# Problem session SGT 2015

June 21, 2015

#### Homology with integer coefficients

Communicated by F. Lazarus.

Let  $C_0$  be the set of all linear combination of vertices, i.e.  $C_0 = \{\sum_{v \in V(G)} \alpha_v v\}$ , and let  $C_1$  be the set of all linear combinations of edges  $C_1 = \{\sum_{e \in E(G)} \alpha_e e\}$ . (Every edge has a default orientation.)

Let f be the function  $C_1 \to C_0$ ,  $vw \mapsto w - v$ .  $H_1(G) = Ker(f)$  is the cycle space of G.

A basis of the cycle space may be easily found using a spanning tree and taking all cycles  $C_e$  in  $T \cup \{e\}$  for all  $e \in E(G) \setminus E(T)$ . If we add weights, then there is a matroid structure and a minimum-weight basis can be found using the greedy algorithm.

But what happens when we only consider linear combinations of  $C_1$  with integer coefficients? There are graphs, like the generalized Petersen graph  $P_{11,4}$ , such that no minimum-weight basis has integer coefficient.

## List-colouring peculiar graphs

Communicated by L. Pastor.

 $\chi(G)$  is the chromatic number of G, ch(G) is the choosability of G.

The following conjecture generalizes the celebrated List Colouring Conjecture.

**Conjecture 1** (Gravier and Maffray). For any claw-free graph G,  $\chi(G) = ch(G)$ .

We are interested in claw-free perfect graphs. Those graphs can be decomposed by clique-cutsets into *elementary* or *peculiar* graphs.

Peculiar graphs are those that can be partitioned as in the picture below.



**Problem 2.** Show that if G is a peculiar perfect graph, then  $ch(G) = \omega(G)$ .

# Orienting a graph so that all labeling have a monochromatic directed cycle

Communicated by A. Harutyunyan.

**Conjecture 3** (Neumann-Lara, 1985). There exists an integer-valued function f such that if  $\chi(G) \ge f(k)$ , then there exists an orientation D of G such that any k-labelling of the vertices of D will create a monochromatic directed cycle.

Even the existence of f(2) is unknown. Another question is whether  $f(k) = O(k \log k)$ ?

This is motivated by the *dichromatic number*. The *dichromatic number* of D, denoted  $\vec{\chi}(D)$  is the minimum k such that there is a partition of V(D) into k sets  $V_1, \ldots, V_k$  such that  $D[V_i]$  is acyclic.

**Conjecture 4** (Erdős, Neumann-Lara).  $\vec{\chi}(D) \leq O\left(\frac{\Delta(D)}{\log \Delta(D)}\right)$ 

**Conjecture 5** (Aharoni, Berger, ??). For all digraph D, there is an acylic induced subdigraph of order at least  $\Omega\left(\frac{\Delta(D)}{\log \Delta(D)}\right)$ .

#### Helly graphs

#### Communicated by J. Chalopin.

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of sets. It has the *Helly Property* if for any subfamily  $\mathcal{F}' \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  of pairwise intersecting sets (i.e.  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$  for all  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}'$ ), then  $\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{F}'} A \neq \emptyset$ .

A graph G is *Helly* if the family of all balls (of any radius) have the Helly property. A graph is 1-*Helly*, if the family of balls of radius 1 has the Helly . A graph G is *clique-Helly* if the family of all maximal cliques have the Helly property.

1-Helly graphs are clique-Helly but the opposite does not always hold.

**Theorem 6.** The following statements are equivalent.

- G is a Helly graph;
- *G* is 1-Helly and its clique complex is simply connected;
- *G* is clique-Helly and *G* is dismantable.

A graph G is *dismantable* if there is an ordering  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  of V(G) such that for every i > 1, there exists j < i such that  $N_{G_i}[v_i] \subseteq N_G[v_j]$ , with  $G_i = G[V_1, \ldots, v_n]$ .

**Problem 7.** Is it true that Helly graphs are precisely clique-Helly graphs with a simply connected clique complex ?

### **Orientations of triangulations on surfaces**

Communicated by B. Lévêque.

**Theorem 8** (Barát and Thomassen, 2006). If G is the triangulation of any surface, then there is an orientation of G such that  $d^+(v) = 0 \mod 3$  for every vertex v.

This corresponds to a decomposition of the edge set into claws  $(K_{1,3})$ .

**Theorem 9** (Albar, Goncalves, Knauer). If G is the triangulation of any surface, then there is an orientation of G such that  $d^+(v) = 0 \mod 3$  and  $d^+(v) \neq 0$  for every vertex v.

