A convergent finite volume scheme for two-phase flows in porous media with discontinuous capillary pressure field

### K. Brenner<sup> $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3$ </sup>, C. Cancès<sup>4</sup> and D. Hilhorst<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Université Paris-Sud XI <sup>2</sup>ENSMP Sophia Antipolis <sup>3</sup>Université Nice <sup>4</sup>LJLL, Université Paris VI

This work was supported by the GNR MoMaS, France.

$$\phi \partial_t s + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q}_\alpha = 0$$

Darcy law

$$\mathbf{q}_{\alpha} = -\eta_{\alpha,i}(s_{\alpha})(\nabla p_{\alpha} - \rho_{\alpha}\mathbf{g})$$

Immiscibility

$$s := s_o = 1 - s_w.$$

Capillary pressure law

$$p_o - p_w = \pi_i(s)$$



 $\eta_i(s), \pi_i(s)$  depends on rocktype.

▲ □ ► ▲ □ ►

프 > 프

$$\phi \partial_t s + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q}_\alpha = 0$$

Darcy law

$$\mathbf{q}_{\alpha} = -\eta_{\alpha,i}(s_{\alpha})(\nabla p_{\alpha} - \rho_{\alpha}\mathbf{g})$$

Immiscibility

$$s := s_o = 1 - s_w.$$

Capillary pressure law

$$p_o - p_w = \pi_i(s)$$



The solution may be discontinuous  $\Rightarrow$  need for some coupling conditions.

$$\phi \partial_t s + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q}_\alpha = 0$$

Darcy law

$$\mathbf{q}_{\alpha} = -\eta_{\alpha,i}(s_{\alpha})(\nabla p_{\alpha} - \rho_{\alpha}\mathbf{g})$$

Immiscibility

$$s := s_o = 1 - s_w.$$

Capillary pressure law

$$p_o - p_w = \pi_i(s)$$



The solution may be discontinuous  $\Rightarrow$  need for some coupling conditions.

A ₽

$$\phi \partial_t s + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q}_\alpha = 0$$

Darcy law

$$\mathbf{q}_{\alpha} = -\eta_{\alpha,i}(s_{\alpha})(\nabla p_{\alpha} - \rho_{\alpha}\mathbf{g})$$

Immiscibility

$$s := s_o = 1 - s_w.$$

Capillary pressure law

$$p_o - p_w = \pi_i(s)$$



The solution may be discontinuous  $\Rightarrow$  need for some coupling conditions.

< 67 ▶

$$\phi \partial_t s + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q}_\alpha = 0$$

Darcy law

$$\mathbf{q}_{\alpha} = -\eta_{\alpha,i}(s_{\alpha})(\nabla p_{\alpha} - \rho_{\alpha}\mathbf{g})$$

Immiscibility

$$s := s_o = 1 - s_w$$

Capillary pressure law

$$p_o - p_w = \pi_i(s)$$



The natural topology for  $p_{\alpha}$  is given by

$$\sum_{i=\{1,2\}}\int_0^T\int_{\Omega_i}\eta_i(s)|\nabla p_\alpha|^2,$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

if  $s_{\alpha} = 0$ , no control on  $p_{\alpha}$ .

æ









æ









æ

イロン 不同と 不同と 不同と



By continuity (\*) is also true for  $s_1 = s_2 = 0$  and  $s_1 = s_2 = 1$ .

### **General settings**

What if  $\pi_1(0) \neq \pi_2(0)$  and  $\pi_1(1) \neq \pi_2(1)$ 



・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト

- < ∃ →

æ

Conceder a sequence of regularized problems  $\mathcal{P}^{\varepsilon}$  associated with  $\pi_i^{\varepsilon} \in C^1([0,1])$ 



### **General settings**

Conceder a sequence of regularized problems  $\mathcal{P}^{\varepsilon}$  associated with  $\pi_i^{\varepsilon} \in C^1([0,1])$ 



### **Transmission condition**

The limit solution [Cancès et al.] satisfies :

