FIFTH Brazil - France

Workshop on High Performance Computing an Scientific Data Management Driven by Highly Demanding Applications

A review of NACAD's developments within HOSCAR and future perspectives on H2020

Alvaro Coutinho alvaro@nacad.ufrj.br

High Performance Computing Center COPPE/Federal University of Rio de Janeiro www.nacad.ufrj.br





Contents

• Who we are and what we do!

- Multiphysics
 - Algorithms and Simulation Software
 - Moving boundaries
 - Adaptive Mesh Refinement and Coarsening

• Pushing the limits

- Exploring the Stochastic Space
- Parallel Mesh Generation

- Future perspectives

- HPC4E, new infrastructure, new collaborations



WHO WE ARE AND WHAT WE DO



COPPE/UFRJ Innovation Ecosystem

COPPE - Federal University of Rio de Janeiro 12 Graduate Courses in Engineering and mputer Science, 120 Research Labs) NPES - PETROBRAS Research Center rt-ups Incubator echnology Park Petrobras, Schlumberg burton, Baker&Hughes, Tenaris-Confab IC2: Stemens, Siemens, GE, Vallourec Radar, Laboratorios COPPI Cinters in a Birzis s menual COL COPPE Instituto Alberto Luiz Coimbra de Pós-Graduação e Pesquisa de Engenharia



COPPE - FEDERA

Intel® Parallel Computing Centers





HPC Center Systems





NACAD's Main Academic Partners





NACAD's Industrial Partners



NACAD

NACAD's on HOSCAR

- App Track
 - Multiphysics
 - Uncertainty
 - Quantification
 - Enabling Technologies
 - Mesh generation, solvers, visualization

his talk

Big Data Track

- Scientific Workflows
- Databases
- Provenance
- User steering
- Dynamic Loops/



Mattoso's talk

MULTIPHYSICS



Motivation









Governing PDE's: Fluids

Incompressible Flows

Momentum balance in Eulerian frame

$$\rho(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{f}) - \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Omega$$
$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Omega$$

Incompressibility constraint

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}(p,\mathbf{u}) = -p\mathbf{I} + 2\mu\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) , \quad \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{2}((\boldsymbol{\nabla}\mathbf{u}) + (\boldsymbol{\nabla}\mathbf{u})^T)$$

 $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{g} \text{ on } \Gamma_{\mathrm{g}} , \qquad \mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} = \mathbf{h} \text{ on } \Gamma_{\mathrm{h}}$

Incompressible Navier-Stokes equations

- Hypothesis: NS is valid for ALL scales



Governing Equations for Solids and Structures





General Weak Formulation for Governing Equations

Find $U \in V$ such that $\forall W \in V$:

 $B(\mathbf{W},\mathbf{U}) = (\mathbf{W},\mathbf{F})$

Remarks:



- Boundary conditions are built in V
- (.,.) is the standard notation for inner product → eqs weakly satisfied
- *B* for Lagrangian equations is the standard Galerkin method
- For Eulerian equations *B* has to be modified
- Modifications account for convection, velocity-pressure coupling, shocks
- Weak formulation amenable to multiscale decomposition $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}^h \otimes \mathcal{V}'$

$$B(\mathbf{W}^{h},\mathbf{U}^{h}+\mathbf{U'})=(\mathbf{W}^{h},\mathbf{F}) \qquad \mathbf{U}=\mathbf{U}^{h}+\mathbf{U'}$$

 $B(\mathbf{W'},\mathbf{U}^h+\mathbf{U'})=(\mathbf{W'},\mathbf{F})$ $\mathbf{W}=\mathbf{W}^h+\mathbf{W'}$



RBVMS Formulation for the Incompressible Navier-Stokes Equations

Find
$$\mathbf{u}^{h} \in \mathcal{S}_{u}^{h}$$
 and $p^{h} \in \mathcal{S}_{p}^{h}$, such that $\forall \mathbf{w}^{h} \in \mathcal{V}_{u}^{h}$ and $q^{h} \in \mathcal{V}_{p}^{h}$:

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{w}^{h} \cdot \rho \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}^{h}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u}^{h} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}^{h} - \mathbf{f}^{h} \right) \, \mathrm{d}\Omega + \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon \left(\mathbf{w}^{h} \right) : \sigma \left(\mathbf{u}^{h}, p^{h} \right) \, \mathrm{d}\Omega$$

$$- \int_{\Gamma_{h}} \mathbf{w}^{h} \cdot \mathbf{h}^{h} \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma + \int_{\Omega} q^{h} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}^{h} \, \mathrm{d}\Omega$$

$$= \int_{e=1}^{n_{\mathrm{el}}} \int_{\Omega^{e}} \tau_{\mathrm{SUPS}} \left(\mathbf{u}^{h} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w}^{h} + \frac{\nabla q^{h}}{\rho} \right) \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{M}} \left(\mathbf{u}^{h}, p^{h} \right) \, \mathrm{d}\Omega$$

$$= \int_{e=1}^{n_{\mathrm{el}}} \int_{\Omega^{e}} \rho \nu_{\mathrm{LSIC}} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}^{h} r_{\mathrm{c}} \left(\mathbf{u}^{h}, p^{h} \right) \, \mathrm{d}\Omega$$

$$= \int_{e=1}^{n_{\mathrm{el}}} \int_{\Omega^{e}} \tau_{\mathrm{SUPS}} \mathbf{w}^{h} \cdot \left(\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{M}} \left(\mathbf{u}^{h}, p^{h} \right) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}^{h} \right) \, \mathrm{d}\Omega$$

$$= \int_{e=1}^{n_{\mathrm{el}}} \int_{\Omega^{e}} \nabla \mathbf{w}^{h} \cdot \left(\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{SUPS}} \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{M}} \left(\mathbf{u}^{h}, p^{h} \right) \right) \, \mathrm{d}\Omega = 0$$

NACAD

ALE-RBVMS Formulation for the Incompressible Navier-Stokes Equations

Find
$$\mathbf{u}^{h} \in \mathcal{S}_{u}^{h}$$
 and $p^{h} \in \mathcal{S}_{p}^{h}$, such that $\forall \mathbf{w}^{h} \in \mathcal{V}_{u}^{h}$ and $q^{h} \in \mathcal{V}_{p}^{h}$:

$$\int_{\Omega_{t}} \mathbf{w}^{h} \cdot \rho \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}^{h}}{\partial t} \Big|_{\hat{x}} + \left(\mathbf{u}^{h} \oplus \hat{\mathbf{u}}^{h} \right) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}^{h} - \mathbf{f}^{h} \right) d\Omega + \int_{\Omega_{t}} \varepsilon \left(\mathbf{w}^{h} \right) : \boldsymbol{\sigma} \left(\mathbf{u}^{h}, p^{h} \right) d\Omega$$

$$- \int_{(\Gamma_{t})_{h}} \mathbf{w}^{h} \cdot \mathbf{h}^{h} d\Gamma + \int_{\Omega_{t}} q^{h} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}^{h} d\Omega$$

$$+ \sum_{e=1}^{n_{el}} \int_{\Omega_{t}^{e}} \tau_{\text{SUPS}} \left(\left(\mathbf{u}^{h} \oplus \hat{\mathbf{u}}^{h} \right) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w}^{h} + \frac{\nabla q^{h}}{\rho} \right) \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\text{M}} \left(\mathbf{u}^{h}, p^{h} \right) d\Omega$$

$$+ \sum_{e=1}^{n_{el}} \int_{\Omega_{t}^{e}} \rho \nu_{\text{LSIC}} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}^{h} r_{\text{C}} (\mathbf{u}^{h}, p^{h}) d\Omega$$

$$- \sum_{e=1}^{n_{el}} \int_{\Omega_{t}^{e}} \tau_{\text{SUPS}} \mathbf{w}^{h} \cdot \left(\mathbf{r}_{\text{M}} \left(\mathbf{u}^{h}, p^{h} \right) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}^{h} \right) d\Omega$$

$$- \sum_{e=1}^{n_{el}} \int_{\Omega_{t}^{e}} \nabla \mathbf{w}^{h} \cdot \left(\mathbf{r}_{\text{M}} \left(\mathbf{u}^{h}, p^{h} \right) \right) \otimes \left(\tau_{\text{SUPS}} \mathbf{r}_{\text{M}} \left(\mathbf{u}^{h}, p^{h} \right) \right) d\Omega = 0$$

