_1^* = F + \cup \{-(\frac{V}{2} + 1), (\frac{V}{2} + 2), \dots, -(\frac{V}{2} + s)\} \cup P^+,$ $D_2^* = F - \cup \{(\frac{V}{2} + s + 1), -(\frac{V}{2} + s + 2), \dots, (\frac{V}{2} + 2s)\} \cup P^-,$

and
$$D_3^* = \mathbb{F}^+ \cup \{\frac{v}{2}\} \cup \{-1, 2, \dots, (k - \frac{v}{2} - 2)\}$$
.

and D3* as above.

If p is even and $\frac{v}{2}$ is odd, then s is even, put $D_1* = F + \cup \{(\frac{v}{2} + 1), -(\frac{v}{2} + 2), \dots, (\frac{v}{2} + s - 1)\} \cup \{-(\frac{v}{2} + 2s)\} \cup P +',$ $D_2* = F - \cup \{-(\frac{v}{2} + s), (\frac{v}{2} + s + 1), \dots, (\frac{v}{2} + 2s - 1)\} \cup P -'$ and $D_3* = F +' \cup \{-\frac{v}{2}\} \cup \{-1, 2, \dots, -(k - \frac{v}{2} - 2)\}.$

Finally, let p and $\frac{v}{2}$ be both odd, then s is odd, put $D_1 * = F + \cup \{(\frac{v}{2} + 1), -(\frac{v}{2} + 2), \dots, (\frac{v}{2} + s)\} \cup F + ',$ $D_2 * = F - \cup \{-(\frac{v}{2} + s + 1), (\frac{v}{2} + s + 2), \dots, -(\frac{v}{2} + 2s)\} \cup F - '$

and D_3^* as in the last case.

It is a routine matter to check that D_1* and D_2* satisfy condition (4'). As for D_3* , we will show the circuit associated with it. Let $\frac{v}{2}$ be even, then

$$D_3^* = \{-\frac{v}{2}, (\frac{v}{2}+1), -(\frac{v}{2}+2), (\frac{v}{2}+3), \dots, -(v-2)\} \cup \{\frac{v}{2}\} \cup \{-1, 2, -3, 4, \dots (k-\frac{v}{2}-2)\}.$$

Let
$$C_3 = \left(\infty, \frac{v}{2}, 0, \frac{v}{2} + 1, v - 2, \frac{v}{2} + 2, \dots, \frac{3v}{4} - 1, \frac{3v}{4}, \frac{v}{4} + 1, \frac{v}{4}, \frac{v}{4}, \frac{v}{4} + 1, \frac{v}{4}, \frac{v}{4}, \frac{v$$

The elements in C_3 are distinct, since the set consists of $0, \frac{v}{2}, \frac{v+1}{2}, \dots, v-2$ and $\frac{v-k+4}{2}, \frac{v-k+6}{2}, \dots, \frac{k}{2}$; furthermore, the differences between two consecutive elements of C_3 are exactly $D_3^* \cup \{+\infty, -\infty\}$. Hence C_3 is a base circuit of order v-1.

Similarly, if $\frac{v}{2}$ is odd, put

If k=4 then xyz=4 which implies that x=1, a contradiction, hence $k \ge 6$.

The proof of Theorem 3.6 is complete.

We have also the following theorems.

THEOREM 3.7. If $xy \le 2$, there exists a BCD*(v,xyz, λ) for all v and λ satisfying the necessary conditions (cf Lemma 3.1).

Proof. If y=1, x=1 or 2, then $\ell=1$ and the existence of such design has been proved (Theorem 3.5). If x=1, y=2, then $\ell=(z+1)/2$; by Theorem 3.2, it suffices to prove the existence of a BCD*(3z+1,2z,1) with z odd, which has been done already (Theorem 1.2.13 in [2]). Hence the proof is complete.

THEOREM 3.8. If $xz \le 2$, there exists a BCD*(v,xyz, λ) for all v and λ satisfying the necessary conditions (cf Lemma 3.1).

Proof. If z=1, then $\ell=0$ and the existence of such designs have been proved in Theorem 3.6. Similarly, Theorem 3.6 proves the case z=2 and $\ell=0$. So we assume that z=2, x=1 and $\ell=1$, hence y is odd. The existence of such a design was conjectured in I.2.16 of [2] and has been proved partially in [6]. By Theorem 3.2, it suffices to show the existence of a BCD*(3y,2y,1); we will divide the construction into two cases.

