ICIAM 2011 7th International Congress on Industrial and Applied Mathematics Vancouver – July 20, 2011

Stochastic models for the chemostat

Fabien Campillo, Marc Joannides, Irène Larramendy-Valverde



classical ODE model

continuous culture with single species/single substrate

biomass
$$\dot{b} = [\mu(s) - D] b$$

subtrate $\dot{s} = -k \,\mu(s) \,b + D \,[s^{\text{in}} - s]$ (ODE)

x=(b,s); D>0 dilution rate; $s^{\rm in}>0$ inflow substrate concentration; k>0 stoichiometric coefficient; $\mu(s)$ specific growth rate function (Monod)





aim

- sources of randomness
 - demographic
 - environmental
 - measurement

 $\rightarrow\,$ a model that accounts for the demographic randomness

pure jump process $X_t = (B_t, S_t)$ |



• starting from $X_t = x = (b, s)$:

 $X_{t+\Delta t} = x + \underbrace{\Delta X_t^1 + \Delta X_t^2 + \Delta X_t^3 + \Delta X_t^4 + \Delta X_t^5}_{\text{cumulated jumps of type } \odot @ @ @ @ }$

pure jump process $X_t = (B_t, S_t)$ II

- ▶ for ① & ②
 - on the one hand the stochastic mass action law gives :

 $\mathbb{E}[\Delta X_t^1 | X_t = x] \simeq \begin{pmatrix} \mu(s) \, b \, \Delta t \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathbb{E}[\Delta X_t^2 | X_t = x] \simeq \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -k \, \mu(s) \, b \, \Delta t \end{pmatrix}$

• on the other hand the number jumps of type (1), (2) are approximatively Poisson, $\mathcal{P}(\lambda_1(x) \Delta t)$ and $\mathcal{P}(\lambda_2(x) \Delta t)$, so

 $\mathbb{E}[\Delta X_t^1 | X_t = x] \simeq \lambda_1(x) \,\nu_1(x) \,\Delta t \quad \mathbb{E}[\Delta X_t^2 | X_t = x] \simeq \lambda_2(x) \,\nu_2(x) \,\Delta t$

• so that

 $\lambda_1(x)\,\nu_1(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \mu(s)\,b\\0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \lambda_2(x)\,\nu_2(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\-k\,\mu(s)\,b \end{pmatrix}$

pure jump process $X_t = (B_t, S_t)$ III

• introduce scale parameters K_1 and K_2 and choose :

$$\lambda_1(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} K_1 \,\mu(s) \, b \qquad \qquad \nu_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{K_1} \\ 0 \end{array} \right)$$
$$\lambda_2(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} K_2 \, k \,\mu(s) \, b \qquad \qquad \nu_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} - \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \frac{1}{K_2} \end{array} \right)$$

(this choice is not unique) K_i acts on the variance of the increments \rightarrow tuning parameters

the approach leads to

	① biomass increase	② substrate decrease	③ substrate inflow	④ biomass outflow	© substrate outflow
	biology		inflow	outflow	
rate $\lambda_i(x)$	$K_1 \mu(s) b$	$K_2 k \mu(s) b$	$K_3 D s^{\mathrm{in}}$	$K_4 D b$	$K_5 D s$
jump $ u_i(x)$	$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{K_1} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$-\left(egin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ rac{1}{K_2} \end{array} ight)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0\\ \frac{1}{K_3} \end{pmatrix}$	$-\left(\begin{array}{c} rac{1}{K_4}\\ 0\end{array} ight)$	$-\left(\begin{array}{c} 0\\ \frac{1}{K_5}\end{array}\right)$

pure jump process $X_t = (B_t, S_t)$ IV

Poisson process representation :

$$X_t = X_0 + \sum_{i=1}^5 \int_{(0,t] \times [0,\infty)} \nu_i(X_{u^-}) \, \mathbb{1}_{\{v \le \lambda_i(X_{u^-})\}} \, N^i(\mathrm{d}u \times \mathrm{d}v)$$

 N^i independent random Poisson measures with intensity measure $\mathrm{d} u \times \mathrm{d} v$

or, after martingale decomposition :

 $dB_{t} = \left(\mu(S_{t}) - D\right) B_{t} dt + \frac{d\bar{m}_{t}^{1}}{\sqrt{K_{1}}} + \frac{d\bar{m}_{t}^{4}}{\sqrt{K_{4}}}$ $dS_{t} = \left(-k \,\mu(S_{t}) \, B_{t} + D \left(s^{\text{in}} - S_{t}\right)\right) dt + \frac{d\bar{m}_{t}^{2}}{\sqrt{K_{2}}} + \frac{d\bar{m}_{t}^{3}}{\sqrt{K_{3}}} + \frac{d\bar{m}_{t}^{5}}{\sqrt{K_{5}}}$

 \bar{m}^i_t are independent square integrable martingales with zero mean and the $\langle m^i\rangle_t$ are known

 can be exactly simulated (Gillespie method) : asynchronous, valid for small population size