NACAD

Adapted from Bazilevs, Takizawa & Tezduyar

Finite Element Method

- Unstructured grid method characterized by:
 - Discontinuous data
 - Gather/scatter operations
 - Random memory access
 - Data dependencies
- Main Computational Kernels
 for Implicit Time Marching
 - Forming system matrix and RHS
 - Solving linearized systems by preconditioned Krylov solvers





Mesh-based Solution Techniques for Moving Boundaries

- Interface Tracking (ALE)
 - Accurate
 - Coarse mesh computation
 - Small deformation
 - Mesh moving
 - Mesh distortion/remeshing
- Interface Capturing (VOF, LS, PF)
 - Mesh fixed
 - No remesh needed
 - Flexible
 - Large Deformation, breaks and cusps
 - Additional equation
 - Refined mesh

Source of problem







Scalar Transport

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \phi - \nabla \cdot (\nu \nabla \phi) = 0 \text{ on } \Omega$$

$$\phi = g \quad \text{on } \Gamma_g$$

Boundary conditions

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot \nu \nabla \phi = h \quad \text{on } \Gamma_h$$

\$\phi\$ is a scalar being transported (marker function: VoF, LS, Phase-Field)
\$u\$ is a given velocity field
\$v\$ is the diffusion coefficient
VoF and LS: pure advection for marker function

Fluid properties:

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\rho} &= \boldsymbol{\rho}_{0} + \left(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{1} - \boldsymbol{\rho}_{0}\right) \mathbb{H}\left(\boldsymbol{\phi}\right) \\ \boldsymbol{\mu} &= \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0} + \left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}\right) \mathbb{H}\left(\boldsymbol{\phi}\right) \end{split}$$



FEM for Transport

Stabilization prevents spurious oscillations in convection dominated flows



Discontinuity capturing for transport equation



$$\beta = 1$$
 and $\hat{c}_i = 1 \implies \text{CAU} \equiv \text{YZ}\beta$

See also: Elias et al, IJNMF, 2007, 2008







- **Interface tends to smooth according to the marking function transport**
 - Stabilized formulation helps to diminish this effect \rightarrow but does not completely solve
- Surface tension is not easy to be modeled in VOF methods since it depends on the interface curvature





NACAD

Level set challenges

- Computing curvature is not that difficult
- Computing and keeping sign distance functions in unstructured grids is not easy! Redistancing is necessary.
- Is possible to avoid redistancing by solving directly Eikonal's equation



We propose a new method based on the Fast Marching Method concepts for the Eikonal equation. $||\nabla \phi|| = 1$

More details in: Renato N. Elias, Marcos A. D. Martins, Alvaro L. G. A. Coutinho, *Simple finite element based computation of distance functions in unstructured grids*, IJNME, Volume 72(9): 1095–1110, 2007



Computing signed distance functions in TET4 meshes

 We impose the satisfaction of Eikonal's equation at element level, using FEM data structures: algorithm O(nnodes)

$$\left\| \nabla \phi^{e} \right\| = \left\| \frac{1}{6V} \left[\begin{array}{cccc} N_{1,x} & N_{2,x} & N_{3,x} & N_{4,x} \\ N_{1,y} & N_{2,y} & N_{3,y} & N_{4,y} \\ N_{1,z} & N_{2,z} & N_{3,z} & N_{4,z} \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c} d_{1} \\ d_{2} \\ d_{3} \\ d_{4} \end{array} \right] = 1$$