(1) y = 4m + 1, hence v = 12m + 3 and b = 3(6m + 1). Let the elements be $V = \{\infty\} \cup V_1 \cup V_2$ where $V_1 = \{0_1, 1_1, 2_1, \dots, (6m)_1\}$

and $V_2 = \{0_2, 1_2, 2_2, \ldots, (6m)_2\}$. We will construct three base circuits C_1 , C_2 and C_3 such that they generate all the b circuits of the design under the automorphism $A = (\infty)(0_1 \ 1_1 \ldots (6m)_1)(0_2 \ 1_2 \ldots (6m)_2)$. The elements are taken modulo 6m+1. Let $C_1 = (0_1, (6m)_2, 1_1 (6m-1)_2, \ldots, (2m)_1, (4m)_2, (4m+1)_1, (4m-1)_2, (4m-1)_2, \ldots, (6m)_1, (2m)_2)$.

When m is even, put m=2n and

$$C_{2} = (\infty, (3n)_{1}, (-3n)_{1}, (3n-1)_{1}, (-3n+1)_{1}, \dots, 1_{1}, (-1)_{1}, 0_{1}, 0_{2}, 1_{2}, \dots, (-1)_{2}, \dots, (3n-1)_{2}, (-3n+1)_{2}, (3n)_{2}, (-3n)_{2}, (5n+2)_{1}, (9n)_{2}, \dots, (5n+3)_{1}, (9n-1)_{2}, \dots, (7n)_{1}, (7n+2)_{2}, (7n+1)_{1})$$

$$C_{3} = (\infty, (-3n)_{2}, (3n)_{2}, (-3n+1)_{2}, (3n-1)_{2}, \dots, (-1)_{2}, 1_{2}, 0_{2}, 0_{1}, \dots, (-1)_{1}, 1_{1}, \dots, (-3n+1)_{1}, (3n-1)_{1}, (-3n)_{1}, (3n)_{1}, (7n-1)_{2}, \dots, (-3n+1)_{2}, \dots, (-3$$

When m is odd, put m = 2n+1,

$$c_{2} = (\infty, (3n+2)_{1}, (-3n-1)_{1}, (3n+1)_{1}, \dots, (-2)_{1}, 2_{1}, (-1)_{1}, 1_{1}, 0_{1}, 0_{2}, \dots, (-1)_{2}, 1_{2}, (-2)_{2}, 2_{2}, \dots, (-3n-1)_{2}, (3n+1)_{2}, (-3n-2)_{2}, (5n+4)_{1}, \dots, (-1)_{2}, (-2n+2)_{2}, (-2n+2)_{2}, \dots, (-3n-2)_{2}, \dots, (-3n$$

and $c_3 = (\infty, (-3n-2)_2, (3n+1)_2, (-3n-1)_2, (3n)_2, \dots, 2_2, (-2)_2, 1_2, (-1)_2, \\ 0_2, 0_1, 1_1, (-1)_1, 2_1, (-2)_1, \dots, (3n+1)_1, (-3n-1)_1, (3n+2)_1, \\ (7n+3)_2, (3n+3)_1, (7n+2)_2, (3n+4)_1, \dots, (5n+2)_1, (5n+3)_2) \ .$

To verify that C_1 , C_2 and C_3 are base circuits, one can define the difference of the pair of elements $\{a_1, b_j\}$ as b-a (mod 6m+1); then it suffices to verify that every integer in $\{1, 2, \ldots, 6m\}$ occurs exactly once as the difference of each of the following pairs of elements:

 $\{a_1,\ b_1\},\ \{a_1,\ b_2\},\ \{a_2,\ b_1\}$ and $\{a_2,\ b_2\},$ in the circuit c_1 c_2 or c_3 .

(ii) y = 4m + 3, hence v = 12m + 9 and b = 3(6m + 4). Let $V = \{\infty\} \cup V_1$ and V_2 where $V_1 = \{0_1, 1_1, \dots, (6m + 3)_1\}$ and $V_2 = \{0_2, 1_2, \dots, (6m + 3)_2\}$. We will construct three base circuits C_1 ,

- 307 -

 $A = (\infty)(0_1, 1_1, \dots, (6m+3)_1)(0_2, 1_2, \dots, (6m+3)_2)$. The elements are taken modulo 6m+4. $^{
m C}_2$ and $^{
m C}_3$ such that they generate all the b circuits under

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{c}_{1} &= (\mathbf{0}_{1}, (4\mathtt{m}+2)_{1}, \mathbf{1}_{1}, (4\mathtt{m}+1)_{1}, \mathbf{2}_{1}, (4\mathtt{m})_{1}, \dots, (2\mathtt{m}+2)_{1}, (2\mathtt{m}+1)_{1}, (2\mathtt{m}+1)_{2}, \\ & (2\mathtt{m}+2)_{2}, \dots, (4\mathtt{m}+1)_{2}, \mathbf{1}_{2}, (4\mathtt{m}+2)_{2}, \mathbf{0}_{2}) \ . \end{split}$$

