Numerical modeling of the interaction of light with nanometer scale structures

Stéphane Lanteri, Raphaël Léger and Jonathan Viquerat NACHOS project-team, Inria Sophia Antipolis - Méditerranée, France Claire Scheid University of Nice - Sophia Antipolis J.A. Dieudonné Laboratory UMR CNRS 7351, France



Fourth Workshop of the CNPq-Inria HOSCAR project High Performance Computing and Scientific Data Management Driven by Highly Demanding Applications Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), Gramado, Brazil

| S. Lanteri (I | NRIA) |
|---------------|-------|
|---------------|-------|

NACHOS project-team

Composition

History

- Created in July 2007
- Common project-team with J.A. Dieudonné (JAD) Mathematics Laboratory UMR CNRS 7351, University of Nice-Sophia Antipolis (UNSA)

Permanent staff

- INRIA
 - Loula Fezoui
 - Marie-Hélène Lallemand
 - Stéphane Lanteri
- JAD Laboratory, UNSA
 - Stéphane Descombes
- INRIA/UNSA Chair
 - Claire Scheid

Non-permanent staff (September 2014)

- 4 Ph.D students, 2 fixed-term engineers, 1 postdoc
- 1 long-term visitor (Frédéric Valentin, LNCC, Petropolis, Brazil)

Scientific objectives

- At first glance, a methodology-driven project-team
- Numerical modeling of physical problems involving waves in interaction with complex media and irregularly shaped structures
 - Systems of linear PDEs with variable coefficients
 - Time-domain and time-harmonic problems
- Methodological aspects
 - Theoretical (properties of numerical methods)
 - Practical (numerical algorithms and associated software)
- Application aspects
 - Focus on a few applications, preferably related to scientific and technological challenges of interest to the quality of life in our society
 - Contribute to a realistic numerical modeling of the underlying physical phenomena and demonstrate the benefits of the proposed methodologies

High order Discontinuous Galerkin (DG) methods

- Formulation and analysis of DG methods on simplicial and mixed cartesian-simplicial meshes
- High order polynomial interpolation
- Non-conformity (local *h*-, *p* and *hp*-adaptivity)
- Numerical treatment of complex material models

Numerically efficient solution strategies

- Locally implicit time integration methods
- Domain decomposition methods

High performance computing

- Algorithmics for modern parallel computing platforms
- Hybrid MIMD-SIMD parallelization strategies
- Large-scale simulations

Computational electromagnetics

- System of Maxwell equations
- Dispersive propagation media
- Applications involve the interaction of electromagnetic waves with,
 - Biological tissues (biocem),
 - In an objects (nanophotonics).

Computational geoseismics

- System of elastodynamic equations
- Viscoelastic propagation media
- Applications deal with the propagation of seismic waves,
 - Generated by an explosive source (earthquake dynamics),
 - In the subsurface (resource prospection).

2 Time-domain methods for nanophotonics

3 A non-dissipative DGTD method for Maxwell's equations

OGTD modeling of EM waves interaction with nanostructures

Modeling context

- Nanophotonics or nano-optics is the study of the behavior of light on the nanometer scale
- It is considered as a branch of optical engineering which deals with optics, or the interaction of light with particles or substances, at deeply subwavelength length scales
- Refers to phenomena of ultraviolet, visible and near IR light, with a wavelength of approximately 300 to 1200 nanometers
- The interaction of light with these nanoscale features leads to confinement of the electromagnetic field to the surface or tip of the nanostructure resulting in a region referred to as the optical near field

Modeling challenges

- Metal nanoparticles and metal/dielectric interfaces
- Very strong localized EM field enhancements
- Local, non-local and possibly non-linear dispersion effects

Modeling context

- Nanophotonics or nano-optics is the study of the behavior of light on the nanometer scale
- It is considered as a branch of optical engineering which deals with optics, or the interaction of light with particles or substances, at deeply subwavelength length scales
- Refers to phenomena of ultraviolet, visible and near IR light, with a wavelength of approximately 300 to 1200 nanometers
- The interaction of light with these nanoscale features leads to confinement of the electromagnetic field to the surface or tip of the nanostructure resulting in a region referred to as the optical near field

Modeling challenges

- Metal nanoparticles and metal/dielectric interfaces
- Very strong localized EM field enhancements
- Local, non-local and possibly non-linear dispersion effects

A typical physical setting (nanoplasmonics)

- SPs are coherent electron oscillations at the interface between any two materials where the real part of the dielectric function changes sign across the interface
- SPs typically exists at a metal-dielectric interface
- When SPs couple with a photon, the resulting hybridized excitation is called a surface plasmon polariton (SPP)
- This SPP can propagate along the surface of a metal until energy is lost either via absorption in the metal or radiation into free space



Schematic representation of an electron density wave propagating along a metal-dielectric interface. The exponential dependence of the electromagnetic field intensity on the distance away from the interface is shown on the right. These waves can be excited very efficiently with light in the visible range of the electromagnetic spectrum.

Applications

The enabling nature of nanophotonics means that the potential applications of nanophotonics are expected to be be broad.

