Deep Generative Learning

Deep Learning for Computer Vision

Valeriya Strizhkova

21.11.23

About myself

Valeriya Strizhkova

PhD candidate @ Inria & 3iA & UCA

Research topics:

- video understanding
- human behavior understanding
- multi-sensor fusion

valeriya.strizhkova@inria.fr



Outline

- Introduction
 - What is a generative model?
 - Types of deep generative models
 - Evaluation: IS, FID
- Image Generation
 - Autoregressive models: PixelCNN, DALL-E 1
 - Variational Autoencoders: dVAE
 - Generative Adversarial Networks: StyleGAN
 - Diffusion Models: Latent diffusion, DALL-E 2
- Video Generation
 - o Phenaki
 - Everybody Dance Now
 - Make-A-Video

Introduction

What I cannot create, I do not understand

DIST

itic, = - #L

itic = - + [(B, + B) 4 - B 6 7

where of 5, 5, 55 are three matinis the ("

Hans なしか レン なしき うう なしきましろ

- Richard Feynman



Samples from a Data Distribution





Neural Network







true data distribution













Content Generation



Representation Learning



Entertainment



The Landscape of Deep Generative Learning

Autoregressive Models Normalizing Flows

Variational Autoencoders

Generative Adversarial Networks

Energy-based Models Denoising Diffusion Models

















Fixed Forward Diffusion Process



Generative Reverse Denoising Process

Data

Variational Autoencoder:

Autoregressive model:





Generative Adversarial Network:



Fixed Forward Diffusion Process

Generative Reverse Denoising Process

Noise





VAE: maximize variational lower bound













Which of these images looks better?





Which of these images looks more realistic?





Which of these images appears to be more similar to the text prompt?





Prompt: The saying "BE EXCELLENT TO EACH OTHER" written on a red brick wall with a graffiti image of a green alien wearing a tuxedo. A yellow fire hydrant is on a sidewalk in the foreground.

- Human-based ratings and preference judgments
- Inception Score (quality and diversity) [1]
- Frechet Inception Distance (distinguish between synthetic and generated images) [2]
- Structured Similarity Index Metric (SSIM) [3]
- CLIP score [4]

[1] Tim Salimans, Ian Goodfellow, Wojciech Zaremba, Vicki Cheung, Alec Radford, Xi Chen. Improved Techniques for Training GANs. NeurIPS 2016 https://arxiv.org/abs/1606.03498

[2] Martin Heusel, Hubert Ramsauer, Thomas Unterthiner, Bernhard Nessler, Sepp Hochreiter. GANs Trained by a Two Time-Scale Update Rule Converge to a Local Nash Equilibrium. NeurIPS 2017 https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.08500

[3] Zhou Wang; A.C. Bovik; H.R. Sheikh; E.P. Simoncelli. Image quality assessment: from error visibility to structural similarity. IEEE Transactions on Image Processing 2004 <u>https://www.cns.nyu.edu/pub/eero/wang03-reprint.pdf</u>

[4] Jack Hessel, Ari Holtzman, Maxwell Forbes, Ronan Le Bras, Yejin Choi.CLIPScore: A Reference-free Evaluation Metric for Image Captioning. EMNLP 2021 https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.08718

IS measures:

- the **quality** of the generated images
- their diversity

CIFAR10 dataset:

airplane
automobil
bird
cat
deer
dog
frog
horse
ship
truck

e	and a	X	-	X	¥	*	2	-1	-	-	
bile					-			E)		*	
	Sal	5	2			4	17		-	4	
	2.2			50		١.		Å.	the second	-	
	1	40	X	R	17	Y	Ŷ	1	m	5	
	17×	1	-	٩.	1			R ^a	1	T.S.	
	-2	1			? ??			5		500	
	- Ar	-	P	7	P	ICAL	-	th	6	N	
	-		aution in	-	144	-	2	150	-	-	
			1					1		dea	

Generate 50000 images by the Inception image classifier pre-trained on CIFAR10



Generated images are fed into the Inception image classifier network pre-trained on the CIFAR10 dataset predict conditional probability p(y|x) — where y is the label and x is the generated data



If the probability scores are widely distributed then the generated image is of low quality:



Calculate marginal probability $p(y) = \int_z p(y|x = G(z))dz$



Similar labels sum to give focussed distribution

Different labels sum to give uniform distribution



- Quality: conditional probability p(y|x)
- **Diversity**: marginal probability **p(y)**

We want

- the conditional probability p(y|x) to be highly predictable (low entropy) i.e. given an image, we should know the object type easily
- the marginal probability **p(y)** to be uniform (**high entropy**)

Compute their KL-divergence to combine these two criteria:

 $IS(G) = \exp(E_{x \sim p_q} KL(p(y|x)||p(y)))$
Inception Score (IS) limitations

IS is limited by what the Inception classifier can detect, which is linked to the training data

FID compares the distribution of generated images with the distribution of real images

Martin Heusel, Hubert Ramsauer, Thomas Unterthiner, Bernhard Nessler, Sepp Hochreiter. GANs Trained by a Two Time-Scale Update Rule Converge to a Local Nash Equilibrium. NeurIPS 2017 https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.08500

• Uses the Inception network to extract features from an intermediate layer

Martin Heusel, Hubert Ramsauer, Thomas Unterthiner, Bernhard Nessler, Sepp Hochreiter. GANs Trained by a Two Time-Scale Update Rule Converge to a Local Nash Equilibrium. NeurIPS 2017 <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.08500</u>

- Uses the Inception network to extract features from an intermediate layer
- Models data distribution for these features using a multivariate Gaussian distribution with mean μ and covariance Σ

- Uses the Inception network to extract features from an intermediate layer
- Models data distribution for these features using a multivariate Gaussian distribution with mean μ and covariance Σ
- The FID between the real images x and generated images g is:

$$FID(x,g) = ||\mu_x-\mu_g||_2^2 + Tr(\Sigma_x+\Sigma_g-2(\Sigma_x\Sigma_g)^{rac{1}{2}})|_2$$

where *Tr* sums up all the diagonal elements

Martin Heusel, Hubert Ramsauer, Thomas Unterthiner, Bernhard Nessler, Sepp Hochreiter. GANs Trained by a Two Time-Scale Update Rule Converge to a Local Nash Equilibrium. NeurIPS 2017 https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.08500

- Lower FID values mean better image quality and diversity
- FID is sensitive to mode collapse
- FID is more robust to noise than IS. If the model only generates one image per class, the distance will be high

Structured Similarity Index Metric (SSIM)

The Structural Similarity Index (SSIM) metric mimicks the human visual perception system which is highly capable of identifying **structural information** from a scene.

SSIM extracts 3 features from an image on a **pixel-wise** basis:

- Luminance
- Contrast
- Structure

The comparison between the two images is performed on the basis of these 3 features.

Zhou Wang; A.C. Bovik; H.R. Sheikh; E.P. Simoncelli. Image quality assessment: from error visibility to structural similarity. IEEE Transactions on Image Processing 2004 <u>https://www.cns.nyu.edu/pub/eero/wang03-reprint.pdf</u>

CLIP score

- CLIP score measures the compatibility of image-caption pairs.
- Higher CLIP scores imply higher compatibility



Jack Hessel, Ari Holtzman, Maxwell Forbes, Ronan Le Bras, Yejin Choi.CLIPScore: A Reference-free Evaluation Metric for Image Captioning. EMNLP 2021 https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.08718

OpenAl's CLIP

- encodes image, and text to similar embeddings
- is trained with contrastive learning, maximizing cosine similarity of corresponding image and text
- CLIP's output image embeddings contain both style and semantics

 $I_N T_1 = I_N T_2 = I_N T_3$

 I_N



Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, Gretchen Krueger, Ilya Sutskever. Learning Transferable Visual Models From Natural Language Supervision. ICML 2021 https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.00020 https://openai.com/research/clip

 $I_N \cdot T_N$

TN

a dog.