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{For each phase } \alpha \in \{o, w\} : \\ & \ln \Omega_i : \quad \phi \partial_t s_\alpha + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q}_\alpha = 0, \\ & \mathbf{q}_\alpha = -\eta_{\alpha,i}(s_\alpha) (\nabla p_\alpha - \rho_\alpha \mathbf{g}), \end{array} \begin{array}{ll} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} [-\infty, \pi_i(0)] & \text{ if } s = 0, \\ \pi_i(s) & \text{ if } s \in (0, 1), \\ & [\pi_i(1), +\infty] & \text{ if } s = 1. \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right.$ 



At the interface we have

 $\tilde{\pi}_1(s_1) \cap \tilde{\pi}_2(s_2) \neq \emptyset.$ 



### **Transmission condition**

The limit solution [Cancès et al.] satisfies :

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{For each phase } \alpha \in \{o, w\} : \\ & \ln \Omega_i : \quad \phi \partial_t s_\alpha + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q}_\alpha = 0, \\ & \mathbf{q}_\alpha = -\eta_{\alpha,i}(s_\alpha) (\nabla p_\alpha - \rho_\alpha \mathbf{g}), \end{array} \begin{array}{ll} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} [-\infty, \pi_i(0)] & \text{ if } s = 0, \\ \pi_i(s) & \text{ if } s \in (0, 1), \\ & [\pi_i(1), +\infty] & \text{ if } s = 1. \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right.$ 



At the interface we have

 $\tilde{\pi}_1(s_1) \cap \tilde{\pi}_2(s_2) \neq \emptyset.$ 



### **Transmission condition**

The limit solution [Cancès et al.] satisfies :

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{For each phase } \alpha \in \{o, w\} : \\ & \ln \Omega_i : \quad \phi \partial_t s_\alpha + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q}_\alpha = 0, \\ & \mathbf{q}_\alpha = -\eta_{\alpha,i}(s_\alpha) (\nabla p_\alpha - \rho_\alpha \mathbf{g}), \end{array} \begin{array}{ll} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} [-\infty, \pi_i(0)] & \text{ if } s = 0, \\ \pi_i(s) & \text{ if } s \in (0, 1), \\ & [\pi_i(1), +\infty] & \text{ if } s = 1. \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right.$ 

On  $\Gamma$ :  $\mathbf{q}_{\alpha,1} = \mathbf{q}_{\alpha,2}$ ,  $p_{\alpha,1} = p_{\alpha,2}$ . Closure laws:  $s_o^{\varepsilon} = 1 - s_w^{\varepsilon}$ ,  $p_o - p_w \in \tilde{\pi}_i(s_o)$ .

At the interface we have

 $\tilde{\pi}_1(s_1) \cap \tilde{\pi}_2(s_2) \neq \emptyset.$ 



<回と < 回と < 回と







æ

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

## **Global pressure formulation**

In order to avoid the problems with degeneracy we introduce the global pressure [Chavent *et al.* '86]

$$P = p_o - \int_0^{\pi_i(s)} \frac{\eta_{w,i}}{\eta_{o,i} + \eta_{w,i}} \left(\pi_i^{-1}(u)\right) du$$
  
=  $p_w + \int_0^{\pi_i(s)} \frac{\eta_{o,i}}{\eta_{o,i} + \eta_{w,i}} \left(\pi_i^{-1}(u)\right) du = p_w + \lambda_{w,i}(\pi_i(s))$ 

and the Kirchhoff transform

$$\varphi_i(s) = \int_0^{\pi_i(s)} \frac{\eta_{o,i} \eta_{w,i}}{\eta_{o,i} + \eta_{w,i}} \left(\pi_i^{-1}(u)\right) du.$$

The governing equations become

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{q} = 0, \text{ with } \mathbf{q} = -M_i(s)\nabla P + \zeta_i(s)\mathbf{g},$$
  
$$\phi_i \partial_t s + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{q}f_i(s) + \gamma_i(s)\mathbf{g} - \nabla \varphi_i(s)) = 0.$$

At the interface we prescribe :

