## Graph Algorithms

## TD : Introduction

## 1 To begin

1. Show that a graph always has an even number of odd degree vertices.

Let $G$ be a graph. We have

$$
\sum_{v \in V(G)} \operatorname{deg}(v)=2|E(G)| .
$$

Therefore $\sum_{v \in V(G)} \operatorname{deg}(v)$ is an even number. The parity of that sum is given by the number of odd operands, that is the number of odd degree vertices in $G$. We infer that the number of odd degree vertices in $G$ is even.
2. Show that a graph with at least 2 vertices contains 2 vertices of equal degree.

Let $n:=|V(G)|$. First assume that $G$ contains no isolated vertices. Since $G$ has no loop, one has $1 \leq$ $\operatorname{deg}(v) \leq n-1$ for every vertex $v \in V(G)$. Since $G$ contains $n$ vertices, by the pigeonhole principle, there exists two vertices in $G$ with the same degree.
If $G$ contains two isolated vertices, those vertices have the same degree.
We can now assume that $G$ contains exactly one isolated vertex $v_{0}$. Let $G^{\prime}:=G-v_{0}$, and observe that $\operatorname{deg}_{G^{\prime}}(v)=\operatorname{deg}_{G}(v)$ for every vertex $v \in V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. Since $G^{\prime}$ has no isolated vertex, we have already showed that it must contain two vertices with the same degree, which also have the same degree in $G$.
3. Let $G$ be a graph of minimum degree $\delta(G) \geq 2$. Show that $G$ contains a cycle.

Let $P=v_{0}, \ldots, v_{\ell}$ be a path of maximal length in $G$ (or any maximal path, i.e. a path that cannot be further extended). Let $v \in N\left(v_{\ell}\right) \backslash\left\{v_{\ell-1}\right\}$ (this is non empty since $\operatorname{deg}\left(v_{\ell}\right) \geq 2$ ). Since $P$ is maximal, we have $v \in V(P)$, so there exists $0 \leq i \leq \ell-2$ such that $v=v_{i}$. We conclude that $v_{i}, \ldots, v_{\ell}$ is a cycle in $G$.
4. Let $G$ be a graph of minimum degree d, and of girth $2 t+1$. Given any vertex $v \in V(G)$, show that there are at least $d(d-1)^{i-1}$ vertices at distance exactly ifrom $v$ in $G$, for every $1 \leq i \leq t$. Deduce a lower bound on the number of vertices of $G$.

Let $X_{i}$ be the set of vertices at distance $i$ from $v$. Let us first prove the following claim.

Claim For every $0 \leq i \leq t-1$, every set $X_{i}$ is an independent set, and every vertex in $X_{i+1}$ has at most 1 neighbour in $X_{i}$.

Proof. Let $y, z \in X_{i}$, and let $P_{y}$ and $P_{z}$ be paths of length $i$ from $y$ to $v$ and from $z$ to $v$, respectively (they exist by definition of $X_{i-1}$. These path are not disjoint since they both contain $v$; let $v_{0}$ be the first vertex in which they intersect, and so there is a path $P_{y z}$ of length at most $2 i \leq 2 t-2$ from $y$ to $z$. If $y z$ is an edge, then together with $P_{y z}$ this forms a cycle of length at most $2 t-1$, a contradiction. If $y$ and $z$ have a common neighbour $v \in X_{i+1}$, then the union of the path $y-v-z$ together with $P_{y z}$ forms a cycle of length at most $2 t$, again a contradiction.

Note that from the Claim, we can deduce that $G\left[\bigcup_{i \leq t} X_{i}\right]$ is a tree. The result follows from the well-known lower bound on the size of a layer in a tree of given minimum degree. Let us repeat the proof of that lower bound, that is done by induction on $i$.

For $i=1$, we have $\left|X_{1}\right|=|N(v)|=\operatorname{deg}(v) \geq d$, as desired. Let us assume that induction hypothesis holds from some $1 \leq i<t$, i.e. we have $\left|X_{i-1}\right| \geq d(d-1)^{i-2}$. By the Claim, every vertex $x \in X_{i}$ has all but one of his neighbours in $X_{i+1}$, so at least $d-1$. Moreover, again by the Claim, the neighbourhoods of the vertices in $X_{i}$ are disjoint, so we have $\left|X_{i+1}\right| \geq(d-1)\left|X_{i}\right| \geq d(d-1)^{i-1}$, as desired.

## 2 Dense subgraphs

1. Show that every graph of average degree $d$ contains a subgraph of minimum degree at least $\frac{d}{2}$.

Let $H$ be a subgraph of $G$ such that $\operatorname{ad}(H)=\operatorname{mad}(G) \geq d$. Assume for the sake of contradiction that there exists a vertex $v$ of degree less than $d / 2$ in $H$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{ad}(H \backslash v) & =\frac{2|E(H)|-2 \operatorname{deg}_{H}(v)}{|V(H)|-1}>\frac{2|E(H)|-d}{|V(H)|-1} \geq \frac{2|E(H)|-2|E(H)| /|V(H)|}{|V(H)|-1} \\
& >\frac{2|E(H)| \times|V(H)|-2|E(H)|}{(|V(H)|-1) \times|V(H)|}=\frac{2|E(H)|}{|V(H)|}=d
\end{aligned}
$$

The average degree of $H \backslash v$ is more than $\operatorname{mad}(G)$, a contradiciton.
2. Can you find a similar relation between the maximum degree and the minimum degree? And between the maximum degree and the average degree?
No, for instance the star $K_{1, n}$ has maximum degree $n$ (unbounded as $n \rightarrow \infty$ ), minimum degree 1 , and average degree less than 2.
3. Show that every graph of average degree $d$ contains a bipartite subgraph of average degree at least $\frac{d}{2}$.