CLIP Architecture

- text and image have separate transformer encoders
- visual encoder is ViT (vision transformer)
- text encoder is GPT-2 transformer
- the fixed-length text embedding is extracted from [EOS] token position
- text token embeddings and image patch embeddings also available
- trained on 256 GPUs for 2 weeks

Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, Gretchen Krueger, Ilya Sutskever. Learning Transferable Visual Models From Natural Language Supervision. ICML 2021 <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.00020</u> <u>https://openai.com/research/clip</u>

Image Generation

Autoregressive Models

Output 🕘 🕘 🕘 🕘 🕘 🕘 🕘 🕘 🕘 🕘 🕘

Layer OOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOO

Pixel Recurrent Neural Networks





DALL-E 1

- is introduced by OpenAl
- generates 256×256 images from text via dVAE
- autoregressively generates image tokens from textual tokens on a discrete latent space

DALL-E 1 Training

- 1. train encoder and decoder image of image into 32x32 grid of 8k possible code word tokens (dVAE)
- 2. concatenate encoded text tokens with image tokens into single array
- 3. train to predict next image token from the preceding tokens (autoregressive transformer)
- 4. discard the image encoder, keep only image decoder and next token predictor

DALL-E 1 Prediction

- 1. encode input text tokens
- 2. iteratively predict next image token from the learned codebook
- 3. decode the image tokens using dVAE decoder
- 4. select the best image using CLIP model ranker

DALL-E 1 Discrete Variational Auto-Encoder (dVAE)

- instead of copying gradients annealing (categorical reparameterization with gumbel-softmax)
- promote codebook utilization using higher KL-divergence weight
- decoder is conv2d, decoder block (4x relu + conv), upsample (tile bigger array), repeat

DALL-E 1 Results



(a) a tapir made of accordion. (b) an illustration of a baby (c) a neon sign that reads (d) the exact same cat on the a tapir with the texture of an hedgehog in a christmas "backprop". a neon sign that top as a sketch on the bottom accordion. sweater walking a dog

reads "backprop". backprop neon sign

Aditya Ramesh, Mikhail Pavlov, Gabriel Goh, Scott Gray, Chelsea Voss, Alec Radford, Mark Chen, Ilya Sutskever. Zero-Shot Text-to-Image Generation. PMLR 2021 https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.12092

DALL-E 1 Results



Aditya Ramesh, Mikhail Pavlov, Gabriel Goh, Scott Gray, Chelsea Voss, Alec Radford, Mark Chen, Ilya Sutskever. Zero-Shot Text-to-Image Generation. PMLR 2021 https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.12092

Variational Autoencoders (VAE)

Train by maximize the variational lower bound.

 $E_{z\sim q_{\phi}(z|x)}[\log p_{\Theta}(x|z)] - KL(q_{\phi}(z|x),p(z))$

- 1. The input is **encoded** as distribution over the latent space
- 2. Encoder output should match prior p(z)
- 3. A point from the latent space is sampled from that distribution
- 4. The sampled point is **decoded**
- 5. The reconstruction error is computed



Sample from z



Variational Autoencoders (VAE)

Learned data manifold for generative models with two-dimensional latent space:



В n Б

Discrete Variational Auto-Encoder (dVAE)

- introduced in VQ-VAE 1 [1] and VQ-VAE-2 [2]
- image encoder maps to latent 32x32 grid of embeddings
- vector quantization maps to 8k code words
- decoder maps from quantized grid to the image
- copy gradients from decoder input z to the encoder output



[1] Aaron van den Oord, Oriol Vinyals, Koray Kavukcuoglu. Neural Discrete Representation Learning. NeurIPS 2017 https://arxiv.org/abs/1711.00937

[2] Ali Razavi, Aaron van den Oord, Oriol Vinyals. Generating Diverse High-Fidelity Images with VQ-VAE-2. NeurIPS 2019 https://arxiv.org/abs/1906.00446

• Setup: Assume we have data x_i drawn from distribution $p_{data}(x)$. Want to sample from p_{data} .

- Setup: Assume we have data x_i drawn from distribution $p_{data}(x)$. Want to sample from p_{data} .
- Idea: Introduce a latent variable z with simple prior p(z).