The mass conservation

$$\sum_{\substack{i \in \{1,2\}\\ i \in \{1,2\}}} \mathbf{q}_i \cdot \mathbf{n}_i = 0,$$
$$\sum_{i \in \{1,2\}} (f_i(s)\mathbf{q}_i + \gamma_i(s)\mathbf{g} - \nabla\varphi_i(s)) \cdot \mathbf{n}_i = 0.$$

The continuity of phase pressures

$$\exists \pi \in \tilde{\pi}_1(s_1) \cap \tilde{\pi}_2(s_2) \text{ s.t. } P_1 - \lambda_{w,1}(\pi) = P_2 - \lambda_{w,2}(\pi),$$







æ

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

## Mesh and discrete unknowns

• We consider the admissible mesh s.t.

 $\sigma = K | L \bot [x_K, x_L]$ 

• Mesh resolve the interface  $\Gamma$ :

 $\forall K \subset \Omega_i$ 

Two unknowns per cell:

 $(s_K^n, P_K^n)$ 

• Five unknowns per interface  $\sigma \subset \Gamma$ :

 $(s_{K,\sigma}^n, s_{L,\sigma}^n, P_{K,\sigma}^n, P_{L,\sigma}^n, \pi_{\sigma}^n).$ 



Image: A math a math

臣

## Mesh and discrete unknowns

• We consider the admissible mesh s.t.

 $\sigma = K | L \bot [x_K, x_L]$ 

• Mesh resolve the interface  $\Gamma$ :

 $\forall K \subset \Omega_i$ 

• Two unknowns per cell:

 $(s_K^n, P_K^n)$ 

• Five unknowns per interface  $\sigma \subset \Gamma$ :

 $(s_{K,\sigma}^n, s_{L,\sigma}^n, P_{K,\sigma}^n, P_{L,\sigma}^n, \pi_{\sigma}^n).$ 



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

æ

#### We first discretize

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{q} = 0, \quad \mathbf{q} = -M_i(s)\nabla P + \zeta_i(s)\mathbf{g},$$

#### by

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K} m(\sigma) Q_{K,\sigma}^{n+1} = 0, \qquad \forall n \in \{0, \dots, N\}, \forall K \in \mathcal{T},$$

#### where

$$Q_{K,\sigma}^{n} = \begin{cases} \frac{M_{K,L}(s_{K}^{n}, s_{L}^{n})}{d_{K,L}} \left(P_{K}^{n} - P_{L}^{n}\right) + \mathcal{R}\left(Z_{K,\sigma}; s_{K}^{n}, s_{L}^{n}\right) & \text{if } \sigma = K | L \in \mathcal{E}_{K,i}, \\ \frac{M_{K}(s_{K}^{n})}{d_{K,\sigma}} \left(P_{K}^{n} - P_{K,\sigma}^{n}\right) + \mathcal{R}\left(Z_{K,\sigma}; s_{K}^{n}, s_{K,\sigma}^{n}\right) & \text{if } \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{K,\Gamma}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{K,\text{ext}}, \end{cases}$$

æ

・ロン ・四と ・ヨン ・ヨン

#### We first discretize

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{q} = 0, \quad \mathbf{q} = -M_i(s)\nabla P + \zeta_i(s)\mathbf{g},$$

#### by

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K} m(\sigma) Q_{K,\sigma}^{n+1} = 0, \qquad \forall n \in \{0, \dots, N\}, \forall K \in \mathcal{T},$$

#### where

$$Q_{K,\sigma}^{n} = \begin{cases} \frac{M_{K,L}(s_{K}^{n}, s_{L}^{n})}{d_{K,L}} \left(P_{K}^{n} - P_{L}^{n}\right) + \mathcal{R}\left(Z_{K,\sigma}; s_{K}^{n}, s_{L}^{n}\right) & \text{if } \sigma = K | L \in \mathcal{E}_{K,i}, \\ \frac{M_{K}(s_{K}^{n})}{d_{K,\sigma}} \left(P_{K}^{n} - P_{K,\sigma}^{n}\right) + \mathcal{R}\left(Z_{K,\sigma}; s_{K}^{n}, s_{K,\sigma}^{n}\right) & \text{if } \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{K,\Gamma}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{K,\text{ext}}, \end{cases}$$