- Small errors (<1%) in a narrow band</p>
- Alternative to Ray Tracing in Tomography





Enforcing Mass Conservation on VoF and LS

- mass lost/gained are found comparing the initial mass plus the inlet and outlet fluxes, at the end of each time step.
- values to be added or removed are made proportional to the absolute value of the normal velocity of the interface given by







Smooth transition region of length η between two bulk phases

NACAD

General Coupling Scheme









Coupling Scheme: Staggered - Predictor/Corrector



Ref.: A New Staggered Scheme for Fluid- Structure Interaction – 2013 Dettmer, Peric (Int. J. for Num. Meth. In Eng.)



EdgeCFD[®] Fluid Flow/Free-surface/FSI Solver

General:

- Edge based data structure. "EDE has been proving to be more efficient than other FEM data structures like CSR or EBE"
- Segregated predictor-multicorrector time marching;
- Adaptive time stepping with PID controller;
- Supports hybrid parallelism (MPI, OpenMP or both at the same time);
- Unstructured grids with linear tetrahedra for velocity, pressure and scalar transport;
- Mesh partitioning performed by Metis or ParMetis;
- Best data reordering defined by EdgePack® in a preprocessing phase;
- Thermal-flow coupling with Boussinesq approximation; FSI
- Input/Output file formats: ANSYS/Ensight/Paraview, neutral files, Xdmf/hdf5

Incompressible/Compressible Flow:

- SUPG/PSPG/LSIC stabilized finite element method in Eulerian or ALE frames
- Fully coupled **u**-*p* system (4-dofs/5-dofs per node/non-symmetric);
- Inexact Newton-GMRES;
- LES (Smagorinsky, Dynamic Smagorinsky), ILES, RB-VMS
- Newtonian or non-Newtonian flows (Power Law, Bingham and Hershel-Buckley)

D Transport

- SUPG/CAU/YZBeta stabilized finite element method in Eulerian and ALE frames
- Supports free-surface flows through Volume-Of-Fluid and Level-Sets.
- (UFMM) Unstructured Fast Marching Method for fast computation of signed distance functions
- PDD: Parallel dynamic deactivation. "Restrict the computation only in regions with high solution gradients"





EdgeCFD Software Stack

Ansys Classic, ICEM-CFD, CFX and/or GMSH

- Computational model
- Mesh Generation
- Preprocessor (EdgeCFDPre)
 - 1. Takes a serial mesh;
 - 2. Creates partitions with Metis (could be Scotch...)
 - 3. Extracts edges and reorders data with EdgePack
 - 4. Stores data prepared to solver
- Solver (EdgeCFDSolver)
- ParaView, Vislt, Ensight
 - Visualization: Ensight, Xdmf/HDF5 or Parallel VTK

Blue: "Home made" code **Green**: Third party code

> Workflow management and provenance by Chiron

provenance by **Chiron**



libMesh

- High level interface for finite element analysis (Kirk et al., Eng. with Computers, 2006)
- Support adaptive mesh refinement and coarsening (AMR/C)
- Keep focus on the physical problem instead of the computational aspects related to the adaptive mesh refinement/coarsening and parallel computing
- Developed initially at UT Austin
- Available at:

http://libmesh.github.io/



AMR/C

- libMesh utilizes a statistical scheme (Kirk et al., Eng. with Computers, 2006)
 - Kelly's error estimator
- As the simulation goes on, the statistical distribution of the error spreads and then the refinement and coarsening begin
- As the solution reaches equilibrium, the error distribution reaches steady state and then the adaptive process stops





Probability density function. Kirk et al., 2006




Three-dimensional deformation problem

McInnes, L. C., Smith, B., Zhang, H., & Mills, R. T. (2014). Hierarchical Krylov and nested Krylov methods for extreme-scale computing. Parallel Computing, 40(1), 17–31.