- Setup: Assume we have data x_i drawn from distribution $p_{data}(x)$. Want to sample from p_{data} .
- Idea: Introduce a latent variable z with simple prior p(z).
- Sample $z \sim p(z)$ and pass to a Generator Network x = G(z)

- Setup: Assume we have data x_i drawn from distribution $p_{data}(x)$. Want to sample from p_{data} .
- Idea: Introduce a latent variable z with simple prior p(z).
- Sample $z \sim p(z)$ and pass to a Generator Network x = G(z)
- Then x is a sample from the Generator distribution p_G . Want $p_G = p_{data}$

- Setup: Assume we have data x_i drawn from distribution $p_{data}(x)$. Want to sample from p_{data} .
- Idea: Introduce a latent variable z with simple prior p(z).
- Sample $z \sim p(z)$ and pass to a Generator Network x = G(z)
- Then x is a sample from the Generator distribution p_G . Want $p_G = p_{data}$



- Setup: Assume we have data x_i drawn from distribution $p_{data}(x)$. Want to sample from p_{data} .
- Idea: Introduce a latent variable z with simple prior p(z).
- Sample $z \sim p(z)$ and pass to a Generator Network x = G(z)
- Then x is a sample from the Generator distribution p_G . Want $p_G = p_{data}$



Jointly train generator G and discriminator D with a minimax game

$$\min \max_{\mathbf{G}} \left[E_{x \sim p_{data}} \left[\log \mathbf{D}(x) \right] + E_{\mathbf{Z} \sim p(\mathbf{Z})} \left[\log(1 - \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{Z}))) \right]
ight)$$



Jointly train generator G and discriminator D with a minimax game





Jointly train generator G and discriminator D with a minimax game

$$\min_{\mathbf{G}} \max_{\mathbf{D}} (E_{x \sim p_{data}} [\log \mathbf{D}(x)] + E_{\mathbf{Z} \sim p(\mathbf{Z})} [\log(1 - \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{Z})))])$$



Jointly train generator G and discriminator D with a minimax game



Jointly train generator G and discriminator D with a minimax game

$$\begin{split} \min \max & (E_{x \sim p_{data}} \left[\log \mathbf{D}(x) \right] + E_{\mathbf{Z} \sim p(\mathbf{Z})} \left[\log(1 - \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{Z}))) \right]) \\ & = \min \max \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{D}) \\ & \mathbf{G} \quad \mathbf{D} \end{split}$$

Train G and D using alternating gradient updates:

1. Update
$$\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{D} + \alpha_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{\delta \mathbf{V}}{\delta \mathbf{D}}$$

2. Update $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G} - \alpha_{\mathbf{G}} \frac{\delta \mathbf{V}}{\delta \mathbf{G}}$

Generative Adversarial Networks: results



Generative Adversarial Networks: DC-GAN



Generative Adversarial Networks: Interpolation


Conditional GANs



[b] Mehdi Mirza, Simon Osindero. Conditional Generative Adversarial Nets. 2014

[c] Augustus Odena, Christopher Olah, Jonathon Shlens. Conditional Image Synthesis With Auxiliary Classifier GANs. ICML 2016

Conditional GANs







goldfinch



daisy



redshank



grey whale

Augustus Odena, Christopher Olah, Jonathon Shlens. Conditional Image Synthesis With Auxiliary Classifier GANs. ICML 2016

Image-to-Image Translation: Pix2Pix



Phillip Isola, Jun-Yan Zhu, Tinghui Zhou, Alexei A. Efros. Image-to-Image Translation with Conditional Adversarial Networks. CVPR 2017 https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.07004

Image-to-Image Translation: Pix2Pix

Objective:

 $G^* = \arg\min_{G} \max_{D} \mathcal{L}_{cGAN}(G, D) + \lambda \mathcal{L}_{L1}(G)$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_{cGAN}(G, D) = \mathbb{E}_{x,y}[\log D(x, y)] + \mathbb{E}_{x,z}[\log(1 - D(x, G(x, z)))]$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{L1}(G) = \mathbb{E}_{x,y,z}[\|y - G(x, z)\|_1]$$



Phillip Isola, Jun-Yan Zhu, Tinghui Zhou, Alexei A. Efros. Image-to-Image Translation with Conditional Adversarial Networks. CVPR 2017 https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.07004



Jun-Yan Zhu, Taesung Park, Phillip Isola, Alexei A. Efros. Unpaired Image-to-Image Translation using Cycle-Consistent Adversarial Networks. ICCV 2017 https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.10593



Jun-Yan Zhu, Taesung Park, Phillip Isola, Alexei A. Efros. Unpaired Image-to-Image Translation using Cycle-Consistent Adversarial Networks. ICCV 2017 https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.10593

Objective:

 $\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(G, F, D_X, D_Y) = & \mathcal{L}_{\text{GAN}}(G, D_Y, X, Y) \\ &+ \mathcal{L}_{\text{GAN}}(F, D_X, Y, X) \\ &+ \lambda \mathcal{L}_{\text{cyc}}(G, F), \end{aligned}$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{GAN}}(G, D_Y, X, Y) = \mathbb{E}_{y \sim p_{\text{data}}(y)} [\log D_Y(y)] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{\text{data}}(x)} [\log(1 - D_Y(G(x)))],$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{cyc}}(G, F) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{\text{data}}(x)} [\|F(G(x)) - x\|_1] \\ + \mathbb{E}_{y \sim p_{\text{data}}(y)} [\|G(F(y)) - y\|_1].$$



Jun-Yan Zhu, Taesung Park, Phillip Isola, Alexei A. Efros. Unpaired Image-to-Image Translation using Cycle-Consistent Adversarial Networks. ICCV 2017 https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.10593



Jun-Yan Zhu, Taesung Park, Phillip Isola, Alexei A. Efros. Unpaired Image-to-Image Translation using Cycle-Consistent Adversarial Networks. ICCV 2017 https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.10593

StyleGAN





Tero Karras, Samuli Laine, Timo Aila. A Style-Based Generator Architecture for Generative Adversarial Networks. CVPR 2019

StyleGAN



Tero Karras, Samuli Laine, Timo Aila. A Style-Based Generator Architecture for Generative Adversarial Networks. CVPR 2019

Outline

- Image Generation
 - Diffusion Models: DALL-E 2, Latent Diffusion
- Video Generation
 - o Phenaki
 - Everybody Dance Now
 - Make-A-Video

Denoising Diffusion Models.

Slide Credits:

Denoising Diffusion Models: A Generative Learning Big Bang https://cvpr2023-tutorial-diffusion-models.github.io

We May Not Know Cosmology, But We Know CVPR



*Disclaimer: We rely on paper titles for counting the number of papers in each topic. Our statistics are likely to be biased.

Denoising Diffusion Models

Learning to generate by denoising

Denoising diffusion models consist of two processes:

- Forward diffusion process that gradually adds noise to input
- Reverse denoising process that learns to generate data by denoising

Forward diffusion process (fixed)

Data



Noise

Reverse denoising process (generative)

Sohl-Dickstein et al., Deep Unsupervised Learning using Nonequilibrium Thermodynamics, ICML 2015 Ho et al., Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models, NeurIPS 2020 Song et al., Score-Based Generative Modeling through Stochastic Differential Equations, ICLR 2021

Forward Diffusion Process

The formal definition of the forward process in T steps:

Data



Forward Diffusion Process

The formal definition of the forward process in T steps:





 $q(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{x}_{t-1}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_t; \sqrt{1 - \beta_t} \mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \beta_t \mathbf{I})$

Forward Diffusion Process

The formal definition of the forward process in T steps:



Forward diffusion process (fixed)



Data

Forward diffusion process (fixed)



Data









 $\beta_t \text{ values schedule (i.e., the noise schedule) is designed such that } \bar{\alpha}_T \to 0 \text{ and } q(\mathbf{x}_T | \mathbf{x}_0) \approx \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_T; \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}))$

So far, we discussed the diffusion kernel $q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_0)$ but what about $q(\mathbf{x}_t)$?

$$\underbrace{q(\mathbf{x}_t)}_{\text{dised}} = \int \underbrace{q(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_t)}_{\text{dist}} d\mathbf{x}_0 = \int \underbrace{q(\mathbf{x}_0)}_{\text{dised}} \underbrace{q(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{x}_0)}_{\text{dist}} d\mathbf{x}_0$$

$$\underbrace{\text{Diffused}}_{\text{data dist.}} \underbrace{\text{Joint}}_{\text{data dist.}} \underbrace{\text{Input}}_{\text{kernel}} \underbrace{\text{Diffusion}}_{\text{kernel}}$$

So far, we discussed the diffusion kernel $q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_0)$ but what about $q(\mathbf{x}_t)$?



So far, we discussed the diffusion kernel $q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_0)$ but what about $q(\mathbf{x}_t)$?



So far, we discussed the diffusion kernel $q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_0)$ but what about $q(\mathbf{x}_t)$?