When m is even, put m = 2n and let

$$c_2 = (\infty, 0_1, 1_1, (-1)_1, 2_1, (-2)_1, \dots, (-n)_1, (n+1)_1, n_2, (n+2)_1, (n-1)_2, \\ (n+3)_1, \dots, (7n+2)_1, (-5n-1)_2, (-9n-2)_2, (-5n-2)_2, (-9n-1)_2, \\ (-5n-3)_2, \dots, (-8n-3)_2, (-6n-1)_2) ,$$

 $C_3 = (\infty, 0_2, (-1)_2, 1_2, (-2)_2, 2_2, \dots, n_2, (-n-1)_2, (-n)_1, (-n-2)_2,$ $(9n+1)_1, (5n+3)_1, \dots, (8n+3)_1, (6n+1)_1)$. $(-n+1)_1, (-n-3)_2, \dots, (-7n-2)_2, (5n+1)_1, (9n+2)_1, (5n+2)_1,$

When m is odd, let m = 2n+1, put

$$c_2 = (\infty, 0_1, 1_1, (-1)_1, 2_1, (-2)_1, ..., (-n)_1, (n+1)_1, n_2, (n+2)_1, (n-1)_2, (n+3)_1, ..., (7n+5)_1, (-5n-4)_2, (-9n-7)_2, (-5n-5)_2, (-9n-6)_2, (-5n-6)_2, ..., (-8n-7)_2, (-6n-5)_2)$$

$$c_{3} = (\infty, 0_{2}, (-1)_{2}, 1_{2}, (-2)_{2}, 2_{2}, \dots, n_{2}, (-n-1)_{2}, (-n)_{1}, (-n-2)_{2},$$

$$(-n+1)_{1}, (-n-3)_{2}, \dots, (-7n-5)_{2}, (5n+4)_{1}, (9n+7)_{1}, (5n+5)_{1},$$

$$(9n+6)_{1}, (5n+6)_{1}, \dots, (8n+7)_{1}, (6n+5)_{1}).$$

$BCD*(v,k,\lambda)$ for k even, $4 \le k \le 16$

already been proved to exist; for a list of these see [4]. for v=k=4, λ odd and v=k=6, $\lambda=1$. Some of the designs have namely, $\lambda v(v-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$ with $v \ge k$, is also sufficient, except for the existence of a BCD* (v,k,λ) where k is even and $4 \le k \le 16$, We will prove, in this section, that the necessary condition

 $v \equiv 0$ or 1 (mod 4) and v > 4 for $\lambda \ge 1$

THEOREM 4.1. A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence

 $v \equiv 2 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{4}$ or $v = 4 \text{ for } \lambda \text{ even}$

 $x = \lambda = 1$, where v = 4 or $v \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $x = \lambda = 2$; Theorem existence of a BCD*($v,4,\lambda$) where $v\equiv 0 \pmod 4$, v>4 and LEMMA 4.2. A BCD* $(4,4,\lambda)$ does not exist for λ odd. 3.5 implies the existence of a BCD*(v,4, λ) where v \equiv 1 (mod 4) and $x = \lambda = 1$ and where $v \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $x = \lambda = 2$. The proof is straightforward: Theorem 3.6 implies the

 $m_1+m_2=\lambda$. Similarly, the arc (1,2) occurs in C_1 and $C_3=(1,2,0,3)$ which has multiplicity $m_3,$ hence $m_1+m_3=\lambda$ which Let the multiplicity of $^{\mathrm{C}}_{\mathrm{1}}$, $^{\mathrm{C}}_{\mathrm{2}}$ be $^{\mathrm{m}}_{\mathrm{1}}$ and $^{\mathrm{m}}_{\mathrm{2}}$ respectively, then Without loss of generality, let the elements be 0,1,2,3 and the arc (0,1) occur in circuits $C_1 = (0,1,2,3)$ and $C_2 = (0,1,3,2)$. Proof. Assume that a BCD*(4,4, λ) exists with λ being odd. a BCD* $(4,4,\lambda)$ cannot exist for λ odd. of type C_2 or C_3 , hence $m_2 + m_3 = 2m_2 = \lambda$, a contradiction; thus implies that $m_2 = m_3$. But the arc (2,0) occurs only in a circuit

of Theorem 4.1, by applying Theorems 3.5 and 3.6. Exceptions, however, will be stated. The proofs of the rest of the theorems are similar to that

of a BCD* $(v,6,\lambda)$ is THEOREM 4.3. A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence

 $v \equiv 0 \text{ or } 1 \pmod{3}$ and $v > 6 \text{ for } \lambda \ge 1$ $v \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ or v = 6 for $\lambda \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.