Let $H=(X, Y, E)$ be a bipartite subgraph of $G$ given by a maximal cut. Assume for the sake of contradiction and without loss of generality that there exists a vertex $v \in X$ such that $\operatorname{deg}_{H}(v)=\operatorname{deg}_{Y}(v)<\operatorname{deg}_{G}(v) / 2$. Observe that $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(v)=\operatorname{deg}_{X}(v)+\operatorname{deg}_{Y}(v)$, so $\operatorname{deg}_{X}(v)>\operatorname{deg}_{G}(v) / 2>\operatorname{deg}_{Y}(v)$. Let $H^{\prime}$ be given by the cut $(X \backslash\{v\}, Y \cup\{v\})$. Then $\left|E\left(H^{\prime}\right)\right|=|E(H)|-\operatorname{deg}_{Y}(v)+\operatorname{deg}_{X}(v)>E(H)$; this contradicts the maximality of the cut $(X, Y)$.

## 3 Cuts and trees

1. If $G$ is connected, and $e=u v$ is a bridge in $G$, how many connected components does $G \backslash e$ contain? Show that $u$ and $v$ are cut-vertices, unless they have degree 1.
By assumption, $G \backslash e$ contains at least two connected components. Every connected component in $G \backslash e$ contains either $u$ or $v$, otherwise it would be a connected component in $G$ disjoint from that which contains $u$; this contradicts the fact that $G$ is connected. We conclude that $G \backslash e$ contains exactly two connected components, the one that contains $u, C_{u}$, and the one that contains $v, C_{v}$. If $\left|C_{u}\right|>1$, then the connected components of $G \backslash u$ are $C_{v}$ and the connected components of $G\left[C_{u} \backslash\{u\}\right]$; in particular $G$ is disconnected and hence $u$ is a cut-vertex. The same holds for $v$, by symmetry.
2. Show that a graph $G$ is a tree if and only if there exists a unique path from $u$ to $v$ in $G$, for every pair of vertices $u, v \in G$.
We first show the implication, by proving the converse. Assume that there are two vertices $u$ and $v$ that are linked by two different paths in $G$. Let $P_{0}$ and $P_{1}$ be two different paths from $u$ to $v$. Let $x$ be the last vertex in which the beginning of the paths $P_{0}$ and $P_{1}$ coincide, and let $y$ be the next common vertex between $P_{0}$ and $P_{1}$. Then the union of the two subpaths $P_{0}[x, y]$ and $P_{1}[x, y]$ is a cycle, so $G$ is not a tree.
We now show the reverse implication, again by proving the converse. Assume that $G$ is connected and not a tree, so $G$ contains a cycle $C$. Let $x, y$ be two consecutive vertices on $C$; there are two differents paths from $u$ to $v$ in $G$, namely the path $u-v$, and the path $C \backslash u v$.
3. Let $T$ a BFS tree of a graph $G$. Show that every edge of $G$ is contained either within a layer of $T$, or between two consecutive layers of $T$.
Let $\left(T_{i}\right)_{i}$ be the layers of $T$, and let $v_{0}$ be the root vertex. Assume for the sake of contradiction that there is an edge $u v \in E(G)$ such that $u \in X_{i}, v \in X_{j}$, and $j \geq i+2$. Then by definition there is a path $P_{u}$ of length $i$ from $v_{0}$ to $u$. Together with the edge $u v$, this forms a path of length $i+1$ from $v_{0}$ to $v$, which contradicts the fact that $\operatorname{dist}\left(v_{0}, v\right)=j>i+1$ (by definition of the layers).
4. Let $T$ be a DFS tree of a graph $G$. Show that, for every edge $e \in E(G)$, there is a branch of $T$ that contains both extremities of e.
Assume for the sake of contradiction that there is an edge $u v \in E(G)$ such that $u$ and $v$ and unrelated in $T$, and let us assume without loss of generality that $u$ has been added to $T$ before $v$ during the DFS. Let $w$ be the last common ancestor of $u$ and $v$ in $T$, and let $w^{\prime}$ be the child of $w$ in $T$ in the same branch as that of $v$ (we could have $w^{\prime}=v$ ). Let $i$ be the step at which $w^{\prime}$ has been added to the tree $T_{i}$ in order to obtain the tree $T_{i+1}$. It holds that $v \notin V\left(T_{i}\right)$, so $N(u) \backslash V\left(T_{i}\right)$ is non-empty; hence the DFS should consider adding the edge $u v$ before adding the edge $w w^{\prime}$, a contradiction.