We can sample $\mathbf{x}_t \sim q(\mathbf{x}_t)$ by first sampling $\mathbf{x}_0 \sim q(\mathbf{x}_0)$ and then sampling $\mathbf{x}_t \sim q(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{x}_0)$ (i.e., ancestral sampling).

Generative Learning by Denoising

Recall, that the diffusion parameters are designed such that $q(\mathbf{x}_T) \approx \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_T; \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}))$

Diffused Data Distributions

Generation:

Sample $\mathbf{x}_T \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_T; \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$

Iteratively sample $\mathbf{x}_{t-1} \sim q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t)$





Generative Learning by Denoising

Recall, that the diffusion parameters are designed such that $q(\mathbf{x}_T) \approx \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_T; \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}))$







Can we approximate $q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t)$? Yes, we can use a Normal distribution if β_t is small in each forward diffusion step.

Reverse Denoising Process

Formal definition of forward and reverse processes in T steps:



Data

Reverse Denoising Process

Reverse denoising process (generative)

Formal definition of forward and reverse processes in T steps:

> Trainable network (U-net, Denoising Autoencoder)

Data

Reverse Denoising Process

Formal definition of forward and reverse processes in T steps:





For training, we can form variational upper bound that is commonly used for training variational autoencoders:

$$\mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_0)}\left[-\log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_0)\right] \le \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_0)q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_0)}\left[-\log \frac{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{0:T})}{q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_0)}\right] =: L$$

For training, we can form variational upper bound that is commonly used for training variational autoencoders:

$$\mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_0)}\left[-\log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_0)\right] \le \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_0)q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_0)}\left[-\log \frac{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{0:T})}{q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_0)}\right] =: L$$

Recall that $\mathbf{x}_t = \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t} \mathbf{x}_0 + \sqrt{(1 - \bar{\alpha}_t)} \epsilon$. Ho et al. NeurIPS 2020 parameterized the mean of denoising model via:

$$\mu_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t}, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \beta_{t}}} \left(\mathbf{x}_{t} - \frac{\beta_{t}}{\sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t}}} \, \epsilon_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t}, t) \right)$$

For training, we can form variational upper bound that is commonly used for training variational autoencoders:

$$\mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_0)}\left[-\log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_0)\right] \le \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_0)q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_0)}\left[-\log \frac{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{0:T})}{q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_0)}\right] =: L$$

Recall that $\mathbf{x}_t = \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t} \mathbf{x}_0 + \sqrt{(1 - \bar{\alpha}_t)} \epsilon$. Ho et al. NeurIPS 2020 parameterized the mean of denoising model via:

$$\mu_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_t, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \beta_t}} \left(\mathbf{x}_t - \frac{\beta_t}{\sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t}} \, \epsilon_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_t, t) \right)$$

Using a few simple arithmetic operations, we can write down the variational objective as:

$$L = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_0 \sim q(\mathbf{x}_0), t \sim \mathcal{U}\{1, T\}, \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})} \left[\lambda_t || \epsilon - \epsilon_\theta (\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t} \mathbf{x}_0 + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t} \epsilon, t) ||^2 \right]$$

For training, we can form variational upper bound that is commonly used for training variational autoencoders:

$$\mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_0)}\left[-\log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_0)\right] \le \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_0)q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_0)}\left[-\log \frac{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{0:T})}{q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_0)}\right] =: L$$

Recall that $\mathbf{x}_t = \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t} \mathbf{x}_0 + \sqrt{(1 - \bar{\alpha}_t)} \epsilon$. Ho et al. NeurIPS 2020 parameterized the mean of denoising model via:

$$\mu_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_t, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \beta_t}} \left(\mathbf{x}_t - \frac{\beta_t}{\sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t}} \, \epsilon_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_t, t) \right)$$

Using a few simple arithmetic operations, we can write down the variational objective as:

$$L = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_0 \sim q(\mathbf{x}_0), t \sim \mathcal{U}\{1, T\}, \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})} \left[\lambda_t || \epsilon - \epsilon_\theta (\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t} \mathbf{x}_0 + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t} \epsilon, t) ||^2 \right]$$

<u>Ho et al. NeurIPS 2020</u> observe that simply setting λ_t to 1 for all t works best in practice.
Summary Training and Sample Generation