The only cases which we cannot prove by applying Theorems

3.5 and 3.6 are:

- the existence of a BCD*(6t+3,6,1) for $t \ge 1$. ([2] or [3]). We can apply Theorem 3.2 by letting $v_0 = 9$ to prove (1) $v \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$, $\lambda = 1$. But a BCD*(9,6,1) exists
- and the existence of BCD*(6t+4,6,1) is proved in Theorem 3.7. (2) $v \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$, $\lambda = 1$. Now x = 1, y = 2 and z = 3

of a BCD* $(v,8,\lambda)$ is THEOREM 4.4. A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence

 $v \equiv 0 \text{ or } 1 \pmod{4} \text{ for } \lambda \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ $v \equiv 0 \text{ or } 1 \pmod{8} \text{ for } \lambda \geq 1$ any $v \ge 8$ for $\lambda \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$

The proof is obtained by using Theorems 3.5 and 3.6.

of a $BCD*(v,10,\lambda)$ is THEOREM 4.5. A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence

any $v \ge 10$ for $\lambda \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ $v \equiv 0 \text{ or } 1 \pmod{5}$ for $\lambda \geq 1$

 $\lambda = 1$, in which case x = 1, y = 2, z = 5, $\ell = 3$ and apply Theorem x = 1, y = 5, z = 2, $\ell = 1$ and apply Theorem 3.8; (2) v = 10t + 6, The exceptions are: (1) v = 10t + 5, $\lambda = 1$, in which case

of a BCD* $(v,12,\lambda)$ THEOREM 4.6. A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence

 $v \equiv 0 \text{ or } 1 \pmod{4}$ $v \equiv 0, 1, 4 \text{ or } 9 \pmod{12}$ $v \equiv 0 \text{ or } 1 \pmod{3}$ any $v \ge 12$ for for for $\lambda \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ for $\lambda \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ $\lambda \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ λ ≥ 1

we will prove the existences with the aid of Theorem 3.2 with $v_0=16$; following base circuits: are given in [2]. and hence BCD*(16,12,2) and BCD*(21,12,1) and hence BCD*(21,12,2) 21; 15, 21 and 16, 22 respectively. The existence of BCD*(16,12,1) v = 12t + 9, $\lambda = x = 1$; v = 6t + 3, $\lambda = x = 2$; and v = 6t + 4, $\lambda = x = 2$, The exceptional cases are: v = 12t + 4, $\lambda = x = 1$; The existence of a BCD*(15,12,2) is proved by the

 $(\infty,0,12,10,3,2,8,13,1,4,5,9)$ $(\infty,0,7,1,10,6,3,2,13,9,4,12)$ (0,1,3,6,12,2,7,8,10,13,5,9) of order 7, of order 14 of order 14,

and

base circuits: The existence of BCD*(22,12,2) is proved by the following

 $(0,1,20,2,19,3,18,4,17,5,16,\infty),$

(0,1,20,2,7,8,6,9,14,15,13,16), (0,4,19,5,18,6,17,8,16,9,15,11), all of order 21, and $(0,20,1,19,2,18,3,17,4,16,5,\infty)$ and

(0,20,1,19,14,13,15,12,7,6,8,5), both of order 7.

of a BCD* $(v,14,\lambda)$ is A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence $v \equiv 0 \text{ or } 1 \pmod{7}$ for λ ≥ <u>1</u>

any v≥14 for $\lambda \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$.

> z = 2 z=7 and $\ell=4$. Theorems 3.8 and 3.7 respectively show their existences. and 0 = 1; and v = 14t+8 in which case x = 1, y = 2, The exceptions are v = 14t+7 in which case x = 1, y = 7,

of a BCD*(v,16, λ) is THEOREM 4.8. A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence $v \equiv 0 \text{ or } 1 \pmod{16}$ for for $\lambda \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ $\lambda \geq 1$

 $v \equiv 0 \text{ or } 1 \pmod{8}$ $v \equiv 0 \text{ or } 1 \pmod{4}$ any $v \ge 16$ for for $\lambda \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ $\lambda \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$

This theorem can be proved by either Theorem 3.5 or Theorem

3.6.

The Construction of $BCD(v,k,\lambda)$ k even

than balanced circuit designs; however, as the constructions of these two types of designs are similar, the proofs in this section are shortened. In this section we consider balanced cycle designs rather

implies the existence of a BCD($v,k,2\lambda$); in particular, we have Lemma 2.5 states that the existence of a $BCD*(v,k,\lambda)$

 $t \ge x/2$ PROPOSITION 5.1. A BCD(2tyz+1,xyz,x) exists for x even and

t × × . exists for Proof. Let $x = 2x^{\dagger}$. By Theorem 3.5, a BCD*(t(2y)z+1,x † (2y)z,x †) $t \ge x'$, hence a BCD(2tyz+1,2x'yz,2x') exists for

PROPOSITION 5.2. $t \ge x/2$. A BCD(2tyz,xyz,x) exists for × even and

BCD*(t(2y)z,x'(2y)z,x') with t>x' or t=x'>1, hence a BCD(2tyz,xyz,x) exists with t>x/2 or Proof. Let $x = 2x^{\dagger}$. Theorem 3.6 implies the existence of a t = x/2 > 1.