Examples applications with potential commercial and/or societal impact are:

- Nano-engineered photonics materials
- 2 Nanoscale quantum optics
- O Nanoscale functional imaging
- Operation Photovoltaics
- Ommunications and all-optical signal processing
- O Chemical biosensors
- Plasmon-enhanced magnetic storage

Applications: nanoscale functional imaging

Majour photonics needs

- Improved imaging tools for biologists, biochemists and materials scientists with 5-10 nm spatial resolution for individual proteins cell membrane manipulation, and more sophisticated interfaces for photo-induced life science processes
- Faster and more sensitive light detectors
- New probes/markers and assays suitable for nanoscale functional imaging (fluorophores, nanoantennas, surface enhanced Raman spectroscopy [SERS] substrates)
- Ultra-compact integrated systems for lab-on-chip applications

Applications: nanoscale functional imaging

The optical antenna concept is very promising for achieving ultrahigh spatial resolution and sensitivity, but requires development for real-world applications



From: Tumour targeting: nanoantennas heat up W. Zhao and J.M. Karp, Nature Materials 8, pp. 453-454, 2009

- Challenges with the simulation of ElectroMagnetic (EM) wave propagation
 - Geometrical characteristics of the propagation domain:
 - · dimensions relatively to the wavelength,
 - irregularly shaped objects and singularities.
 - Physical characteristics of the propagation medium:
 - heterogeneity and anisotropy,
 - physical dispersion and dissipation.
 - Characteristics of the radiating sources and incident fields
- PDE model: the system of Maxwell equations



James Clerk Maxwell (1831-1879)

2 Time-domain methods for nanophotonics

A non-dissipative DGTD method for Maxwell's equations

OGTD modeling of EM waves interaction with nanostructures

2 Time-domain methods for nanophotonics

3 A non-dissipative DGTD method for Maxwell's equations

OGTD modeling of EM waves interaction with nanostructures

- FDTD: Finite Difference Time-Domain method
- Seminal work of K.S. Yee (IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag., Vol. AP-14, 1966)
- Structured (cartesian) meshes
- Second order accurate (space and time) on uniform meshes
- Advantages
 - Easy computer implementation
 - Computationally efficient (very low algorithmic complexity)
 - Mesh generation is straightforward
 - Modelization of complex sources (antennas, thin wires, etc.) is well established
- Drawbacks
 - Accuracy on non-uniform discretizations
 - Memory requirements for high resolution models
 - Approximate discretization of boundaries (stair case representation)

Overview of existing methods

Staircaising effect



Simulation of the scattering of a plane wave by a nanosphere. Numerical illustration of the staircaising effect using a solution method on a uniform cartesian mesh.

- FETD: Finite Element Time-Domain method
- Often based on J.-C. Nédélec edge elements (Numer. Math, Vol. 35, 1980 and Vol. 50, 1986)
 - Unstructured meshes
 - Advantages
 - Accurate representation of complex shapes
 - · Well suited to high order interpolation methods
 - Drawbacks
 - Computer implementation is less trivial
 - · Unstructured mesh generation is hardly automated
 - Global mass matrix
 - Mass lumped FETD methods
 - S. Pernet, X. Ferrieres and G. Cohen IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag., Vol. 53, No. 9, 2005
 - Hexahedral meshes, high order Lagrange polynomials
 - Leap-frog time integration scheme

- FVTD: Finite Volume Time-Domain method
 - Imported from the CFD community
 - V. Shankar, W. Hall and A. Mohammadian Electromag. Vol. 10, 1990
 - J.-P. Cioni, L. Fezoui and H. Steve IMPACT Comput. Sci. Eng., Vol. 5, No. 3, 1993
 - P. Bonnet, X. Ferrieres *et al.* J. Electromag. Waves and Appl., Vol. 11, 1997
 - S. Piperno and M. Remaki and L. Fezoui SIAM J. Num. Anal., Vol. 39, No. 6, 2002.
 - Unstructured meshes
 - Uknowns are cell averages of the field components
 - Flux evaluation at cell interfaces
 - Upwind scheme \rightarrow numerical dissipation
 - Centered scheme \rightarrow numerical dispersion (on non-uniform meshes)
 - Extension to higher order accuracy: MUSCL technique

- DGTD: Discontinuous Galerkin Time-Domain method
 - F. Bourdel, P.A. Mazet and P. Helluy Proc. 10th Inter. Conf. on Comp. Meth. in Appl. Sc. and Eng., 1992.
 - Triangular meshes, first-order upwind DG method (i.e FV method)
 - Time-domain and time-harmonic Maxwell equations
 - M. Remaki and L. Fezoui, INRIA RR-3501, 1998.
 - Time-domain Maxwell equations
 - Triangular meshes, P1 interpolation, Runke-Kutta time integration (RKDG)
 - J.S. Hesthaven and T. Warburton (J. Comput. Phys., Vol. 181, 2002)
 - · Tetrahedral meshes, high order Lagrange polynomials, upwind flux
 - Runge-Kutta time integration
 - B. Cockburn, F. Li and C.-W. Shu (J. Comput. Phys., Vol. 194, 2004)
 - Locally divergence-free RKDG formulation
 - G. Cohen, X. Ferrieres and S. Pernet (J. Comput. Phys., Vol. 217, 2006)
 - Hexahedral meshes, high order Lagrange polynomials, penalized formulation
 - Leap-frog time integration scheme
 - And a steadily increasing number of other works and groups adopting the method since 2005

Discontinuous Galerkin Time Domain method

Harald Songoro, Martin Vogel, and Zoltan Cendes

Keeping Time with Maxwell's Equations

nald Songero (HSongero Barsoft.com), Martin Vogel, and Zoltan Cera are with Ansoft LLC, Pittsburgh, Pennsyloxnia, LLSA.

2 IEEE MICTOWING Magazine April 20 Authorized loceraed use limited to: UNIVERSITY OF ERISTICL. Downloaded on March 26,2010 at 05:35 29 EDT from IEEE Xplore. Restrictions apply. Introducing a commercial FETD solver breaks new ground in EM field simulation. Based on the DGTD method, it allows unstructured geometry-conforming meshes to be used for the first time in transient EM field simulation.