 $M_{K,L}(s_K^{n+1},s_L^{n+1})$  is a mean value between  $M_K(s_K^{n+1})$  and  $M_L(s_L^{n+1})$ 

#### We first discretize

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{q} = 0, \quad \mathbf{q} = -M_i(s)\nabla P + \zeta_i(s)\mathbf{g},$$

#### by

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K} m(\sigma) Q_{K,\sigma}^{n+1} = 0, \qquad \forall n \in \{0, \dots, N\}, \forall K \in \mathcal{T},$$

where

$$Q_{K,\sigma}^{n} = \begin{cases} \frac{M_{K,L}(s_{K}^{n}, s_{L}^{n})}{d_{K,L}} \left(P_{K}^{n} - P_{L}^{n}\right) + \mathcal{R}\left(Z_{K,\sigma}; s_{K}^{n}, s_{L}^{n}\right) & \text{if } \sigma = K | L \in \mathcal{E}_{K,i}, \\ \frac{M_{K}(s_{K}^{n})}{d_{K,\sigma}} \left(P_{K}^{n} - P_{K,\sigma}^{n}\right) + \mathcal{R}\left(Z_{K,\sigma}; s_{K}^{n}, s_{K,\sigma}^{n}\right) & \text{if } \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{K,\Gamma}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{K,\text{ext}}, \end{cases}$$

The function  $Z_{K,\sigma}$  is defined by  $Z_{K,\sigma}(s) = \zeta_K(s)\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{K,\sigma}$  and  $\mathcal{R}(f;a,b)$  is the Riemann solver

$$\mathcal{R}(f; a, b) = \begin{cases} \min_{c \in [a,b]} f(c) & \text{if } a \le b, \\ \max_{c \in [b,a]} f(c) & \text{if } b \le a. \end{cases}$$

æ

### The discrete version of

$$\phi_i \partial_t s + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{q} f_i(s) + \gamma_i(s) \mathbf{g} - \nabla \varphi_i(s)) = 0.$$

reads

$$\phi_K \frac{s_K^{n+1} - s_K^n}{\delta t} m(K) + \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K} m(\sigma) F_{K,\sigma}^{n+1} = 0,$$

where

$$F_{K,\sigma}^{n} = \begin{cases} Q_{K,\sigma}^{n} f_{K}(\overline{s}_{K,\sigma}^{n}) + \mathcal{R}(G_{K,\sigma}; s_{K}^{n}, s_{L}^{n}) + \frac{\varphi_{K}(s_{L}^{n}) - \varphi_{K}(s_{L}^{n})}{d_{K,L}} \text{ if } \sigma = K | L \in \mathcal{E}_{K,i}, \\ Q_{K,\sigma}^{n} f_{K}(\overline{s}_{K,\sigma}^{n}) + \mathcal{R}(G_{K,\sigma}; s_{K}^{n}, s_{K,\sigma}^{n}) + \frac{\varphi_{K}(s_{K}^{n}) - \varphi_{K}(s_{K,\sigma}^{n})}{d_{K,\sigma}} \text{ if } \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{K,\Gamma}, \\ 0 \text{ if } \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{K,\text{ext}}, \end{cases}$$

æ

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

### The discrete version of

$$\phi_i \partial_t s + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{q} f_i(s) + \gamma_i(s) \mathbf{g} - \nabla \varphi_i(s)) = 0.$$

reads

$$\phi_K \frac{s_K^{n+1} - s_K^n}{\delta t} m(K) + \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K} m(\sigma) F_{K,\sigma}^{n+1} = 0,$$

