

Multiscale Hybrid Method (MHM) Remarks and Applications

Alexandre L. Madureira
www.lncc.br/~alm

Laboratório Nacional de Computação Científica – LNCC
Petrópolis - RJ

Third Workshop of the CNPq-Inria HOSCAR Project
Inria Bordeaux — September 3, 2013

Conteúdo

MHM: an example

MHM: General Formulation

An application: dual hybrid method for Poisson

Conclusions

Contents

MHM: an example

MHM: General Formulation

An application: dual hybrid method for Poisson

Conclusions

Linearized Elasticity

Assume

- ▶ Domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and load $\mathbf{f} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ are “nice”
- ▶ Rigidity tensor $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{x}) : \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{2 \times 2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{2 \times 2}$ not so “nice” wrt $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$

The problem:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma &= \mathcal{A} \nabla^s \mathbf{u}, & -\mathbf{div} \sigma &= \mathbf{f} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \mathbf{u} &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega,\end{aligned}$$

where

- ▶ $\mathbf{u} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is the displacement
- ▶ $\nabla^s \mathbf{u} = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla^T \mathbf{u})$
- ▶ $\sigma : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{2 \times 2}$ is the stress

“Classical” Hybrid formulation

Definition

- ▶ \mathcal{P} : partition of Ω into elements K
- ▶ $\partial\mathcal{P} = \cup_{K \in \mathcal{P}} \partial K$
- ▶ $\mathbf{H}^1(\mathcal{P}) = \prod_{K \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbf{H}^1(K)$
- ▶ $\mathbf{\Lambda} = \mathbf{H}^{-1/2}(\partial\mathcal{P})$

Problem:

Find $(\mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \in \mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{\Lambda}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{A} \nabla^s \mathbf{u}, \nabla^s \mathbf{v})_{\mathcal{P}} + (\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \llbracket \mathbf{v} \rrbracket)_{\partial\mathcal{P}} &= (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v})_{\mathcal{P}} && \text{for all } \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{H}^1(\mathcal{P}), \\ (\boldsymbol{\mu}, \llbracket \mathbf{u} \rrbracket)_{\partial\mathcal{P}} &= 0 && \text{for all } \boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathbf{\Lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

Also, $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ is the traction on $\partial\mathcal{P}$.

MHM Recipe

- ▶ Decompose $\mathbf{H}^1(\mathcal{P}) = \mathbf{V}_{\text{rm}} \oplus \mathbf{V}_{\text{rm}}^\perp$
- ▶ $\mathbf{V}_{\text{rm}} = \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{H}^1(\mathcal{P}) : \nabla^s(\mathbf{v}) = 0\}$: piecewise rigid motions
- ▶ Then $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_{\text{rm}} + \mathbf{u}_{\text{rm}}^\perp$ and for all $K \in \mathcal{P}$,

$$-\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A} \nabla^s \mathbf{u}_{\text{rm}}^\perp = \mathbf{f} \quad \text{in } K \quad \mathcal{A} \nabla^s \mathbf{u}_{\text{rm}}^\perp \mathbf{n} = \lambda \quad \text{on } \partial K$$

- ▶ Write $\mathbf{u}_{\text{rm}}^\perp = T\lambda + \hat{T}\mathbf{f}$. Thus $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $\mathbf{u}_{\text{rm}} \in \mathbf{V}_{\text{rm}}$ solve

$$\begin{aligned} (\boldsymbol{\mu}, \llbracket T\lambda \rrbracket)_{\partial\mathcal{P}} + (\boldsymbol{\mu}, \llbracket \mathbf{u}_{\text{rm}} \rrbracket)_{\partial\mathcal{P}} &= -(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \llbracket \hat{T}\mathbf{f} \rrbracket)_{\partial\mathcal{P}} && \text{for all } \boldsymbol{\mu} \in \Lambda \\ (\lambda, \llbracket \mathbf{v}_{\text{rm}} \rrbracket)_{\partial\mathcal{P}} &= (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v})_{\mathcal{P}} && \text{for all } \mathbf{v}_{\text{rm}} \in \mathbf{V}_{\text{rm}} \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Discretize Λ to obtain the MHM

