Specification language and WP calculus for Java Bytecode.

joint work in progress Mariela Pavlova, Lilian Burdy INRIA Sophia-Antipolis



- Proof Carrying ByteCode
 - Proof obligations.
 - What is the language in which properties will be expressed ?





JAVA source File	Java bytecode
JML specification	Specification language for bytecode



- Specifying java source files with the Java Modeling Language (JML).Examples
- Translation of JML into specification language for Java bytecode.
- Generation of class files containing specification information.
- WP for Java bytecode
- Example
- Conclusion



A specification language by which one can declare :

- method specification- preconditions, postconditions, loop invariants, frame conditions can be specified for a method.
- class specification- class invariants and history constraints can be specified for a class.

- Java expressions without side effects.
- JML model variables in the JML specification variables that are discarded by the compiler can be used. These fields are used for specification purposes only.
- specific JML constants \result, \old, etc.



Java Modeling Language.Example

```
//@requires i != 0;
//@ensures \result == 1/i;
//@exsures ArithemticException i==0;
int m(int i ) {
  int j;
  j = 1/i;
  return j;
}
```

- translation that should fit to bytecode use of the same names, for example
- Integration of the specification in the class file
- The new class file format must respect the VM specification and not create problems at execution time.
- efficient coding not too rich in order not to increase considerably the class file

- for every JML unit precondition, postcondition there will be a new attribute defined
- attribute_info {

u2 attribute_name_index; u4 attribute_length; u1 info[attribute_length];

Generation of new class file format

```
ClassFile
   u2 constant_pool_count;
   cp_info constant_pool[constant_pool_count-1];
   methodinfo[]
   u2 attributes_count;
   attribute_info attributes[attributes_count];
```

Translation of JML. Extension of the constant pool(CP)

- Java virtual machine instructions do not rely on the runtime layout of classes, interfaces, class instances, or arrays. Instead, instructions refer to symbolic infomation in the class CP.
- Motivation

Specification may involve fields that are not present in the class CP:

 java fields that are not dereferenced in the code so there is no index for them in the constant pool

 Attribute - ConstantPool_attribute , that contains references that are added every time that they are not in the original constant pool, but are needed for the specification

- Completely ignored by Java compilers. Define Model_Field_Attribute
- For every model variable in class C, an attribute added to the attribute array for the class file for C
- If a model variable is dereferenced at least once, add new index into the ConstantPool_attribute

Translation of JML. Method specification translation

- Precondition, Postcondition, Loop Invariant, Assertions translated as new attributes for the method_info attribute
- Translation of any JML constant c- by its corresponding code(c)
- Translation of fields by their corresponding index in the constant pool(the original or in its extension)
- Translation of local variables by their indexes in the local variable array

Translation of JML

```
ClassFile
   u2 constant_pool_count;
   cp_info constant_pool[constant_pool_count-1];
   methodinfo
      code attribute
      Requires_Attribute
      Ensures_Attribute
   Class_Invariant_Attribute;
   Constant_Pool_Attribute;
```

Translation of method postcondition in bytecode format : JMLEnsures_attribute { u2 attribute_name_index; u4 attribute_length; un attribute_formula;



```
//@requires i != 0;
//@ensures \result == 1/i;
int m(int i ) {
    int j;
    j = 1/i;
    return j;
}
```

Example of translation of the postcondition predicate

For method m postcondition attribute will look be: JMLEnsures_attribute {

u2 attribute_name_index;

u4 attribute_length;

```
un attribute_formula = code(\result)^{==1}1 div local(1)^{}}
```

Example of translation of the postcondition predicate

For method m precondition attribute will look be: JMLEnsures_attribute {

u2 attribute_name_index;

u4 attribute_length;

```
un attribute_formula = \lceil local(1)! = 1 \rceil }
```

Translation of JML.Class specification

- Translation of class specification
 - Class invariant, History constraints : new attributes defined for the class_info data structure
 - JMLClassInvariant_attribute {

u2 attribute_name_index;

u4 attribute_length;

un attribute_formula;
}

Translation of JML. Limitations

 Additional information that is not a must in the Java Virtual machine specification is required: Linenumbertable, Local_variable_table attributes might not be generated by certain Java language compilers.