#### where

$$F_{K,\sigma}^{n} = \begin{cases} Q_{K,\sigma}^{n} f_{K}(\overline{s}_{K,\sigma}^{n}) + \mathcal{R}(G_{K,\sigma}; s_{K}^{n}, s_{L}^{n}) + \frac{\varphi_{K}(s_{K}^{n}) - \varphi_{K}(s_{L}^{n})}{d_{K,L}} \text{ if } \sigma = K | L \in \mathcal{E}_{K,i}, \\ Q_{K,\sigma}^{n} f_{K}(\overline{s}_{K,\sigma}^{n}) + \mathcal{R}(G_{K,\sigma}; s_{K}^{n}, s_{K,\sigma}^{n}) + \frac{\varphi_{K}(s_{K}^{n}) - \varphi_{K}(s_{K,\sigma}^{n})}{d_{K,\sigma}} \text{ if } \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{K,\Gamma}, \\ 0 \text{ if } \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{K,\text{ext}}, \end{cases}$$

 $\overline{s}_{K,\sigma}^{n+1}$  is the upwind value defined by

$$\overline{s}_{K,\sigma}^{n+1} = \begin{cases} s_K^{n+1} & \text{if } Q_{K,\sigma}^{n+1} \ge 0, \\ s_L^{n+1} & \text{if } Q_{K,\sigma}^{n+1} < 0 \text{ and } \sigma = K | L \in \mathcal{E}_{K,i}, \\ s_{K,\sigma}^{n+1} & \text{if } Q_{K,\sigma}^{n+1} < 0 \text{ and } \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{K,\Gamma}. \end{cases}$$

### The discrete version of

$$\phi_i \partial_t s + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{q} f_i(s) + \gamma_i(s) \mathbf{g} - \nabla \varphi_i(s)) = 0.$$

reads

$$\phi_K \frac{s_K^{n+1} - s_K^n}{\delta t} m(K) + \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K} m(\sigma) F_{K,\sigma}^{n+1} = 0,$$

where

$$F_{K,\sigma}^{n} = \begin{cases} Q_{K,\sigma}^{n} f_{K}(\overline{s}_{K,\sigma}^{n}) + \mathcal{R}(G_{K,\sigma}; s_{K}^{n}, s_{L}^{n}) + \frac{\varphi_{K}(s_{L}^{n}) - \varphi_{K}(s_{L}^{n})}{d_{K,L}} \text{ if } \sigma = K | L \in \mathcal{E}_{K,i}, \\ Q_{K,\sigma}^{n} f_{K}(\overline{s}_{K,\sigma}^{n}) + \mathcal{R}(G_{K,\sigma}; s_{K}^{n}, s_{K,\sigma}^{n}) + \frac{\varphi_{K}(s_{K}^{n}) - \varphi_{K}(s_{K,\sigma}^{n})}{d_{K,\sigma}} \text{ if } \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{K,\Gamma}, \\ 0 \text{ if } \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{K,\text{ext}}, \end{cases}$$

 $G_{K,\sigma}(s) = \gamma_K(s) \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{K,\sigma}$  and  $\mathcal{R}(\cdot; \cdot, \cdot)$  is the Riemann solver

æ

メロト メポト メヨト メヨト

### The discrete version of

$$\phi_i \partial_t s + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{q} f_i(s) + \gamma_i(s) \mathbf{g} - \nabla \varphi_i(s)) = 0.$$

reads

$$\phi_K \frac{s_K^{n+1} - s_K^n}{\delta t} m(K) + \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K} m(\sigma) F_{K,\sigma}^{n+1} = 0,$$

where

$$F_{K,\sigma}^{n} = \begin{cases} Q_{K,\sigma}^{n} f_{K}(\overline{s}_{K,\sigma}^{n}) + \mathcal{R}(G_{K,\sigma}; s_{K}^{n}, s_{L}^{n}) + \frac{\varphi_{K}(s_{L}^{n}) - \varphi_{K}(s_{L}^{n})}{d_{K,L}} \text{ if } \sigma = K | L \in \mathcal{E}_{K,i}, \\ Q_{K,\sigma}^{n} f_{K}(\overline{s}_{K,\sigma}^{n}) + \mathcal{R}(G_{K,\sigma}; s_{K}^{n}, s_{K,\sigma}^{n}) + \frac{\varphi_{K}(s_{K}^{n}) - \varphi_{K}(s_{K,\sigma}^{n})}{d_{K,\sigma}} \text{ if } \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{K,\Gamma}, \\ 0 \text{ if } \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{K,\text{ext}}, \end{cases}$$

Two-point flux approximation.

