



# ACQUA: A user friendly platform for network monitoring and QoE forecasting

Othmane Belmoukadam, Thierry Spetebroot, Chadi Barakat

Inria Sophia Antipolis & Université Côte d'Azur

#### Internet usage today



Source: \*https://www.ericsson.com/assets/local/mobilityreport/documents/2018/ericsson-mobility-report-june-2018.pdf



Source: Video stream quality impacts viewer behavior: inferring causality using quasiexperimental designs. IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking, 2013

## Quality of Experience (QoE) in the Internet

- QoE = Set of factors impacting user perception of applications performance (ITU-T Rec. P.10/G.100)
  - Some are subjective, but others are objective as network and terminal performance

Ex. QoE on a scale 1 to 5 MOS (Mean Opinion Score)



• Our ultimate goal: Understand the impact of the network on the QoE

- Measurement and modeling
- Causality analysis
- Troubleshooting
- Enhancement, e.g., caching and transport

#### **ACQUA: Motivation & Challenges**

Mobile Access monitoring & QoE forecasting App

#### lightweight

Flexible

#### State of the art

	Mobile	Light	QoE
Speedtest	1	×	×
MobiPerf	1	×	×
Sensorly	1	×	×
RTR-NetTest	1	×	~
Meteor	1	×	1
iPerf	×	~	×
ACQUA	1	1	1

Existing tools are either:

- Greedy in terms of data consumption
- Scarce in terms of the feedback on the user's QoE

## Our approach

#### Network monitoring

- $\checkmark$  Lightweight measurement in terms of data consumption
  - ICMP pings (RTT, jitter and loss rate)
  - Bursts of UDP packets (Estimated throughput)

#### QoE forecasting

✓ Flexibility: Model-based cross-application QoE forecasting



### **ACQUA: GUI & Features**



#### What else?



#### Access quality over time



#### Network performances around you

More details: project.inria.fr/acqua/



#### Architecture Perform measurements (active + passive) Pings ~ 400bytes UDP bursts ~ 70Kbytes **Database** 5\*(10\*1400bytes) 0 ••• **Measurement server**



## Metrics collected by ACQUA

Active	Passive
RTT	User ID
Download loss rate	Signal strength
Upload loss rate	Mobile operator
Download jitter	Radio access technology
Upload jitter	WIFI SSID
UDP Download throughput	Network cell (CID, LAC)
UDP Upload throughput	Roaming status

All in no more than 75KB

## ACQUA vs iPerf at equal data consumption



Noisy estimates by iPerf3 caused by TCP dynamics in case of short transfers.

Bandwidth underestimation by iPerf3 caused by the initialization delay.



### ACQUA models life cycle

#### **Model Calibration Phase**



#### **QoE Estimation / Prediction Phase**

## Experimenting with YouTube



Three measurable path metrics

-Bandwidth/throughput, delay/RTT and loss rate

in the download direction

- -Throughput = 0 10 Mbps
- -RTT = 0 5000 ms

-Loss Rate = 0 – 25 %

Three application-level QoS

-Initial join time,

-number of stalling events,

-total duration of the stalling events

## **QoE** definition for YouTube Video

**Multiclass Classification:** 

$$QoE_{exp} = \alpha e^{-\beta t} + 1$$
 ( $\alpha = 4, \beta = 0.0347$ )

Where t is the total buffering time and  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are computed according to best and worst case scenarios:

Best case: QoE is maximum of 5 for zero buffering time

□ Worst case: QoE is equal to 1.5 for buffering of 50% of the total duration of the video







# Controlled experimentation results (YouTube)





### First look on ACQUA dataset

#### More than I million records



One month dataset regularly updated made available at http://www- sop.inria.fr/diana/acqua/datasets/Android/

## Bad QoE troubleshooting (YouTube 720p)







### **Conclusion & Future Work**

□ Cover other trending applications

□ Enhance the QoE troubleshooting part with further analysis

Address current limitations in terms of:

- Coping with high bit rates (currently hard limited to 20 Mbps)
- Battery consumption
- Risk of blocking / filtering by middleboxes (addition of TCP as backup plane)

## Thank you