Weakest precondition for Java bytecode. Definitions

- defined over the execution graph of a bytecode
- Definition of a bytecode block : a subsequence of a bytecode B that
 - starts either with the initial instruction of B, either with a target of a jump instruction and
 - terminates either with a jump instruction or the last instruction of the bytecode B.

Weakest precondition for Java bytecode

- wp : Java_instruction \rightarrow Predicate \rightarrow (Exception_name \rightarrow Predicate) \rightarrow Predicate
- Definition :

wp(b, psi^n , psi^e) is a predicate that must hold in those initial states of the execution of the bytecode block b for which

- it terminates in a state that satisfies the predicate psi^n if it terminates normally or
- it terminates by throwing an exception of type *Exception_Name* in a state that satisfies *psi^e*(*Exception_Name*)

0

3

ByteCode for the method m :

```
//@ requires i != 0;
//@ ensures \result == 1/i;
int m(int i) {
   int j;
   j = 1/i;
   return j;
```

- iconst_1 1 iload_1 2 idiv istore_2
- 4 iload 2
- 5 ireturn

WP for Java bytecode. Example

Some namings :

- S the stack
- t the stack top

head - a function that returns the subbytecode of a bytecode except for the last instruction

WP for Java bytecode. Example

Calculating the Weakest precondition for the method $\ensuremath{\mathbb{m}}$ over its bytecode:

• wp(B, postcondition(m)) =



WP for Java bytecode. Example

- wp(B, postcondition(m)) =
- wp(B, code(|result)| = = |T| div local(1))

- wp(B, postcondition(m)) =
- wp(B, code(\result) $\[==]\[1 div local(1)\])$
- wp(head(B), wp(ireturn, code(\result) $\[== \] \[\neg \[1 div local(1) \])$

- wp(B, postcondition(m)) =
- wp(B, code(\result) $\[==]\[1 div local(1)\])$
- wp(head(B), wp(ireturn, code(\result) $\[== \] \neg \[] 1 \text{ div local}(1) \])$
- wp(head(B), code(\result) $\[== \] \neg \[1 div local(1)\] [code(\result]) \leftarrow S(t)]$

- wp(B, postcondition(m)) =
- wp(B, code(\result) \square == \square 1 div local(1))
- wp(head(B), wp(ireturn, code(\result) $\[== \] \neg \[] 1 \text{ div local}(1) \])$
- wp(head(B), code(\result) $\[== \] \neg \[1 div local(1)\] [code(\result]) \leftarrow S(t)]$
- wp(head(head(B)), wp(iload_1, code(\result) $\ulcorner = = \urcorner \ulcorner 1 \text{ div local}(1) \urcorner [code(\result]) \leftarrow S(t)]))$

$$\begin{split} \text{What is obtained is :} \\ (code(\texttt{vesult})^{\ulcorner} == \urcorner^{\ulcorner} \texttt{1} \text{ div } \texttt{local}(\texttt{1})^{\urcorner}) \\ & [\texttt{Code}(\texttt{vesult}]) \leftarrow \texttt{S}(\texttt{t})] \\ & [S(t) \leftarrow local(\texttt{1})] \\ & [t \leftarrow t+1] \end{split}$$

doing all the substitutions the weakest precondition for m is : $(\lceil 1 \ div \ local(1) \rceil \rceil \neg \lceil 1 \ div \ local(1) \rceil)$

 $(\ulcorner1 \ div \ local(1) \urcorner \urcorner = = \urcorner \ulcorner1 \ div \ local(1) \urcorner)$



- Results
 - class file format extension containing specification information - doesnot violate the VM specification and will not create conflicts on execution
 - calculus for extracting proof obligations from the java bytecode and the added specification
- Possible shortcomings : the size of the file increases, tests needed