 $(\infty, 0, 1, k-2, 2, k-3, ..., k/2-1, k/2)$. k-cycles generated by the following base cycle: BCD(2yz,2yz,2) Let x = 2 and t = x' = 1, hence v = k = 2yz. exists with elements $~Z_{k-1}~\cup~\{\infty\}$ and the 2yz-1

provide an alternative proof to Proposition 5.2. for example [4], [8]). These results, together with Theorem 3.6 dition for the existence of a BCD(v,k,λ) is also sufficient (see, Note. It has been proved that when $3 \le k \le 8$, the necessary con-

We now consider the case when λ is odd

k = xyz even and $(x+1)/2 \le t \le x$. PROPOSITION 5.3. A BCD(2tyz+1,xyz,x) exists for x odd,

k-cycles, hence we will construct t base cycles, each of order $\dot{\mathbf{v}}$. A BCD(2tyz+1,xyz,x) must contain b = t(2tyz+1)

of $\mathbb{I}_{\mathsf{tyz}}^*$ with the order preserved. ..,,tyz}, that is, (x-1)/2 copies of $I_{\mbox{2tyz}}$ followed by one copy Let D = {1,2,...,2tyz,1,2,...,2tyz,...,1,2,...,2tyz,1,2,

 \mathbf{S}_{1} contains the first xyz elements, \mathbf{S}_{2} the second xyz elements Case 1. Let $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Partition the elements of D so that and so on, and S_{t} contains the last xyz elements of D.

increasing order, that is, Reorder the elements of $S_{f j}$ so that they are in a strictly

 $S_{j} = \{a_{j_{1}}, a_{j_{2}}, \dots, a_{j_{k}}\}$ with $a_{j_{1}} < a_{j_{1}+1}$.

As k is even, we have $a_{j_{21}} - a_{j_{21-1}} = 1$ for $1 \le j \le t$,

 $a_{jk/2+3}$,..., a_{jk} , $a_{jk/2+1}$ for $1 \le j \le t$. These t sets satisfy Consider $D_{j} = \{a_{j}, -a_{j}, \dots, a_{jk/2-1}, -a_{jk/2}, a_{jk/2+2}, a_{jk/2+2}, \dots, a_{jk/2-1}, a_{jk/2+2}, \dots, a_{jk/2-1}, \dots, a_{jk/2-1},$

We can use these t sets to construct t k-cycles in the same manner as k-circuits were constructed.

different condition: into t sets, S_1 , S_2 , ..., S_t , but each S_j satisfies a slightly Case 2. Let $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. The elements of D are partitioned

> increasing order and a_{j} - a_{j} = 1 for any i, $1 \le i \le k/2$ $S_j = \{a_j, a_j, \dots, a_j\}$ where a_j 's are in a strictly j_1

except for one i', i' \neq (k+2)/4 with $a_{j_{21}}^{-a_{j_{21}}}$ $a_{j_{21}}^{-a_{j_{21}}}$ The pair $\{a_{j_{21},-1}, a_{j_{21}}\}$ is called a hook.

To each sequence $S_{\mathbf{j}}$ we associate an ordered set $D_{\mathbf{j}}$ as follows:

 $D_{j} = \{a_{j_{1}}, -a_{j_{2}}, \dots, a_{j_{k}/2-2}, -a_{j_{k}/2-1}, a_{j_{k}/2+1}, \dots, a_{j_{k}/2+2}, \dots$ - $a_{j_{k}-1}$, $a_{j_{k}}$, - $a_{j_{k}}$ } if $1 \le i' \le (k-2)/4$, and

 $D_{j} = \{a_{j_{1}}, -a_{j_{2}}, \dots, a_{j_{k/2}}, -a_{j_{k/2+1}}, a_{j_{k/2+3}}, -a_{j_{k/2+4}}, \dots, a_{j_{k/2+4}}, \dots, a_$ $\{a_{k-1}, a_{j_k}, a_{j_{k/2+2}}, a_{j_{k/2+2}}\}$ if $(k+6)/4 \le i' \le k/2$.