DGTD is a competitive alternative to traditional FDTD based methods to solving Maxwell's equations in the time domain. The applications presented here include the electromagnetic pulse susceptibility of the differential lines in a laptop computer, the radar signature of a landmine under undulating ground, the TDR of a bent flex circuit, and the return loss of a connector. All of these examples involve complicated, curved geometries where the flexibility of the unstructured meshes used in DGTD provides powerful advantages over simulation by conventional brick-shaped FDTD and FIT meshes.

IEEE Microwave Magazine - April 2010

Discontinuous Galerkin method: basic principles

• Problem to be solved

 $\mathbf{x}\in\Omega\subset I\!\!R^d$, $t\in I\!\!R^+$, $u=u(\mathbf{x},t)$, $a_i=a_i(\mathbf{x})$ scalar real functions

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \sum_{i=1}^{d} a_i \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} = 0$$

Weak formulation

$$<\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \ v>_{\Omega} + \sum_{i=1}^{d} < a_i \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}, \ v>_{\Omega} = 0$$
$$< u \ , \ v>_{\Omega} = \int_{\Omega} uvdx \ , \ v \text{ being a test function}$$

Discontinuous Galerkin method: basic principles

- Galerkin method
 - $\tau_h = \{K\}$ triangulation of Ω
 - $\mathbb{P}_m(K)$: polynomials of degree at most m on K
 - Approximation space: $V_h = \{v^h \in L^2(\Omega) \mid \forall K \in \tau_h, v^h|_K \equiv v_K \in \mathbb{P}_m(K)\}$

For each $K \in \tau_h$ find $u^h \in V_h$ such that:

$$<rac{\partial u^h}{\partial t}, \; v>_{K}+\sum_{i=1}^d < a_irac{\partial u^h}{\partial x_i}, \; v>_{K}=0 \;\;, orall v\in P^m(K)$$

Integrating by parts (setting $a|_{\kappa} \in \mathbb{P}_0(\kappa)$):

$$\begin{array}{lll} < \frac{\partial u^{h}}{\partial x_{i}}, \ v >_{\mathcal{K}} & = & - < u^{h}, \ \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}} >_{\mathcal{K}} + < u^{h} n_{i}, \ v >_{\partial \mathcal{K}} \\ < u, \ v >_{\partial \mathcal{K}} & = & \sum_{j=1}^{N_{f}(\mathcal{K})} \partial \kappa_{\cap} \partial \kappa_{j} \end{array}$$

- $\mathbf{n} = \{n_i\}$ outward unit normal of ∂K
- $N_f(K)$ = number of faces of K

- Discontinous approximation: $u^h|_{K \cap K_j}$ not well defined!
 - \Rightarrow Centered (or upwind) numerical fkux (numerical trace)
- Linear algebra

$$- u^h|_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbf{x},t) = \sum_{j=1}^{m_{\mathcal{K}}} u^h_{j,\mathcal{K}}(t)\psi_{j,\mathcal{K}}(\mathbf{x}) \ , \ m_{\mathcal{K}} = \dim(\mathbb{P}_m(\mathcal{K}))$$

-
$$\{\psi_{j,K}\}, j=1,\ldots,m_K$$
: basis of $\mathbb{P}_m(K)$

$$\mathbf{M}_{K} \frac{\partial \mathbf{U}_{K}^{h}}{\partial t} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \mathbf{a}_{i} \left(\mathbf{R}_{i,K} \mathbf{U}_{K}^{h} - n_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{F}(K)} \mathbf{S}_{K,K_{j}} \left(\frac{\mathbf{U}_{K}^{h} + \mathbf{U}_{K_{j}}^{h}}{2} \right) \right)$$

$$\begin{cases}
\mathbf{U}_{K}^{h} = \mathbf{U}_{K}^{h}(t) = \{u_{j,K}^{h}(t)\}, \quad j = 1, \dots, m_{K} \\
\mathbf{M}_{K}[l,m] = \langle \psi_{l,K}, \psi_{m,K} \rangle_{K} \\
\mathbf{R}_{i,K}[l,m] = \langle \frac{\partial \psi_{l,K}}{\partial x_{i}}, \psi_{m,K} \rangle_{K} \\
\mathbf{S}_{K,K_{j}}[l,m] = \langle \psi_{l,K}, \psi_{m,K_{j}} \rangle_{\partial K \cap \partial K_{j}}
\end{cases}$$

Dimension of local systems: $m_K \times m_K$

Objectives and planned contributions

- Development of a high order DGTD method for nanophotonics/nanoplasmonics
- System of 3D Maxwell equations + material models
- Geometry conforming mesh
- High order collocated interpolation of the physical fields
- High performance computing-enabled numerical kernels
- Study of numerical analysis issues (stability, convergence)
- Implementation in a dedicated software
- Validation and evaluation conducted in collaboration with potential users

Starting point

- DGTD method for the system of 3D Maxwell equations [2003-2012]
- Non-dispersive media
- Extensiveley developed for microwave/RF applications

2 Time-domain methods for nanophotonics

3 A non-dissipative DGTD method for Maxwell's equations

OGTD modeling of EM waves interaction with nanostructures

DGTD method for Maxwell's equations Motivations



- Naturally adapted to heterogeneous media and discontinuous solutions
- Can easily deal with unstructured, possibly non-conforming meshes (h-adaptivity)
- High order with compact stencils and non-conforming approximations (p-adaptivity)
- Usually rely on polynomial interpolation but can also accomodate alternative functions (e.g plane waves)
- Yield block diagonal mass matrices when coupled to explicit time integration schemes
- Amenable to efficient parallelization
- But leads to larger problems compared to continuous finite element methods