Contents

MHM: an example

MHM: General Formulation

Continuous setting

Discrete setting

An application: dual hybrid method for Poisson

Conclusions

General Mixed Problems

Definition

- ▶ X, M Hilbert spaces
- ▶ $a \in \mathcal{L}(X \times X, \mathbb{R}), b \in \mathcal{L}(X \times M, \mathbb{R}), l \in X$ and $\sigma \in M$

The problem: $(u, \eta) \in X \times M$ solve

$$\begin{aligned} a(u, v) + b(v, \eta) &= l(v) && \text{for all } v \in X \\ b(u, \mu) &= \sigma(\mu) && \text{for all } \mu \in M \end{aligned}$$

We could also consider the same problem in the form

$$\begin{aligned} Au + B^T \eta &= l && \text{in } X \\ Bu &= \sigma && \text{in } M \end{aligned}$$

where $A \in \mathcal{L}(X, X), B \in \mathcal{L}(X, M)$ are associated with a, b

General Mixed Problems

Hypotheses

(a) $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is symmetric (for simplicity)

(b) Usual well-posedness assumptions:

$$a(v, v) \gtrsim \|v\|_X^2 \text{ for } v \in \text{Kern}(B), \quad \inf_{\mu \in M} \sup_{v \in X} \frac{b(v, \mu)}{\|v\|_X \|\mu\|_M} \gtrsim 1$$

(c) Korn-like inequality:

$$a(v, v) \gtrsim \|v\|_X^2 \text{ for all } v \in \text{Kern}(A)$$

MHM formalism

- ▶ $X_{\text{rm}} = \text{Kern } A$: “rigid motions”
- ▶ $X = X_{\text{rm}} \oplus \tilde{X}$ where $\tilde{X} = X_{\text{rm}}^\perp$ wrt X -inner-product
- ▶ Then $u = u_{\text{rm}} + \tilde{u}$ and

$$A\tilde{u} = -B^T\eta + l$$

- ▶ Write $\tilde{u} = T\eta + \hat{T}l$
- ▶ Thus $\eta \in M$, $u_{\text{rm}} \in X_{\text{rm}}$ solve

$$\begin{aligned} b(T\eta, \mu) - b(u_{\text{rm}}, \mu) &= -\sigma(\mu) + b(\hat{T}l, \mu) && \text{for all } \mu \in M, \\ b(v_{\text{rm}}, \eta) &= l(v_{\text{rm}}) && \text{for all } v_{\text{rm}} \in X_{\text{rm}}. \end{aligned}$$

MHM formalism

In terms of operators,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{A}\tilde{u} + \tilde{B}^T\eta &= \tilde{l}, \\ B_{\text{rm}}^T\eta &= l_{\text{rm}}, \\ \tilde{B}\tilde{u} + B_{\text{rm}}u_{\text{rm}} &= \sigma.\end{aligned}$$

With such notation, $T = \tilde{A}^{-1}\tilde{B}^T$ and $\hat{T} = \tilde{A}^{-1}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{B}T\eta - B_{\text{rm}}u_{\text{rm}} &= -\sigma + \tilde{B}\hat{T}\tilde{l}, \\ B_{\text{rm}}^T\eta &= l_{\text{rm}}.\end{aligned}$$

Mathematical properties

- ▶ Well-posedness follow easily using the original problem
- ▶ It can also be obtained from “first principles”, but not easily

Discretization: split spaces first, discretize latter

Assumptions

- ▶ $M_h \subset M$ and $\tilde{X}_h \subset \tilde{X}$
- ▶ X_{rm} is available
- ▶ T_h and \hat{T}_h “approximates” T and \hat{T}

Discrete Problem

$u_{\text{rm}}^h \in X_{\text{rm}}$ and $\eta^h \in M_h$ solve

$$\begin{aligned} -b(T_h \eta^h, \mu^h) + b(u_{\text{rm}}^h, \mu^h) &= \sigma(\mu^h) - b(\hat{T}_h l, \mu^h) && \text{for all } \mu^h \in M_h, \\ b(v_{\text{rm}}, \eta^h) &= l(v_{\text{rm}}) && \text{for all } v_{\text{rm}} \in X_{\text{rm}}. \end{aligned}$$

Error estimate as usual (under conditions for \tilde{X}_h and M_h):

$$\|\eta - \eta^h\|_M + \|u - u^h\|_X \lesssim \inf_{\tilde{v}^h \in \tilde{X}_h} \|\tilde{u} - \tilde{v}^h\|_X + \inf_{\mu^h \in M_h} \|\eta - \mu^h\|_M$$