cycles constructed from them are base cycles. It is easy to verify that the $D_{\underline{j}}$'s satisfy condition (1) and the

length k if t is odd; this is done trivially, that is, let \boldsymbol{L}_1 into t/2 collections L_j of length 2k, if t is even and contain the first 2k elements, L_2 the second 2k elements and so (t-1)/2 collections L_j To obtain the sequences $S_{\frac{1}{4}}$, partition the elements of Dof length 2k and a collection L of

sequence of elements which occurs once in L_j and N_j of those which occur twice in increasing order. We have $\left|M_j\right|=m$ and $\left|N_j\right|=n$ $I_{v-1} \in L_j \in 2I_{v-1},$ that is, each element of $\{1,2,\dots,v-1\}$ occurs in the following manner: for each j, $1 \le j \le \lceil t/2 \rceil$, hence $M_j = \{v-4j, v-4j+1, v-4j+2, v-4j+3\}$ with v-4j > k/2. $m=2(2t-x)yz \ge 4$. In the case where m=4, v=2x+3 and k=2x, with m and n both even, m + 2n = 2k, m + n = 2tyz. Hence once or twice in L_j . Put $L_j = M_j \cup 2N_j$ where M_j contains a For each L_j , we can construct two sets S_{2j-1} and S_{2j}

 $M_{\frac{1}{3}}$ ' = {q, q+1, q+2, q+3} where q is odd. Put $M_{\frac{1}{3}} = M_{\frac{1}{3}}$ ' $P_{\frac{1}{3}} \cup Q_{\frac{1}{3}}$ consecutive numbers where $|P_j| = |Q_j| = (m-4)/2$, P_j and Q_j containing pairs of We can always choose four consecutive elements from $\,{\rm M}_{\rm d}^{}\,$,

Now let S_{2j} consist of the elements of N_j \cup P_j \cup $\{q,q+2\}$ and S_{2j-1} that of N_j \cup Q_j \cup $\{q+1,q+3\}$, in an increasing order. Hence M_j provides a hook for S_{2j} and a hook for S_{2j-1} . We have to choose M_j , P_j and Q_j so that the hooks do not occur in the middle of the sequences S_{2j} , S_{2j-1} : if $m \ge 8$, this is always possible; when m=4, this is also possible since the elements of M_j are all greater than k/2. We can obtain D_{2j} and D_{2j-1} from S_{2j} and S_{2j-1} respectively; the elements of D_{2j} and D_{2j-1} satisfy condition (1), hence base cycles can be constructed.

If t is even, the proof is complete; now consider the case where t is odd. Here we are left with L, a collection of the last k elements of D, that is

$$L = I_{(v-1)/2} \cup \{p, p+1, ..., v-1\}$$

λ = x.

where p=(3t-x)yz+1. As $tyz\equiv tyz+1 \pmod v$, the sequence S_t associated with L contains a hook $\{tyz-1,\ tyz+1\}$ and hence the rest follows immediately, except when tyz-2=(x-t)yz: that is, the hook occurs in the middle of S. However, in this case, (2t-x)yz=2 which implies that 2t=x+1 and yz=2, hence k=2x, v=2x+3 and $x+1\equiv x+2 \pmod v$ and furthermore $D_t=\{1,-2,4,-5,6,\ldots,x-1,-x,x+4,-(x+5),x+6,\ldots,2x+1,$

satisfies condition (1). Hence the proof is complete,

-(2x+2), -3, x+2

Example. BCD(13,10,5). We have x=5, yz=2, t=3. Hence $D=\{1,2,\ldots,12,1,2,\ldots,6\}$. $L_1=\{1,2,\ldots,12,1,2,\ldots,8\}, L=\{9,10,11,12,1,2,\ldots,6\}$. We get $M_1=\{9,10,11,12\}$ and $N_1=\{1,2,\ldots,8\}$ and hence $S_1=\{1,2,\ldots,8,9,11\}$, $S_2=\{1,2,\ldots,8,10,12\}$ and $S_3=\{1,2,\ldots,5,7,9,10,11,12\}$.

$$D_{1} = \{1,-2,3,-4,5,-6,8,-9,11,-7\},$$

$$D_{2} = \{1,-2,3,-4,5,-6,8,-10,12,-7\} \text{ and}$$

$$D_{3} = \{1,-2,4,-5,9,-10,11,-12,-3,7\}$$

Remark. In the case where t = x = 1, the result is known already, in fact, a BCD(v,k,1) has been constructed for all k and v \equiv 1 (mod 2k).

LEMMA 5.4. If a BCD(v,k,x) exists, then a BCD(v+(x+1)yz,k,x) exists as well for k=xyz even and x odd.