DG for electromagnetic wave propagation in heterogeneous media

- Heterogeneity is ideally treated at the element level
 - Discontinuities occur at material (i.e element) interfaces
 - Mesh generation process is simplified
- $\bullet~$ Wavelength varies with $\varepsilon~$ and μ
 - For a given mesh density, approximation order can be adapted at the element level in order to fit to the local wavelength

Discretization of irregularly shaped domains

- Unstructured simplicial meshes
- The basic support of the DG method is the element (triangle in 2D and tetrahedron in 3D)
- Local refinement is facilitated by allowing non-conformity
- Non-conformity opens the route to the coupling of different discretization methods (e.g structured/unstructured)

Initial and boundary value problem

Maxwell equations, $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$, t > 0

 $\begin{cases} \varepsilon \partial_t \mathbf{E} - \nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{0} \\ \\ \mu \partial_t \mathbf{H} + \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{0} \end{cases}$ $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, t) \text{ and } \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}, t)$

Boundary conditions: $\partial \Omega = \Gamma_a \cup \Gamma_m$

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{E} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_m \text{ (metallic boundary)} \\ \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{E} - \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\varepsilon}} \mathbf{n} \times (\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{n}) = \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{E}_{\text{inc}} - \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\varepsilon}} \mathbf{n} \times (\mathbf{H}_{\text{inc}} \times \mathbf{n}) \text{ on } \Gamma_a \text{ (absorbing boundary)} \end{cases}$$

where $(\mathbf{E}_{inc}, \mathbf{H}_{inc})$ is a given incident field.

Initial conditions

$$\mathbf{E}_0 = \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, 0)$$
 and $\mathbf{H}_0 = \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}, 0)$

S. Lanteri (INRIA)

- Triangulation of Ω : $\overline{\Omega}_h \equiv \mathcal{T}_h = \bigcup_{\tau_i \in \mathcal{T}_h} \overline{\tau}_i$
 - \mathcal{F}_0 : set of purely internal faces
 - \mathcal{F}_m and \mathcal{F}_a : sets of faces on the boundaries Γ_m and Γ_a
- Approximation space: $V_h = \{ \mathbf{V}_h \in L^2(\Omega)^3 \mid \forall i, \mathbf{V}_{h|\tau_i} \equiv \mathbf{V}_i \in \mathbb{P}_{p_i}(\tau_i)^3 \}$
- Variational formulation: $\forall \vec{\varphi} \in \mathcal{P}_i = \mathsf{Span}(\vec{\varphi}_{ij} \ , \ 1 \leq j \leq d_i)$

$$\begin{cases} \iint_{\tau_{i}} \vec{\varphi} \cdot \varepsilon_{i} \partial_{t} \mathbf{E} d\omega = -\iint_{\partial \tau_{i}} \vec{\varphi} \cdot (\mathbf{H} \times \vec{n}) ds + \iiint_{\tau_{i}} \nabla \times \vec{\varphi} \cdot \mathbf{H} d\omega \\ \iiint_{\tau_{i}} \vec{\varphi} \cdot \mu_{i} \partial_{t} \mathbf{H} d\omega = \iint_{\partial \tau_{i}} \vec{\varphi} \cdot (\mathbf{E} \times \vec{n}) ds - \iiint_{\tau_{i}} \nabla \times \vec{\varphi} \cdot \mathbf{E} d\omega \end{cases}$$

- Approximate fields: $\forall i$, $\mathbf{E}_{h|\tau_i} \equiv \mathbf{E}_i$ and $\mathbf{H}_{h|\tau_i} \equiv \mathbf{H}_i$
- But traces $\mathbf{E}_{h|\partial \tau_i \cap \partial \tau_i}$ and $\mathbf{H}_{h|\partial \tau_i \cap \partial \tau_i}$ are undefined!
- Introduce and appropriate treatment on the face $a_{ij} = \tau_i \cap \partial \tau_j$
- In the context of finite volume methods, this leads to the notion of numerical flux

Centered flux :
$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{n})|_{a_{ij}} = \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathcal{F}(\frac{\mathbf{W}_i + \mathbf{W}_j}{2}) = G_{\mathbf{n}}\left(\frac{\mathbf{W}_i + \mathbf{W}_j}{2}\right)$$

Upwind flux : $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{n})|_{a_{ij}} = G_{\mathbf{n}}^+ \mathbf{W}_i + G_{\mathbf{n}}^- \mathbf{W}_j$

• The choice of the numerical flux impacts the stability and the convergence of the scheme

Discretization in space: centered flux DG formulation

- Integral over $\partial \tau_i$: $\mathbf{E}_{|_{a_{ik}}} = \frac{\mathbf{E}_i + \mathbf{E}_k}{2}$ and $\mathbf{H}_{|_{a_{ik}}} = \frac{\mathbf{H}_i + \mathbf{H}_k}{2}$ (i.e. centered flux)
- Assume $\Gamma_a = \emptyset$ (to simplify the presentation) and on Γ_m : $\mathbf{E}_{k|_{a_{ik}}} = -\mathbf{E}_{i|_{a_{ik}}}$ and $\mathbf{H}_{k|_{a_{ik}}} = \mathbf{H}_{i|_{a_{ik}}}$

$$\begin{cases} \iiint_{\tau_i} \vec{\varphi} \cdot \varepsilon_i \partial_t \mathbf{E}_i d\omega &= \frac{1}{2} \iiint_{\tau_i} (\nabla \times \vec{\varphi} \cdot \mathbf{H}_i + \nabla \times \mathbf{H}_i \cdot \vec{\varphi}) d\omega \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{V}_i} \iint_{a_{ik}} \vec{\varphi} \cdot (\mathbf{H}_k \times \vec{n}_{ik}) ds \\ \iiint_{\tau_i} \vec{\varphi} \cdot \mu_i \partial_t \mathbf{H}_i d\omega &= -\frac{1}{2} \iiint_{\tau_i} (\nabla \times \vec{\varphi} \cdot \mathbf{E}_i + \nabla \times \mathbf{E}_i \cdot \vec{\varphi}) d\omega \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{V}_i} \iint_{a_{ik}} \vec{\varphi} \cdot (\mathbf{E}_k \times \vec{n}_{ik}) ds \end{cases}$$