Discretization: discretize first, split spaces latter

Assumptions

- ▶ $X_h \subset X$ and $M_h \subset M$
- ▶ $(u^h, \eta^h) \in X_h \times M_h$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} a(u^h, v^h) + b(v^h, \eta) &= l(v^h) \quad \text{for all } v^h \in X_h \\ b(u^h, \mu^h) &= \sigma(\mu^h) \quad \text{for all } \mu \in M_h. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ $X_{\text{rm}} \subset X_h$, and let $X_h = \tilde{X}_h \oplus X_{\text{rm}}$ with $\tilde{X}_h \subset \tilde{X}$

If $u^h = \tilde{u}^h + u_{\text{rm}}^h$, then

$$\begin{aligned} -b(T_h \eta^h, \mu^h) + b(u_{\text{rm}}^h, \mu^h) &= \sigma(\mu^h) - b(\hat{T}_h l, \mu^h) \quad \text{for all } \mu^h \in M_h, \\ b(v_{\text{rm}}, \eta^h) &= l(v_{\text{rm}}) \quad \text{for all } v_{\text{rm}} \in X_{\text{rm}}. \end{aligned}$$

Conclusion: discretization and MHM formalism commute

Contents

MHM: an example

MHM: General Formulation

An application: dual hybrid method for Poisson
Continuous formulation

Conclusions

Dual hybrid formulation for Poisson equation

Poisson problem

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \mathcal{C} \nabla u, \quad -\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\sigma} = f \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \mathbf{u} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

Hybrid formulation

- ▶ Fix $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^f \in \mathbf{W}^f = \{\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega) : -\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\tau} = f \text{ in } K \in \mathcal{P}\}$
- ▶ $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \in \mathbf{W}^0$ and $u \in M = \prod_{K \in \mathcal{P}} H^{1/2}(\partial K)$ solve

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{C}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} \, d\mathbf{x} - \sum_{K \in \mathcal{P}} \int_{\partial K} u \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{n} \, d\mathbf{x} &= - \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{C}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^f \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} \, d\mathbf{x} \\ - \sum_{K \in \mathcal{P}} \int_{\partial K} v \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{n} \, d\mathbf{x} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathbf{W}^0$ and $v \in M$.

MHM version

Definition of T and \hat{T}

For $v \in M$ and $K \in \mathcal{P}$:

$$\int_K C^{-1}(Tv) \cdot \tau \, d\mathbf{x} = - \int_{\partial K} v\tau \cdot \mathbf{n} \, d\mathbf{x}, \quad \hat{T}l = -\sigma^f$$

MHM method

$u \in M$ solves

$$- \sum_{K \in \mathcal{P}} \int_{\partial K} (Tu) \cdot \mathbf{n}v \, d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\Omega} fv \, d\mathbf{x} + \sum_{K \in \mathcal{P}} \int_{\partial K} \sigma^f \cdot \mathbf{n}v \, d\mathbf{x}$$

for all $v \in M$.

What happens under discretization?

Solving discrete MHM is equivalent to find $u^h \in V_{Ms}(\Omega)$

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{C} \nabla u^h \nabla v^h d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\Omega} \sigma^f \nabla v^h d\mathbf{x} \quad \text{for all } v^h \in V_{Ms}(\Omega),$$

where

$$V_{Ms}(\Omega) = \{v \in H_0^1(\Omega) : \operatorname{div} \mathcal{C} \nabla v = 0 \text{ in } K, v \text{ is linear over } \partial K\}.$$

Nice:

- ▶ This is the Residual Free Bubbles formulation of [Brezzi, Franca and Russo, 96, 98], and [Sangalli, 03]
- ▶ Also related to the Multiscale Finite Element Method of Tom Hou and collaborators

Contents

MHM: an example

MHM: General Formulation

An application: dual hybrid method for Poisson

Conclusions

Conclusions

- ▶ MHM yields a powerful way to solve hybrid formulations through parallel computation
- ▶ It's possible to frame MHM in a more general formulation, maybe allowing alternative analyses and implementations
- ▶ In particular, maybe two level estimates become (easily) within reach
- ▶ Also, it connects the RFB and Hybrid methods

Merci!