Proof. Let $v_1=v-1$ and $v_2=(x+1)yz$, hence $BCD(v_1+1,xyz,x)$ exists by hypothesis and $BCD(v_2,xyz,x)$ exists by Proposition 5.3. Also, x is odd implies that v_1 must be even. We can apply Lemma 2.3 if $x_1^K v_1, v_2$ can be shown to be decomposable into cycles of length xyz; but this true since $x_1^K v_1, v_2$ is the edgedisjoint union of x+1 graphs isomorphic to $x_1^K v_1, v_2$, which can be decomposed into k-cycles by Lemma 2.6.

The following theorem can be proved, with the aid of Lemma 5.4, by induction in exactly the same way as Theorem 3.2 was proved. Also, in view of Lemma 2.1, it suffices to prove the theorem for

THEOREM 5.5. Let xyz be even and x be odd. If a BCD $(\overline{v}+2pyz, xyz, x)$ exists for each p, $0 \le p \le (x-1)/2$, then there exists a BCD $(\overline{v}+2qyz, xyz, \lambda)$ for any $q \ge 0$ and $\lambda \equiv 0 \pmod{x}$.

The following theorem is a corollary of Proposition 5.3 and Theorem 5.5.

THEOREM 5.6. There exists a BCD(2tyz+1,xyz, λ) for xyz eve x odd, $\lambda \equiv 0 \pmod{x}$ and $t \ge (x+1)/2$.

$BCD(v,k,\lambda)$ for k even, $4 \le k \le 16$.

It is proved in this section that the necessary conditions for the existence of a BCD(v,k, λ) where k is even and $4 \le k \le 16$, namely $\lambda v(v-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2k}$ with $v \ge k$ and $\lambda(v-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, are also sufficient.

The following two theorems have been proved already (see,

for example, [8]).

THEOREM 6.1. A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a BCD($\nabla_{\nu}4_{\nu}\lambda$) is

 $v \equiv 1 \pmod{8} \qquad \text{for } \lambda \equiv 1 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{4},$ $v \equiv 0 \text{ or } 1 \pmod{4} \qquad \text{for } \lambda \equiv 2 \pmod{4},$ $v \geq 4 \qquad \text{for } \lambda \equiv 0 \pmod{4}.$

THEOREM 6.2. A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a BCD(v,6, λ) is

```
v \equiv 1 \text{ or } 9 \pmod{12} \quad \text{for } \lambda \equiv 1 \text{ or } 5 \pmod{6},
v \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \quad \text{for } \lambda \equiv 3 \pmod{6},
v \equiv 0 \text{ or } 1 \pmod{3} \quad \text{for } \lambda \equiv 2 \text{ or } 4 \pmod{6}
v \geq 6 \quad \text{for } \lambda \equiv 0 \pmod{6}.
```

Lemma 2.5 states that the existence of a BCD*(v,k,λ) implies the existence of a BCD($v,k,2\lambda$); but the existence of a BCD*(v,k,λ) for k even and $4 \le k \le 16$ has been decided in section 4, therefore in the following five theorems, we need only consider the cases where λ , and hence v, is odd.

THEOREM 6.3. A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a BCD($v,8,\lambda$) is

$$v \equiv 1 \pmod{16} \qquad \text{for} \quad \lambda \equiv 1 \pmod{2},$$

$$v \equiv 0 \text{ or } 1 \pmod{8} \qquad \text{for} \quad \lambda \equiv 2 \text{ or } 6 \pmod{8},$$

$$v \equiv 0 \text{ or } 1 \pmod{4} \qquad \text{for} \quad \lambda \equiv 4 \pmod{8},$$

$$v \geq 8 \qquad \text{for} \quad \lambda \equiv 0 \pmod{8}.$$

The existence of a BCD(v,8,1) where $v\equiv 1\pmod{16}$ is implied by Theorem 5.7, in fact, Theorem 5.7 implies that a BCD(v,k,1) exists for k even and $v\equiv 1\pmod{2k}$.

THEOREM 6.4. A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a BCD($v,10,\lambda$) is

$$v \equiv 1 \text{ or } 5 \pmod{20} \qquad \text{for} \quad \lambda \equiv 1, 3, 7 \text{ or } 9 \pmod{10},$$

$$v \equiv 0 \text{ or } 1 \pmod{5} \qquad \text{for} \quad \lambda \equiv 2, 4, 6 \text{ or } 8 \pmod{10},$$

$$v \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \qquad \text{for} \quad \lambda \equiv 5 \pmod{10},$$

$$v \ge 10 \qquad \text{for} \quad \lambda \equiv 0 \pmod{10}.$$

Theorem 5.7 also implies the existence of a BCD with λ = x = 5, v \equiv 1 (mod 4). The case v \equiv 5 (mod 20) follows from Theorem 5.6 with x = 1, \overline{v} + 2pyz = 25 if a BCD(25,10,1) can be constructed; but a BCD(25,10,1) exists with the following base cycles

(0,1,5,6,10,11,15,16,20,21), of order 5, and (0,2,24,4,23,5,22,7,21,9) of order 25.