Algorithm 1 Training

- 1: repeat
- 2: $\mathbf{x}_0 \sim q(\mathbf{x}_0)$
- 3: $t \sim \text{Uniform}(\{1, \ldots, T\})$
- 4: $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$
- 5: Take gradient descent step on

$$\nabla_{\theta} \left\| \boldsymbol{\epsilon} - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\theta} \left(\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t} \mathbf{x}_0 + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}, t \right) \right\|^2$$

6: until converged

Summary Training and Sample Generation

Algorithm 1 Training	Algorithm 2 Sampling
1: repeat 2: $\mathbf{x}_0 \sim q(\mathbf{x}_0)$ 3: $t \sim \text{Uniform}(\{1, \dots, T\})$ 4: $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I})$ 5: Take gradient descent step on $\nabla_{\theta} \ \boldsymbol{\epsilon} - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\theta} (\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t} \mathbf{x}_0 + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}, t) \ ^2$ 6: until converged	1: $\mathbf{x}_T \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I})$ 2: for $t = T,, 1$ do 3: $\mathbf{z} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I})$ 4: $\mathbf{x}_{t-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} \left(\mathbf{x}_t - \frac{1-\alpha_t}{\sqrt{1-\bar{\alpha}_t}} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_t, t) \right) + \sigma_t \mathbf{z}$ 5: end for 6: return \mathbf{x}_0

Implementation Considerations Network Architectures

Diffusion models often use U-Net architectures with ResNet blocks and self-attention layers to represent $\epsilon_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_t, t)$



Time representation: sinusoidal positional embeddings or random Fourier features.

Time features are fed to the residual blocks using either simple spatial addition or using adaptive group normalization layers. (see <u>Dharivwal and Nichol NeurIPS 2021</u>)

DALL-E 2

- is introduced by OpenAl
- generates 1024 x 1024 images from text using diffusion models.



vibrant portrait painting of Salvador Dalí with a robotic half face

a shiba inu wearing a beret and black turtleneck

a close up of a handpalm with leaves growing from it

Aditya Ramesh, Prafulla Dhariwal, Alex Nichol, Casey Chu, Mark Chen.

Hierarchical Text-Conditional Image Generation with CLIP Latents. 2022 https://arxiv.org/abs/2204.06125

https://openai.com/product/dall-e-2

DALL-E 2

- 1. generates a CLIP text embedding for text caption
- 2. "prior" network generates CLIP image embedding from text embedding
- 3. diffusion decoder generates image from the image embedding



Aditya Ramesh, Prafulla Dhariwal, Alex Nichol, Casey Chu, Mark Chen.

Hierarchical Text-Conditional Image Generation with CLIP Latents. 2022 <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/2204.06125</u>

https://openai.com/product/dall-e-2

DALL-E 2 training

Can vary images while preserving style and semantics in the embeddings



Aditya Ramesh, Prafulla Dhariwal, Alex Nichol, Casey Chu, Mark Chen.

Hierarchical Text-Conditional Image Generation with CLIP Latents. 2022 https://arxiv.org/abs/2204.06125



Figure 4: Variations between two images by interpolating their CLIP image embedding and then decoding with a diffusion model. We fix the decoder seed across each row. The intermediate variations naturally blend the content and style from both input images.

https://openai.com/product/dall-e-2

DALL-E 2 Limitations



A family dining for Christmas

A hyperrealistic man's face smiling with a pair of sunglasses A green-eyed woman with freckles showing happines

A green-eyed woman with freckles showing disgust

Midjourney



Capture the essence of a young footballer wearing the Paris Saint-Germain cinematic uniform through an extreme close-up portrait, focusing on the intricate details of her face and expressions, very detailed, Octane Render, cinematic, digital art



animated blonde blue eyes love tattoo soft male guy enhanced by ai smile defined --q 2 --s 750



surprised and happy man realistic

Midjourney



eiffel tower in space with an alien on top



model and robot in fashion show, public, cyberpunk,small robot ,red road , cyberpunk,8k rendering



Text-to-Image Synthesis on LAION. 1.45B Model.



Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, Björn Ommer.