Discretization in space: centered flux DG formulation

Local projections

$$\mathsf{E}_i(\mathsf{x}) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq d_i} E_{ij} \vec{\varphi}_{ij}(\mathsf{x}) \text{ and } \mathsf{H}_i(\mathsf{x}) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq d_i} H_{ij} \vec{\varphi}_{ij}(\mathsf{x})$$

• Vector representation of local fields

$$\mathbb{E}_i = \{E_{ij}\}_{1 \leq j \leq d_i} \text{ and } \mathbb{H}_i = \{H_{ij}\}_{1 \leq j \leq d_i}$$

• For $1 \leq j, l \leq d_i$:

•
$$(\mathbf{M}_{i}^{\varepsilon})_{jl} = \varepsilon_{i} \iiint_{\tau_{i}}^{\mathsf{T}} \vec{\varphi}_{ij} \vec{\varphi}_{jl} d\omega$$
 and $(\mathbf{M}_{i}^{\mu})_{jl} = \mu_{i} \iiint_{\tau_{i}}^{\mathsf{T}} \vec{\varphi}_{ij} \vec{\varphi}_{jl} d\omega$
• $(\mathbf{K}_{i})_{jl} = \frac{1}{2} \iiint_{\tau_{i}}^{\mathsf{T}} (\mathbf{\nabla}^{\mathsf{T}} \vec{\varphi}_{ij} \nabla \times \vec{\varphi}_{il} + \mathbf{\nabla}^{\mathsf{T}} \vec{\varphi}_{il} \nabla \times \vec{\varphi}_{ij}) d\omega$

• For $1 \leq j \leq d_i$ and $1 \leq l \leq d_k$

•
$$(\mathbf{S}_{ik})_{jl} = rac{1}{2} \iint\limits_{a_{ik}} {}^{\mathrm{T}} ec{arphi}_{ij} (ec{arphi}_{kl} imes ec{n}_{ij}) ds$$

Discretization in space: centered flux DG formulation

Local EDO systems

$$orall au_i : egin{array}{rl} \mathsf{M}_i^arepsilon rac{d\mathbb{E}_i}{dt} &= \mathbf{K}_i \mathbb{H}_i &- \sum\limits_{k\in\mathcal{V}_i} \mathbf{S}_{ik} \mathbb{H}_k \ \mathbf{M}_i^\mu rac{d\mathbb{H}_i}{dt} &= -\mathbf{K}_i \mathbb{E}_i &+ \sum\limits_{k\in\mathcal{V}_i} \mathbf{S}_{ik} \mathbb{E}_k \end{array}$$

Global EDO system (with $d = \sum_i d_i$ and metallic boundary only)

$$\mathsf{M}^arepsilon rac{d\mathbb{E}}{dt} = \mathbf{G}\mathbb{H}$$
 and $\mathsf{M}^\mu rac{d\mathbb{H}}{dt} = -{}^{\mathsf{T}}\!\mathsf{G}\mathbb{E}$

- $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{K} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{B}$
- $\bullet~\mathbf{M}^{\varepsilon}$ are \mathbf{M}^{μ} block diagonal symmetric definite positive matrices
- **K** is a $d \times d$ block diagonal symmetric matrix
- A is a $d \times d$ block sparse symmetric matrix (internal faces)
- **B** is a $d \times d$ block sparse skew symmetric matrix (metallic faces)

Leap-Frog based explicit time integration

 L. Fezoui, S. Lanteri, S. Lohrengel and S. Piperno ESAIM: M2AN, Vol. 39, No. 6, 2005 Second order leap-frog time integration scheme, centered fluxes

Formulation: 2nd order Leap-Frog

$$\mathbf{M}^{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\mathbb{E}^{n+1} - \mathbb{E}^{n}}{\Delta t} \right) = \mathbf{G} \mathbb{H}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$\mathbf{M}^{\mu} \left(\frac{\mathbb{H}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbb{H}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta t} \right) = -^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{G} \mathbb{E}^{n+1}$$

Stability analysis

Discrete electromagnetic energy

$$\mathcal{E}^{n} = {}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbb{E}^{n} \mathbf{M}^{\varepsilon} \mathbb{E}^{n} + {}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbb{H}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{M}^{\mu} \mathbb{H}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}$$

• Condition for \mathcal{E}^n being a positive definite form

$$\Delta t \leq rac{2}{d_2}, \hspace{0.2cm} ext{with} \hspace{0.2cm} d_2 = \parallel (\mathbf{M}^{-\mu})^{rac{1}{2}} \hspace{0.2cm} \ensuremath{^{\mathrm{T}}}\mathbf{G} \hspace{0.2cm} (\mathbf{M}^{-arepsilon})^{rac{1}{2}} \parallel$$