Poisson approximation $\tilde{X}_{t_n} = (\tilde{B}_{t_n}, \tilde{S}_{t_n})$

- fixe time step : $t_n = n \Delta t$
- froze $\lambda_i(X_t)$ and $\nu_i(X_t)$ to $\lambda_i(X_{t_n})$ and $\nu_i(X_{t_n})$ on $[t_n, t_{n+1})$, so that in $X_{t+\Delta t} = x + \Delta X_t^1 + \Delta X_t^2 + \Delta X_t^3 + \Delta X_t^4 + \Delta X_t^2$ we have $\Delta X_t^i \sim \nu_i(x) \operatorname{Poisson}(\Delta t \lambda_i(x))$, we get

$$\tilde{X}_{t_{n+1}} = \tilde{X}_{t_n} + \sum_{i=1}^{5} \nu_i(\tilde{X}_{t_n}) \mathcal{P}_n^i(\Delta t \,\lambda_i(\tilde{X}_{t_n})) \qquad \text{(Poisson)}$$

- $\mathcal{P}_n^i(\rho)$ independent Poisson variables with intensities ρ
- boundary conditions
- τ-leaping
- the time step can be adapted

from pure jump to Poisson approximation



diffusion approximation $\tilde{\xi}_{t_n} = (\tilde{\beta}_{t_n}, \tilde{\sigma}_{t_n})$

- for $\Delta t \lambda_i(x)$ large : $\mathcal{P}_n^i(\Delta t \lambda_i(x)) \simeq \mathcal{N}(\Delta t \lambda_i(x), \Delta t \lambda_i(x))$
- diffusion approximation

$$\tilde{\xi}_{t_{n+1}} = x + \sum_{i=1}^{5} \nu_i(x) \mathcal{N}_n^i$$

- independent $\mathcal{N}_n^i \sim \mathcal{N}(\lambda_i(x) \Delta t, \lambda_i(x) \Delta t)$
- same instantaneous mean and covariance
- given $\tilde{\beta}_{t_n} = b$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_{t_n} = s$:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\beta}_{t_{n+1}} &= b + \left[\mu(s) - D\right] b \,\Delta t + \sqrt{\Delta t \, \frac{\mu(s) \, b}{K_1} + \Delta t \, \frac{D \, b}{K_4}} \, w_n^b \\ \tilde{\sigma}_{t_{n+1}} &= s + \left[-k \, \mu(s) \, b + D \, s^{\text{in}} - D \, s \right] \Delta t \\ &+ \sqrt{\Delta t \, \frac{k \, \mu(s) \, b}{K_2} + \Delta t \, \frac{D \, s^{\text{in}}}{K_3} + \Delta t \, \frac{D \, s}{K_5}} \, w_n^s \end{split}$$

- w_n^{b} , w_n^{s} i.i.d. $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$
- boundary conditions (absorbing in *b*, reflecting in *s*)
- Euler-Maruyama approximation of an SDE

Poisson to diffusion





diffusion approximation 2000 time steps

• diffusion approximation $\xi_t = (\beta_t, \sigma_t)$

$$d\beta_t = \left[\mu(\sigma_t) - D\right] \beta_t dt + \sqrt{\frac{\mu(\sigma_t)\beta_t}{K_1} + \frac{D\beta_t}{K_4}} dW_t^{\rm b}$$
$$d\sigma_t = \left[-k\,\mu(\sigma_t)\,\beta_t + D\left(s^{\rm in} - \sigma_t\right)\right] dt$$
$$+ \sqrt{\frac{k\,\mu(\sigma_t)\beta_t}{K_2} + \frac{D\,s^{\rm in}}{K_3} + \frac{D\,\sigma_t}{K_5}} dW_t^{\rm s}$$

- W^{b} , W^{s} independent standard Wiener processes
- behavior near the axes

asymptotic analysis

► (jump) & (SDE) → (ODE) as all
$$K_i \to \infty$$

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\sup_{0 \le t \le T} \left\{ \left\| X_t^K - x(t) \right\| + \left\| \xi_t^K - x(t) \right\| \right\} \ge \delta \Big) \xrightarrow[K_i \to \infty]{all} 0$$

asymptotic behavior



- surprisingly $\mathbb{E}\xi_t \simeq x(t)$
- quasi-stationary distribution
 - support of limit distribution $\subset \{(b,s); b=0\}$ (washout)

 $\mathbb{P}(B_t = 0) > 0 \text{ for all } t$

 $B_t
ightarrow 0$ a.s. as $t
ightarrow \infty$

- ∃ quasi-stationary distribution
- different time scales

logistic l

click here

logistic II

click here

logistic III

click here

conclusion

- advantages of the ODE model + more realistic model of extinction
- ▶ coupled with measurement models → statistical models (likelihood function)

F. Campillo, M. Joannides, I. Larramendy-Valverde. Stochastic modeling of the chemostat, *Ecological Modelling*, 222(15) :2676-2689, 2011.