NACAD

Fixed FPSO Model Test

WAVE	TYPE	Н _s (т)	T _p (s)	ST	OBSERVATION	
Regular 1	Regular	10.50	17.50	0.022	Low H _s , with the roll natural period	
Regular 2	Regular	12.50	17.50	0.026	Medium H _s , with the roll natural period	
Regular 3	Regular	15.00	17.50	0.031	High H _s , with the roll natural period	
Regular 4	Regular	12.50	15.00	0.036	Medium H _s , with the period under the roll natural period and above the pitch/heave natural period	
Regular 5	Regular	12.50	20.00	0.020	Medium H _s , with the period above the roll natural period	
Regular 6	Regular	12.50	12.50	0.051	Medium H _s , with the pitch/heave natural period	
Regular 7	Regular	10.50	12.50	0.043	Low H _s , with the pitch/heave natural period	
Regular 8	Regular	15.00	12.50	0.061	High H _s , with the pitch/heave natural period	
Regular 9	Regular	12.50	10.00	0.080	Medium H _s , with the period under the pitch/heave natural period	
Irregular 1	Jonswap	7.97	13.50	0.028	Based on representative conditions of Santos Basin	
Irregular 2	Jonswap	10.79	13.50	0.038	Based on representative conditions of Santos Basin	
Irregular 3	Jonswap	6.1	9.0	0.048	Based on a preliminary WAMIT study	



- Pure waves
- Steepness range (2 to 8%)
- Periods based on the natural periods
- Irregular waves based on
 - Santos Basin conditions
 - Transient waves (not shown on the table)

NACAD

Fixed FPSO

Computational Domain (scale 1:100)

- Tank parameterized from average wave length λ related to waves of period 12,5s (λ =244m) and 15,0s (λ =348m).
- Thus, the computational domain is suitable for simulations up to 15 seconds.



Fixed FPSO / Frontal Wave R8



Pressure and wave elevation snapshots at (a) t=7.0s (b) t=7.25s (c) t=7.5s (d) t=7.75s

NACAD



STARCCM Mesh is 4x finer

NACAD

Test Case: Ship Simulation

Simulation 4 d.o.f.: 3 rotations 1 vertical translation Comparison between **Subiterative** and **Explicit-Implicit** Schemes







Allen-Cahn Phase Field Model

D Total free energy in terms phase field variable $W(\varphi)$

- Double-well function

$$W = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} \left| \nabla \phi \right|^2 + \frac{1}{4\eta^2} f(\phi) d\Omega \qquad \phi(\mathbf{x}, t) \in [-1, 1]$$

- Allen-Cahn model is derived by assuming that the dissipation effect is described through a gradient flow mechanism
 - interfacial thickness
 - elastic relaxation time

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \phi = -\gamma \frac{\partial W}{\partial \phi} = -\gamma \left(\nabla^2 \phi + \frac{1}{4\eta^2} F(\phi) \right)$$



Conservative Allen-Cahn Phase Field Model

 Lagrange multiplier related to the constant volume constraint

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \phi \, d\Omega = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \phi = -\gamma \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial \phi} + \xi(t) \right)$$

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \phi = -\gamma \left(\nabla^2 \phi + \frac{1}{4\eta^2} f(\phi) + \xi(t) \right)$$



Allen-Cahn Navier-Stokes Model

Navier-Stokes equation:

- Body force depending on the phase-field parameter
- Surface tension too

Conservative Allen-Cahn equation:

 Lagrange multiplier corresponding to the constant volume constraint

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u}\nabla\mathbf{u} + \nabla p - \frac{1}{Re}\nabla^2\mathbf{u} + \lambda\nabla\cdot\left(\nabla\phi\otimes\nabla\phi\right) = Ri\phi$$
$$\nabla\cdot\mathbf{u} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \phi = \gamma \left(\nabla^2 \phi - \frac{1}{4\eta^2} f(\phi) + \xi(t) \right)$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\Omega} \phi \, d\Omega = 0$$