Image: A mathematical states and a mathem

(王) ★ 王) ★

æ

## Interface condition system

At the interface  $\Gamma$  we impose

$$Q_{K,\sigma}^{n+1} + Q_{L,\sigma}^{n+1} = 0,$$
  
$$F_{K,\sigma}^{n+1} + F_{L,\sigma}^{n+1} = 0,$$

and

$$\exists \pi_{\sigma}^{n+1} \in \tilde{\pi}_{K}(s_{K,\sigma}^{n+1}) \cap \tilde{\pi}_{L}(s_{L,\sigma}^{n+1}) \text{ s.t.}$$
$$P_{K,\sigma}^{n+1} - \lambda_{w,1}(\pi_{\sigma}^{n+1}) = P_{L,\sigma}^{n+1} - \lambda_{w,2}(\pi_{\sigma}^{n+1}).$$

< ∃ >

< 4 P >

æ

### Interface condition system

At the interface  $\Gamma$  we impose

$$Q_{K,\sigma}^{n+1} + Q_{L,\sigma}^{n+1} = 0,$$
  
$$F_{K,\sigma}^{n+1} + F_{L,\sigma}^{n+1} = 0,$$

and

$$\exists \pi_{\sigma}^{n+1} \in \tilde{\pi}_{K}(s_{K,\sigma}^{n+1}) \cap \tilde{\pi}_{L}(s_{L,\sigma}^{n+1}) \text{ s.t.}$$
$$P_{K,\sigma}^{n+1} - \lambda_{w,1}(\pi_{\sigma}^{n+1}) = P_{L,\sigma}^{n+1} - \lambda_{w,2}(\pi_{\sigma}^{n+1}).$$

Remark that  $s_{K,\sigma}^{n+1} = \tilde{\pi}_K^{-1}(\pi_{\sigma}^{n+1}), s_{L,\sigma}^{n+1} = \tilde{\pi}_L^{-1}(\pi_{\sigma}^{n+1})$ , thus  $(P_{K,\sigma}^{n+1}, P_{L,\sigma}^{n+1})$  can be eliminated.

æ

《曰》 《聞》 《臣》 《臣》

## Interface condition system

At the interface  $\Gamma$  we impose

$$Q_{K,\sigma}^{n+1} + Q_{L,\sigma}^{n+1} = 0,$$
  
$$F_{K,\sigma}^{n+1} + F_{L,\sigma}^{n+1} = 0,$$

and

$$\exists \pi_{\sigma}^{n+1} \in \tilde{\pi}_{K}(s_{K,\sigma}^{n+1}) \cap \tilde{\pi}_{L}(s_{L,\sigma}^{n+1}) \text{ s.t.}$$
$$P_{K,\sigma}^{n+1} - \lambda_{w,1}(\pi_{\sigma}^{n+1}) = P_{L,\sigma}^{n+1} - \lambda_{w,2}(\pi_{\sigma}^{n+1}).$$

#### Proposition

Let  $\sigma = K | L \in \Gamma$ , and let  $(s_K^{n+1}, s_L^{n+1}, P_K^{n+1}, P_L^{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^4$ , then there exists  $\pi_{\sigma}^{n+1} \in [\min_i \pi_i(0), \max_i \pi_i(1)]$  satisfying the nonlinear system interface problem.