Further contributions

- Higher order leap-frog time schemes H. Fahs and S. Lanteri
 - J. Comput. Appl. Math., Vol. 234,2010
- Locally implicit time schemes
 - V. Dolean, H. Fahs, L. Fezoui and S. Lanteri
 - J. Comput. Phys., Vol. 229, No. 2, 2010
 - L. Moya, S. Descombes and S. Lanteri J. Sci. Comp., Vol. 56, No. 1, 2013
- Non-conforming triangular meshes
 H. Fahs
 Numer. Math. Theor. Meth. Appl., Vol. 2, No. 3, 2009
- Hybrid structured/unstructured meshes C. Durochat, S. Lanteri and C. Scheid Appl. Math. Comput., Vol. 224, 2013
 - C. Durochat, S. Lanteri and R. Léger Int. J. Numer. Model., Electron. Netw. Devices Fields, Vol. 27, No. 3, 2014

2 Time-domain methods for nanophotonics

3 A non-dissipative DGTD method for Maxwell's equations

OGTD modeling of EM waves interaction with nanostructures

DGTD modeling of EM waves interaction with nanostructures

DGTD in nanophotonics

- Extensively developed in the recent years
- Theoretical Optics and Photonics group, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin
 - K. Busch, M. König and J. Niegemann Discontinuous Galerkin methods in nanophotonics Laser and Photonics Reviews, Vol. 5, No. 6, 2011
 - M. König, K. Busch and J. Niegemann The discontinuous Galerkin time-domain method for Maxwell's equations with anisotropic materials Photonics and Nanostructures - Fundamentals and Applications, Vol. 8, 2010
- Theoretical Electrical Engineering Group in Paderborn University
 - Y. Grynko, J. Förstner and T. Meier Application of the discontinous Galerkin time domain method to the optics of metallic nanostructures AAPP— Physical, Mathematical, and Natural Sciences, Vol. 89 (S1), 2011
- TU Dresden, Institut für Angewandte Photophysik
 - A. Hille, R. Kullock, S. Grafström and L. M. Eng Improving nano-optical simulations through curved elements implemented within the discontinuous Galerkin method I. Comput. Theor. Nano. Vol. 7, 2010.
 - J. Comput. Theor. Nanos., Vol. 7, 2010

The Drude dispersion model

- Associated to a particularly simple theory that, for a chosen specific frequency range of interest and a given metallic material, models the optical and thermal properties of the latter
- The metal is considered as a static lattice of positive ions immersed in a free electrons gas
- Those electrons are considered to be the valence electrons of each metallic atom, that got delocalized when put into contact with the potential produced by the rest of the lattice atoms
- Frequency dependent permittivity is given by $\varepsilon_r(\omega) = \varepsilon_{\infty} \frac{\omega_d^2}{\omega^2 + i\omega\gamma_d}$
- ε_{∞} represents the core electrons contribution to the relative permittivity ε_r
- γ_d is a coefficient linked to the electron/ion collisions representing the friction experienced by the electrons
- $\omega_d = \sqrt{\frac{n_e e^2}{m_e \varepsilon_0}}$ (m_e is the electron mass, e the electronic charge and n_e the electronic density) is the plasma frequency of the electrons

The time-domain Maxwell-Drude equations

$$\partial_t \mathbf{B} + \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{0}$$

 $\partial_t \mathbf{D} - \nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{0}$

with the constitutive equations:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{D} = \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_\infty \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{P} \\ \\ \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \mathbf{H} \end{cases}$$

- Electric and magnetic fields: $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ and $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}, t)$
- Electric displacement and magnetic induction: $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ and $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}, t)$
- Electric polarization: $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x}, t)$

The time-domain Maxwell-Drude equations

$$\mu_0 \partial_t \mathbf{H} + \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_\infty \partial_t \mathbf{E} + \partial_t \mathbf{P} - \nabla \times \mathbf{H} = 0$$

- In the frequency domain, the polarization **P** is linked to the electric field through the relation $\hat{\mathbf{P}} = -\frac{\varepsilon_0 \omega_d^2}{\omega^2 + i \gamma_d \omega} \hat{\mathbf{E}}$
- Time domain ODE for the polariaztion

$$\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{P}}{\partial t^2} + \gamma_d \frac{\partial \mathbf{P}}{\partial t} = \varepsilon_0 \omega_d^2 \mathbf{E}$$

• Dipolar current vector: $\mathbf{J}_p = \frac{\partial \mathbf{P}}{\partial t}$

DGTD modeling of EM waves interaction with nanostructures

Taking into account local dispersion effects

The time-domain Maxwell-Drude equations

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t \mathbf{H} = -\nabla \times \mathbf{E} \\ \\ \varepsilon_\infty \partial_t \mathbf{E} = \nabla \times \mathbf{H} - \mathbf{J}_p \\ \\ \partial_t \mathbf{J}_p + \gamma_d \mathbf{J}_p = \omega_d^2 \mathbf{E} \end{array} \right.$

Boundary condition: $\partial \Omega = \Gamma_a$

$$\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{E} - \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\varepsilon}} \mathbf{n} \times (\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{n}) = \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{E}_{\mathsf{inc}} - \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\varepsilon}} \mathbf{n} \times (\mathbf{H}_{\mathsf{inc}} \times \mathbf{n}) \text{ on } \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}} \text{ (absorbing boundary)}$$

where $(\mathbf{E}_{inc}, \mathbf{H}_{inc})$ is a given incident field.