FEM for Allen-Cahn Phase Field Model

SUPG Finite Element Formulation

$$\int_{\Omega} w^{h} \left(\frac{\partial \phi^{h}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u}^{h} \cdot \nabla \phi^{h} \right) d\Omega + \int_{\Omega} \gamma \nabla w^{h} \cdot \nabla \phi^{h} d\Omega + \int_{\Omega} \gamma w^{h} (f^{h} - \xi^{h}) d\Omega + \sum_{e=1}^{n_{el}} \int_{\Omega^{e}} \tau_{SUPG} \mathbf{u}^{h} \cdot \nabla w^{h} \left(\frac{\partial \phi^{h}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u}^{h} \cdot \nabla \phi^{h} - \gamma \left(\nabla^{2} \phi^{h} - f^{h} + \xi^{h} \right) \right) d\Omega^{e} = 0$$

Residual-Based AC FEM Formulation

$$AC_{DC} = \sum_{e=1}^{n_{el}} \int_{\Omega^e} \gamma \nabla w^h \cdot \nabla \phi^h \mathrm{d}\Omega$$

$$\int_{\Omega} w^{h} \left(\frac{\partial \phi^{h}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u}^{h} \cdot \nabla \phi^{h} \right) d\Omega + \sum_{e=1}^{n_{el}} \int_{\Omega} \gamma^{e} (\phi^{h}) (\nabla w^{h} \cdot \nabla \phi^{h} - w^{h} (-f^{h} + \xi^{h})) d\Omega + \sum_{e=1}^{n_{el}} \int_{\Omega^{e}} \tau_{SUPG} \, \mathbf{u}^{h} \cdot \nabla w^{h} \left(\frac{\partial \phi^{h}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u}^{h} \cdot \nabla \phi^{h} - \gamma^{e} (\phi^{h}) (\nabla^{2} \phi^{h} - f^{h} + \xi^{h}) \right) d\Omega^{e} = 0$$

Vasconcelos, Rossa & Coutinho, IJNMF, 2014



Salt Tectonics with AC Phase-Field Model in libMesh



Figure 2. Single diapir evolution: (a) Geological time =1My, (b) Geological time =5My, (c) Geological time =10My, (d) Geological time =25My, (e) Geological time =50My and (f) Geological time =60My.



Exploring the Stochastic Space

UNCERTAINTY QUANTIFICATION IN SEISMIC IMAGING



Motivation

- **Seismic imaging is one of the most computational demanding activities in Oil and Gas industry**
- **Involves massive data acquisition, computing, storage and visualization**
- Oil companies are one of the main industries on TOP500 list (June 2015):



Besides, according to U. Rüde, SIAM News, 2015:

"Extreme-scale systems will provide the computational power to move from qualitative simulation to predictive simulation, and from predictive simulation to optimization, parameter identification, and inverse problems; they will make stochastic simulations possible and allow us to better quantify uncertainties."



1 - Seismic Imaging in E&P Process





1 - Seismic Imaging in E&P Process

Focus on RTM (Reverse Time Migration)



2 forward models (acoustic or elastic wave propagation)

Forward model: Compute the time the wave takes to reach each point of the subsurface.

Reverse model: The receiver is now a source → **time reversing**

IMAGING CONCEPT: forward and reverse waves arrive at the same time \rightarrow there is a "good"

chance" (probable) to be a reflector

Tomography uses **approximations** of wave propagation: **Ray Tracing** or **Eikonal equation** (model errors)

Data can have some measurements errors (seismograms noise data).

Uncertainties in the input data of the migration algorithm: propagation need to be understood and mitigated



2 - Uncertainty quantification in seismic imaging

Step 1: Building an input data-driven model for imaging



RV: Random Vector (discrete field)



2 - Uncertainty quantification in seismic imaging

Step 2: propagation of uncertainties in RTM



Challenges in Uncertainty Quantification in Seismic Imaging

- Computing needs for conventional RTM (3D TTI, 1000 shots): one RTM runs in 5h on 10² Intel Xeon Phi, and thousands of RTMs are needed for UQ.
- Main challenges to scale to real problem:
 - Optimizing RTM Kernels
 - UQ: Stochastic collocation methods with dimension reduction vs MC methods.
 - Manage the computations: Scientific Workflow Management System needed to support the intensive (at least) two-level parallel computations → Chiron SWfMS [3].
 - Draw insights from the results: how to visualize UQ on an seismic image?