High-Resolution Image Synthesis with Latent Diffusion Models. 2022 https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.10752



Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, Björn Ommer. High-Resolution Image Synthesis with Latent Diffusion Models. 2022 https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.10752



Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, Björn Ommer. High-Resolution Image Synthesis with Latent Diffusion Models. 2022 https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.10752



Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, Björn Ommer.

High-Resolution Image Synthesis with Latent Diffusion Models. 2022 https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.10752

Stable Diffusion

Prompt: realistic smiling woman



Video Generation

Phenaki

The water is magical



Chilling on the beach



Fireworks on the spacewalk



Prompts used: A photorealistic teddy bear is swimming in the ocean at San Francisco The teddy bear goes under water The teddy bear keeps swimming under the water with colorful fishes A panda bear is swimming under water

Prompts used: A teddy bear diving in the ocean A teddy bear emerges from the water A teddy bear walks on the beach Camera zooms out to the teddy bear in the campfire by the beach Prompts used: Side view of an astronaut is walking through a puddle on mars The astronaut is dancing on mars The astronaut walks his dog on mars The astronaut and his dog watch fireworks

Ruben Villegas, Mohammad Babaeizadeh, Pieter-Jan Kindermans, Hernan Moraldo, Han Zhang, Mohammad Taghi Saffar, Santiago Castro, Julius Kunze, Dumitru Erhan. Phenaki: Variable Length Video Generation From Open Domain Textual Description. 2022 <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.02399</u> https://phenaki.video

Phenaki



Ruben Villegas, Mohammad Babaeizadeh, Pieter-Jan Kindermans, Hernan Moraldo, Han Zhang, Mohammad Taghi Saffar, Santiago Castro, Julius Kunze, Dumitru Erhan. Phenaki: Variable Length Video Generation From Open Domain Textual Description. 2022 <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.02399</u> <u>https://phenaki.video</u>

Phenaki



Ruben Villegas, Mohammad Babaeizadeh, Pieter-Jan Kindermans, Hernan Moraldo, Han Zhang, Mohammad Taghi Saffar, Santiago Castro, Julius Kunze, Dumitru Erhan. Phenaki: Variable Length Video Generation From Open Domain Textual Description. 2022 <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.02399</u> <u>https://phenaki.video</u>

Everybody Dance Now



Caroline Chan, Shiry Ginosar, Tinghui Zhou, Alexei A. Efros. Everybody Dance Now. ICCV 2019

Everybody Dance Now



Caroline Chan, Shiry Ginosar, Tinghui Zhou, Alexei A. Efros. Everybody Dance Now. ICCV 2019

Make-A-Video









A teddy bear painting a portrait

Robot dancing in times square

Cat watching TV with a remote in hand

A fluffy baby sloth with an orange knitted hat trying to figure out a laptop close up highly detailed studio lighting screen reflecting in its eye

Uriel Singer, Adam Polyak, Thomas Hayes, Xi Yin, Jie An, Songyang Zhang, Qiyuan Hu, Harry Yang, Oron Ashual, Oran Gafni, Devi Parikh, Sonal Gupta, Yaniv Taigman.

Make-A-Video: Text-to-Video Generation without Text-Video Data. 2022 https://arxiv.org/abs/2209.14792

https://makeavideo.studio

Make-A-Video architecture



Figure 2: Make-A-Video high-level architecture. Given input text x translated by the prior P into an image embedding, and a desired frame rate fps, the decoder D^t generates 16 64 × 64 frames, which are then interpolated to a higher frame rate by \uparrow_F , and increased in resolution to 256 × 256 by SR_l^t and 768×768 by SR_h , resulting in a high-spatiotemporal-resolution generated video \hat{y} .

Uriel Singer, Adam Polyak, Thomas Hayes, Xi Yin, Jie An, Songyang Zhang, Qiyuan Hu, Harry Yang, Oron Ashual, Oran Gafni, Devi Parikh, Sonal Gupta, Yaniv Taigman.

Make-A-Video: Text-to-Video Generation without Text-Video Data. 2022 https://arxiv.org/abs/2209.14792

https://makeavideo.studio

Credits to

https://cvpr2023-tutorial-diffusion-models.github.io

https://openai.com/research/generative-models

https://lilianweng.github.io/posts/2021-07-11-diffusion-models/

https://developer.nvidia.com/blog/improving-diffusion-models-as-an-alternative-to-gans-part-1/