The rest of the proofs are similar.

THEOREM 6.5. A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a BCD(v,12, λ) is

A BCD(33,12,1) exists with a base cycle of order 11 (0,1,6,13,11,12,17,24,22,23,28,2) and a base cycle of order 33, (0,3,32,5,30,6,29,8,28,9,27,11).

THEOREM 6.6. A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a BCD(v,14, λ) is

$$v \equiv 1 \text{ or } 21 \pmod{28}$$
 for $\lambda \equiv 1, 3, 5, 9, 11 \text{ or } 13 \pmod{14}$, $v \equiv 0 \text{ or } 1 \pmod{7}$ for $\lambda \equiv 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 \text{ or } 12 \pmod{14}$, $v \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ for $\lambda \equiv 7 \pmod{14}$, $v \ge 14$ for $\lambda \equiv 0 \pmod{14}$.

A BCD(21,14,1) exists as follows: let the elements be

 $\{\omega\}$ U $V_{\underline{i}}$ where $V_{\underline{i}} = \{0_{\underline{i}}, 1_{\underline{i}}, 2_{\underline{i}}, 3_{\underline{i}}, 4_{\underline{i}}\}$ and the three base $\underline{i} = 1$

cycles, each of order 5 be

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{B}_1 &= (\mathbf{0}_1, \mathbf{0}_2, \mathbf{0}_3, \mathbf{0}_4, \mathbf{1}_1, \mathbf{2}_4, \mathbf{2}_2, \mathbf{3}_3, \mathbf{3}_1, \mathbf{3}_4, \mathbf{4}_2, \mathbf{3}_2, \mathbf{2}_1, \mathbf{0}_3) \ , \\ \mathbf{B}_2 &= (\mathbf{\infty}, \mathbf{0}_1, \mathbf{1}_1, \mathbf{0}_2, \mathbf{2}_3, \mathbf{1}_3, \mathbf{2}_4, \mathbf{0}_4, \mathbf{3}_1, \mathbf{0}_3, \mathbf{4}_4, \mathbf{1}_2, \mathbf{3}_4, \mathbf{2}_2) \ \text{and} \\ \mathbf{B}_3 &= (\mathbf{\infty}, \mathbf{0}_3, \mathbf{2}_3, \mathbf{4}_1, \mathbf{2}_1, \mathbf{4}_2, \mathbf{1}_2, \mathbf{3}_1, \mathbf{1}_4, \mathbf{2}_4, \mathbf{4}_3, \mathbf{0}_2, \mathbf{3}_3, \mathbf{0}_4) \ . \end{split}$$

THEOREM 6.7. A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a BCD(v,16, λ) is

Lastly, we have

REFERENCES

- [1] Berge, C., Graphs and Hypergraphs, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1973.
- [2] Bermond, J.-C., Thesis, University of Paris XI (Orsay), 1975.
- [3] Bermond, J.-C., and Faber, V., Decomposition of the Complete

 Directed Graph into k-circuits, J. Combinatorial Theory (B)
 21 (1976), 145-155.
- [4] Bermond, J.-C. and Sotteau, D., *Graph decomposition and G-designs*,
 Proc. 5th British Combinatorial Conference, Aberdeen 1975,
 Utilitas Math. Publ., 53-72.
- [5] Bermond, J.-C., and Sotteau, D., On cycle and circuit designs, odd case, to appear.
- [6] Hartnell, B., Decomposition of K*_{xy}, x and y odd, into 2x-circuits, Proc. 4th Manitoba Conference on Numerical Math., 1974, 265-271.
- [7] Hell, P. and Rosa, A., Graph decompositions, handcuffed prisoners and balanced P-designs, Discrete Math. 2 (1972), 229-252.
- [8] Huang, C. and Rosa, A., Another class of balanced graph designs: balanced circuit designs, Discrete Math. 12 (1975), 269-93.
- [9] Kotzig, A., On decomposition of complete graphs into 4k-gons, Mat.-Fyz. Cas. 15 (1975), 229-233.
- [10] Rosa, A., On cyclic decompositions of the complete graph into (4m+2)-gons, Mat.-Fyz. Cas. 16 (1966), 349-352.
- [11] Sotteau, D., Decomposition of $K_{m,n}$ ($K_{m,n}^*$) into circuits of length 2k, submitted to J. Combinatorial Theory (B).
- [12] Tillson, T., A hamiltonian decomposition of K_{2m}^* , $2m \ge 8$, to appear, J. Combinatorial Theory.
- * 54 B^d Raspail 75006, Paris
- ** University of Ottawa, Ontario