Initial conditions

$$\mathbf{E}_0 = \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x},0)$$
 , $\mathbf{H}_0 = \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x},0)$ and $\mathbf{J}_{p,0} = \mathbf{J}_p(\mathbf{x},0)$

ADE-DGTD method based on centered numerical fluxes

$$\begin{cases} \mathsf{M}_{i} \left(\frac{\mathbb{H}_{i}^{n+\frac{3}{2}} - \mathbb{H}_{i}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta t} \right) &= -\mathsf{K}_{i} \mathbb{E}_{i}^{n+1} + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{V}_{i}} \mathsf{S}_{ik} \mathbb{E}_{k}^{n+1} \\ \mathsf{M}_{i}^{\varepsilon \infty} \left(\frac{\mathbb{E}_{i}^{n+1} - \mathbb{E}_{i}^{n}}{\Delta t} \right) &= \mathsf{K}_{i} \mathbb{H}_{i}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \sum_{k \in \mathcal{V}_{i}} \mathsf{S}_{ik} \mathbb{H}_{k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \mathsf{M}_{i} \mathbb{J}_{i}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \\ \frac{\mathbb{J}_{i}^{n+\frac{3}{2}} - \mathbb{J}_{i}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta t} &= \omega_{d}^{2} \mathbb{E}_{i}^{n+1} - \frac{\gamma_{d}}{2} \left(\mathbb{J}_{i}^{n+\frac{3}{2}} + \mathbb{J}_{i}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right) \end{cases}$$

DGTD modeling of EM waves interaction with nanostructures

Taking into account local dispersion effects

ADE-DGTD method based on centered numerical fluxes: stability analysis

J. Viquerat, M. Klemm, S. Lanteri and C. Scheid http://hal.inria.fr/hal-00819758

• Discrete electromagnetic energy for the cell T_i

$$\xi_i^n = \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{\mathcal{T}_i} \mathbf{H}_i^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \mathbf{H}_i^{n-\frac{1}{2}} + \varepsilon_{\infty} \int_{\mathcal{T}_i} \mathbf{E}_i^n \cdot \mathbf{E}_i^n + \frac{1}{\omega_d^2} \int_{\mathcal{T}_i} \mathbf{J}_i^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \mathbf{J}_i^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right)$$

• Total energy at a given time $t_n = n\Delta t$: $\xi^n = \sum_{i=0}^{r} \xi^n_i$

• The fully discrete ADE-DGTD scheme is stable under the CFL condition,

$$\Delta t < \min\left(\frac{h}{C}, \frac{2}{\omega_d + \gamma_d}, \frac{4\varepsilon_{\infty}}{\frac{C}{h} - \omega_d}\right)$$

• Under CFL condition, the discrete energy ξ^n can be bounded as,

$$\xi^n \leq rac{\xi^0}{\left(rac{1- heta}{1+ heta}
ight)^n}, \, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^* \; \; ext{with} \; heta \geq 0$$

ADE-DGTD method based on centered numerical fluxes: a priori convergence

J. Viquerat, M. Klemm, S. Lanteri and C. Scheid http://hal.inria.fr/hal-00819758 Let $(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{J}_{p}) \in C^{3}([0, T], L^{2}(b\Omega)^{9}) \cap C^{0}([0, T], H^{s+1}(\Omega)^{9})$

Under the CFL condition the following error estimate holds,

$$\max_{n \in [0, N]} \left(\left\| \mathbf{H} \left(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right) - \mathbf{H}_{h}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{3}}^{2} + \left\| \mathbf{E} \left(t_{n} \right) - \mathbf{E}_{h}^{n} \right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{3}}^{2} \\ + \left\| \mathbf{J}_{\rho} \left(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right) - \mathbf{J}_{h}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)^{3}}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \leq C \left(\Delta t^{2} + h^{\min(s,k)} \right) \left(\left\| (\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{J}_{\rho}) \right\|_{C^{3}\left([0, T], L^{2}(\Omega)^{9}\right)} + \left\| (\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{J}_{\rho}) \right\|_{C^{0}\left([0, T], H^{s+1}(\Omega)^{9}\right)} \right)$$

< 🗆 I

Nearfield enhancement of a gold nanosphere (R=20 nm)

• Incident field: pulse modulated plane wave propagating along Oz

$$\mathbf{E}_{inc}(t) = \sin\left(2\pi f_c(t-4\tau)\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\frac{t-4\tau}{\tau}\right)^2} \mathbf{e}_x$$

| ε_{∞} | ω_0 | γ_d | f _c | au |
|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|--------------------|
| | GHz | GHz | GHz | sec |
| 1 | $1.19	imes10^7$ | $1.41	imes10^5$ | $4.5	imes10^5$ | $2 	imes 10^{-15}$ |

Nearfield enhancement of a gold nanosphere (R=20 nm)

| Mesh | # tetrahedra | $L^{1}_{\mathbb{P}_{1}}$ | $L^1_{\mathbb{P}_2}$ |
|------|--------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| M1 | 153,517 | $1.1081 	imes 10^{-8}$ | $8.3051 	imes 10^{-9}$ |
| M2 | 881,154 | $7.6520 	imes 10^{-9}$ | $6.6986	imes10^{-9}$ |
| M3 | 2,338,433 | $6.6025	imes10^{-9}$ | Not available |





 $\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{DGTD}\text{-}\mathbb{P}_2 \text{ solution } (\mathsf{M2 mesh}) & \mathsf{Mie solution} \\ & \mathsf{Comparison of DGTD} \text{ and Mie solutions} \end{array}$





Mie and DG 1D plot of the electric field modulus across the dispersive gold nanosphere for various meshes and approximation ordrers

DGTD modeling of EM waves interaction with nanostructures

Numerical results in 3D

L-shaped nanospheres waveguide

- The L-shaped guide consists of 7 50 nm diameter Au spheres in vacuum
- 75 nm center-to-center spacing
- 550 nm×750 nm×400 nm parallelepipedic domain
- Silver-Müller absorbing boundary condition
- DGTD- \mathbb{P}_p and DGTD- $\mathbb{P}_p\mathbb{Q}_k$ methods