**Problem 10.** If G is the triangulation of any surface, does there exists an orientation such that across any close curve on the surface, all arcs are not going in the same direction ?

True when the genus is 1.

#### **Cooperative colouring**

Communicated by R. Aharoni.

**Theorem 11.** If  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$ ,  $C_3$  are cycles on the same set V, then one can choose independent sets  $A_i$  of  $C_i$  i = 1, 2, 3 such that  $A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3 = V$ .

It is easy to fond an example showing that two cycles are not enough (even if the cycles are even).

The proof of this theorem is topological.

Problem 12. Give a combinatorial proof of this theorem.

#### **Directed local chromatic number**

Communicated by G. Simonyi.

Let D be a digraph.  $\vec{\psi}(D) = \min_{c \text{ proper colouring }} \max_{v \in V(D)} |c(N^+(v))| + 1.$ 

**Problem 13.** How large can be the difference between  $\psi(G)$  and  $\max\{\psi(D) \mid D \text{ orientation of } G\}$ ?

There is a construction where the difference is at least 1. Can it be at least 2?

# **Colouring** *k*-regular graphs whose neighbourhood are perfect matchings

#### Communicated by A. Munaro.

Let G be a k-regular graph such that each edge is in exactly one triangle. (equivalently G is k-regular and G[N(v)] is a perfect matching.

**Problem 14.** If k = 6, is it true that such a graph is 3-colourable ? For any larger k ?

The answer is 'No'. Actually for any k, there is a regular graph such that each edge is in exactly one triangle and whose chromatic number is at least k.

For convenience, let us say that a graph is *nice* if every graph is in exactly one triangle. Recall that a graph is *eulerian* if every vertex has even degree.

**Lemma 15.** If there is an eulerian graph with maximum degree  $\Delta$ , then there is a nice  $\Delta$ -regular graph.

*Proof.* Let G be an eulerian graph with maximum degree  $\Delta$ , and let S be the set of vertices of degree  $\delta(G)$ . Let H be the graph obtained from  $\Delta$  copies  $G_1, \ldots, G_{\Delta}$  of G as follows. For every vertex  $x \in S$ , let  $x_i$  be the vertex corresponding to x in  $G_i$ . Add a new vertex  $z_x$  connected to  $x_1, \ldots, x_{\Delta}$  and the edges  $x_1x_2, \ldots, x_{\Delta-1}x_{\Delta}$ . One easily checks that H is nice, has maximum degree  $\Delta$  and minimum degree  $\delta(G) + 2$ .

Repeating the process  $(\Delta - \delta(G))/2$  times we obtain a nice  $\Delta$ -regular graph.  $\Box$ 

Now by a well-known result of Erdős, there exists a kcolourable graph F with chromatic number k and girth 4. Let G be the graph obtained form F by adding for every edge e = uv a new vertex  $x_e$  connected to u and v. Then F is nice, eulerian and has maximum degree  $2\Delta(F)$ . Now by Lemma ??, there a  $2\Delta(F)$ -regular nice graph with chromatic number k.

Now the question is the following:

**Problem 16.** What is the maximum chromatic number of an *r*-regular nice graphs ?

# Partition of the infinite path into distance-independent sets

#### Communicated by N. Gastineau.

A set S of vertices in a graph G is *s*-independent if any two vertices of S are at distance at least s in G.

**Problem 17** (Goddard and Xu, 2012). For which lists  $(s_1, \ldots, s_\ell)$ , can you partition the infinite path  $P_{\infty}$  into k sets  $X_1, \ldots, X_\ell$  such that each  $X_i$  is  $s_i$ -independent.

One can easily see that it is impossible for (1, 3, 7).

### Number of induced cycles in a graph with no $2K_3$ -subdivision

Communicated by J.-F. Raymond.

**Problem 18.** Let G be a graph that contains no induced subdivision of  $2K_3$ . Is is true that G contains a polynomial number of induced cycles ?

## Colour-change-distance between antipodal vertices in 2edge-coloured hypercube

Communicated by D. Soltész.

 $Q_n$  s the *n*-dimensional hypercube. Colour its edges in red or blue

The distance between two vertices u and v is the minimum number of colour changes in a (u, v)-path.

**Problem 19.** Is it always true that there exists two antipodal vertices at distance at most 1?

It is known that if there is no alternating  $C_4$ , then there are two antipodal vertices at distance 0.