[3] Dias, J., et al. "Data-centric iteration in dynamic workflows." *Future Generation Computer Systems* (2014). (227 downloads since publication)



Chiron: a parallel workflow execution engine

- Dataflow oriented engine by a workflow relational algebra [4]
- Non intrusive: workflow system supports several parallel numerical apps
- Strong Provenance support
 - Online data analysis
 - Convergence tracking
 - Visualization of partial results
- Dynamic interference on loop parameters

Applications:

– Life sciences, Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD), Uncertainty Quantification

(UQ) in Particle Laden Flows and Seismic Imaging

[4] OGASAWARA, E., DIAS, J., SILVA, V., *et al.*, 2013, "Chiron: A Parallel Engine for Algebraic Scientific Workflows", *Concurrency and Computation*, v. 25, n. 16, pp. 2327–2341.



Chiron RTM Workflow Many-level Parallel Execution





Chiron's distributed architecture

□ A decentralized approach (*d*-*Chiron*) for managing provenance data

- Fully distributed multi-master database
- Horizontal data partitioning
- **•** Evaluation
 - Synthetic workflow composed of three activities
 - Average time of 30,240 activity executions (i.e. tasks): ~64s
 - HPC environment: StRemi Cluster from Grid 5000 (Rennes region)
 - 88 CPUs AMD Opteron 6164 HE 1.7GHz: **1008 cores** (42 nodes)
 - Performance evaluation
 - Elapsed time → d-Chiron: 38 minutes, Chiron (centralized approach): ~215 minutes
 - Efficiency \rightarrow d-Chiron: ~86.39% (high overhead: storing tasks in database)



Some remarks:

Chiron's Profiler

- Development of a strategy to gather and query performance data while scientific workflows are executing
- We achieved an efficiency of 93% using Endeavour Cluster (Intel, #92 TOP500, Jun 15) with 1,046 cores
 - Chiron with a single provenance database
 - Synthetic workflow with three activities

New Chiron's architecture

- Improvements to obtain better performances on more cores
- Limitations in relation to the HPC environments
 - RTM workflow execution using large core counts
 - Storage capacity can be very limiting for a large-scale data app like RTM
 - In Endeavour cluster, we are limited to ~60GB
 - In SGI ICE at COPPE we produced ~300 GB of files using a small dataset



Computational Optimizations for RTM kernels



Computational optimizations for RTM kernels

Roofline Models for Xeon E5 and Xeon Phi 7210



RTM algorithm classified as memory bound, low arithmetic intensity, memory also a bottleneck

Samuel Williams, Andrew Waterman, and David Patterson. 2009. Roofline: an insightful visual performance model for multicore architectures. Commun. ACM 52, 4 (April 2009), 65-76



Computational optimizations for RTM kernels



14th Taylor

Optimizations: parallelization, vectorization, thread affinity, memory alignment, padding, prefetching, loop unrolling (just for Xeon E5) and cache blocking (just for Xeon Phi).

D. L. Costa, A. L. G. A. Coutinho, B. S. Silva, J. J. Silva, L. Borges, A Trade-off Analysis Between High-order Seismic RTM and Computational Performance Tuning, PANACM 2015

SC. Andreolli, P. Thierry, L. Borges, G. Skinner, C. Yount, Characterization and Optimization Methodology applied to Stencil Computations, Chapt 23, J. Reinders & J. Jeffers, High Performance Parallelism Pearls, MK, 2015



PARALLEL MESH GENERATION



Linear Octrees

- Octrees are hierarchical data structures that decompose a threedimensional space in regular cubes, called octants.
- Linear Octree
 - complete list of leaf nodes,
 - octants are encoded by a scalar key: Morton Code.
 - Advantages:
 - Efficient memory use
 - Optimized tree traversal
 - Absence of pointers: more performance on sequential access and less communication overhead in parallel implementation





Non-conforming octree based mesh generation



See more: Camata JJ, Coutinho ALGA. Parallel implementation and performance analysis of a linear octree finite elementmesh generation scheme. Concurrency and Computation: Practice and Experience 2013; 25(6):826–842, doi:10.1002/cpe.2869.