Partial views of the tetrahedral and hybrid hexahedral-tetrahedral meshes

K.-Y. Jung and F. L. Teixeira and R. M. Reano Au/SiO₂ nanoring plasmon waveguides at optical communication band J. Lightwave Technol., Vol. 25, No. 9, 2007

F. L. Teixeira

Time-domain finite-difference and finite-element methods for Maxwell equations in complex media

IEEE Trans. Antennas and Propag., Vol. 56, No. 8, 2008



Controur lines of the E_x component of the electric field DGTD- $\mathbb{P}_2\mathbb{Q}_2$ solution at time t = 6.02 fs

DGTD modeling of EM waves interaction with nanostructures $_{\mbox{Numerical results in 3D}}$



Controur lines of the E_{\times} component of the electric field DGTD- $\mathbb{P}_2\mathbb{Q}_2$ (left) and DGTD- \mathbb{P}_2 (right) solutions at final time $t_f = 34.13$ fs

L-shaped nanospheres waveguide

• Dipolar source 75 nm away from the center of the first sphere in the guide

$$J_{x}((x,y,z,t) = \delta(x-x_{s},y-y_{s},z-z_{s})f(t) \text{ with } f(t) = \left(1-e^{-(t/\alpha)^{2}}\right)\sin\left(2\pi f_{c}t\right)$$

- Central frequency is $f_c=622.65~{\rm THz}$ $\gamma=2.5\times10^{16}$ and $\alpha=2.5833~{\rm fs}$
- DGTD- \mathbb{P}_p and DGTD- $\mathbb{P}_p\mathbb{Q}_k$ methods

| | | 16 cores | | 64 cores | 128 cores |
|--|---------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | 11420 s (1.0) | | 2800 s (4.1) | 1455 s (7.8) | 762 s (15.0) |
| $DGTD\operatorname{-}\mathbb{P}_2\mathbb{Q}_2$ | 5680 s (1.0) | 2804 s (2.0) | 1439 s (3.9) | | 494 s (11.5) |
| Performanc | | time to reach 1 | | | |

L-shaped nanospheres waveguide

• Dipolar source 75 nm away from the center of the first sphere in the guide

$$J_X((x,y,z,t) = \delta(x-x_s,y-y_s,z-z_s)f(t)$$
 with $f(t) = \left(1-e^{-(t/\alpha)^2}\right)\sin\left(2\pi f_c t\right)$

- Central frequency is $f_c=622.65~{\rm THz}$ $\gamma=2.5\times10^{16}$ and $\alpha=2.5833~{\rm fs}$
- DGTD- \mathbb{P}_p and DGTD- $\mathbb{P}_p\mathbb{Q}_k$ methods

| | - | # vertices | ∉ tetra | # hexa | # d.o.f | |
|---|--|--|--------------------------|------------------------|---|---|
| | $DGTD-\mathbb{P}_2$ | 222,175 1, | 306,356 | 0 | 13,063,560 | |
| | $DGTD\operatorname{-}\mathbb{P}_2\mathbb{Q}_2$ | 211,214 7 | 06,012 | 81,280 | 9,264,660 | |
| Chara | acteristics of the | tetrahedral and | hybrid he | exahedral- | tetrahedral m | eshes |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| - | 8 cores | 16 cores | 32 c | ores | 64 cores | 128 cores |
| DGTD-ℙ₂ | 8 cores 11420 s (1.0) | 16 cores 5710 s (2.0) | 32 c 2800 s | ores (4.1) | 64 cores 1455 s (7.8) | 128 cores 762 s (15.0) |
| DGTD-P ₂ DGTD-P ₂ Q ₂ | 8 cores 11420 s (1.0) 5680 s (1.0) | 16 cores 5710 s (2.0) 2804 s (2.0) | 32 c 2800 s 1439 s | ores (4.1) (3.9) | 64 cores 1455 s (7.8) 848 s (6.7) | 128 cores 762 s (15.0) 494 s (11.5) |

Development of a dedicated software suite for nanophotonics (V1.0)

DIOGENeS DIscOntinuous GalErkin Nano Solver

http://www-sop.inria.fr/nachos/index.php/Main/Software

- 3D time-domain Maxwell equations
- Drude, Drude-Lorentz and generalized dispersion models
- High order polynomial interpolation
- Unstructured and hybrid cubic/tetrahedral meshes
- Affine and curvilinear elements
- DG schemes with centered or upwind numerical fluxes
- Leap-frog (2nd and 4th order) and optimized Runge-Kutta time schemes
- Hybrid MIMD/SMIMD parallelization based on MPI/OpenMP

Ongoing efforts

- Non-local dispersion effects (hydrodynamic model)
- Non-linear Maxwell equations (Kerr type media)

Closure

Possible research directions within HOSCAR

- There are plenty of problems in nanophotonics that are heterogeneous and multiscale
- Optimization (structural and topological) has to be considered as one of the the next steps
- Exploit MHM methods in this context



From: Two-dimensional photonic crystal micro-cavities for chip-scale laser applications Recent optical and photonic technologies, InTech publishing, 2010

Thank you for your attention!

Closure

Possible research directions within HOSCAR

- There are plenty of problems in nanophotonics that are heterogeneous and multiscale
- Optimization (structural and topological) has to be considered as one of the the next steps
- Exploit MHM methods in this context



From: Two-dimensional photonic crystal micro-cavities for chip-scale laser applications Recent optical and photonic technologies, InTech publishing, 2010

Thank you for your attention!