Conforming Technique

- Frey and George (2000) propose a method where
 - Irregular octants are decomposed in 6 pyramidal elements by inserting a central node
 - Nine templates are defined for face triangulation
 - ✓ All faces nodes are connected with the central node





Conforming Technique

- Frey and George (2000) scheme advantages:
 - ✓ It does not require modifications in the octree construction
 - Embarrassing parallel (does not need neighboring information)
 - Availability of templates for all possible hanging nodes configuration

Because of its simplicity and the use of local mesh modifications, with potential low impact on parallel implementations this scheme is a good option to be incorporated in the our parallel octree mesher!



Performance Analysis on SDumont

• Weak scalability: offshore model



Analysis performed from 64 to 16,384 cores (time in secs)

Cores	Octree levels	Mesh Size #elements	Total Time (BULL MPI)	Total Time (Intel MPI)
64	11	296,394,446	29.80	29.29
256	12	1,182,028,956	38.18	37.63
1024	13	4,725,678,648	50.13	49.61
4096	14	18,895,327,518	74.94	70.63
16384	15	75,519,891,076	133.74	

- Able to generate meshes up to 75 billion elements.
- Additional refinement levels increase runtime in about 20%.
- Last run shows highest increase in runtime.



Performance Analysis



- Main thread activity
 - 67% in CPU usage

• 33 % in MPI communications

Profiles obtained by Allinea MAP Execution on 1024 cores

- Floating-point Operations:
 - Floating point operations are concentrated in computing geometrical interceptions with the input STL file.
- Memory Usage:
 - Continuous memory consumption growth due to the octree refinement
 - Sudden growth observed at the end could be explained by the meshing routine

CONCLUDING REMARKS AND DISCUSSION



We reviewed NACAD's activities. Challenges?

Multiphysics

- Multiphysics problems have been addressed using 2 software platforms: EdgeCFD and libMesh
- Simulation of complex systems combining multiple physical phenomena is one of the main motivations for extreme computing
- Solvers, AMR/C challenging problems particularly at large core counters

UQ in Seismic Imaging

- We have tested and implemented a UQ framework for seismic imaging: Tomography+Migration, what about FWI?
- Visualization of Uncertainty in Seismic Images beyond distance based metrics ? How? Entropy?
- Getting insights from UQ: Data analytics?
- Managing the complexity of sampling stochastic space
 - Issues: data management, fault tolerance, data provenance, etc
- Stencil optimizations, half-precision, improving arithmetic intensity, I/O Optimization

Parallel Mesh Generation

- Scale-up a parallel octree mesh generation scheme
- Build a new solver for it? Using immersed boundary techniques?



NACAD's Team

• Faculty:

- HPC:A. Coutinho (PI), R. Elias
- UQ: F. Rochinha
- Computer Science: M. Mattoso
- Pos-Docs, Research Staff and Students:
 - A. Aveleda, C. Barbosa, D. Barcarolo, D. de Bruyckner, O. Caldas,
 - J. Camata, A. Cortes, D. Costa, J. Dias, I. Ghisi, M. Goncalves Jr,
 - J. Gonzalez, G. Guerra, N. Guevara Jr, F. Horta, T. Lavril, E. Lins,
 - A. Mendonça, T. Miras, E. Ogasawara, D. Oliveira, A. Rossa,
 - F. Seabra, C. Silva, J. Silva, V. Silva, R. Souza, D. Vasconcelos, S. Zio
- Computer Resources: NACAD/COPPE/UFRJ, Intel, LNCC





Visit us at www.nacad.ufrj.br