### A discrete solution satisfies

 $L^{\infty}(\Omega \times (0,1))$  estimate

$$0 \leq s_K \leq 1$$
 for all  $K \in \mathcal{M}$ 

and

Energy estimates

$$\sum_{i \in \{1,2\}} |\varphi_i(s_{\mathcal{D}})|_{\mathcal{D}}^2 + |P_{\mathcal{D}}|_{\mathcal{D}}^2 \le C$$

æ

・ロン ・回と ・ヨン・

#### Theorem (main result)

Let  $(\mathcal{D}_m)_{m\geq 0}$  be a sequence of admissible discretizations of Q such that  $\operatorname{size}(\mathcal{D}_m)$  tends to 0 and  $\operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{D}_m)$  remains uniformly bounded as m tends to  $\infty$ . We denote by  $(s_{\mathcal{D}_m}, P_{\mathcal{D}_m})_m$  a corresponding sequence of discrete solutions

$$s_{\mathcal{D}_m} \to s$$
 strongly in  $L^2(Q)$  as  $m \to \infty$ ,  
 $P_{\mathcal{D}_m} \to P$  weakly in  $L^2(Q)$  as  $m \to \infty$ .

where (s, P) is a weak solution of the continuous problem.

A (10) A (10)









æ

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

We consider a model porous medium  $\Omega=(0,1)^2$  composed of two layers. Oil and water mobilities

$$\eta_{o,i}(s) = 0.5s^2, \quad \eta_{w,i} = (1-s)^2.$$

Capillary pressure curves

$$\pi_1(s) = s + 0.5, \quad \pi_2(s) = s.$$

Initial saturation is given by

$$s_0(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in \Omega_1, \\ 0.3 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$



We consider a model porous medium  $\Omega=(0,1)^2$  composed of two layers. Oil and water mobilities

$$\eta_{o,i}(s) = 0.5s^2, \quad \eta_{w,i} = (1-s)^2.$$

Capillary pressure curves

$$\pi_1(s) = s + 0.5, \quad \pi_2(s) = s.$$

Initial saturation is given by

$$s_0(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in \Omega_1, \\ 0.3 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$





Capillary pressure field (left) and saturation field (right) at time t = 0.

- 170



Capillary pressure field (left) and saturation field (right) at time t = 0.

A ₽



Capillary pressure field (left) and saturation field (right) at time t = 0.08.

A ₽



Capillary pressure field (left) and saturation field (right) at time t = 0.11.

- 17



Capillary pressure field (left) and saturation field (right) at time t = 0.11.

- 170



Capillary pressure field (left) and saturation field (right) at time t = 0.6.

A ₽



Capillary pressure field (left) and saturation field (right) at time t = 0.6.

< 🗇 🕨 🔸

3

We consider a model porous medium  $\Omega=(0,1)^2$  composed of two layers. Oil and water mobilities

$$\eta_{o,i}(s) = 0.5s^2, \quad \eta_{w,i} = (1-s)^2.$$

Capillary pressure curves

$$\pi_1(s) = s + 0.5, \quad \pi_2(s) = s.$$

Initial saturation is given by

$$s_0(x) = \begin{cases} 0.3 & \text{if } x \in \Omega_1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$



We consider a model porous medium  $\Omega=(0,1)^2$  composed of two layers. Oil and water mobilities

$$\eta_{o,i}(s) = 0.5s^2, \quad \eta_{w,i} = (1-s)^2.$$

Capillary pressure curves

$$\pi_1(s) = s + 0.5, \quad \pi_2(s) = s.$$

Initial saturation is given by

$$s_0(x) = \begin{cases} 0.3 & \text{if } x \in \Omega_1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$





Capillary pressure field (left) and saturation field (right) at time t = 0.

A ₽



Capillary pressure field (left) and saturation field (right) at time t = 0.3.

A ₽



Capillary pressure field (left) and saturation field (right) at time t = 0.3.

A 1

3



Capillary pressure field (left) and saturation field (right) at time t = 1.0.

A ₽



Capillary pressure field (left) and saturation field (right) at time t = 2.9.

< 17 > <

### Conclusion

- We have a convergent numerical scheme for a very complex problem
- The numerical results shows a 'good' quantitative behavior

### Outlooks

- Comparison with other numerical methods
- Extension for the anisotropic problems
- Compressible flow, dissolution

# Thank you for you attention!

æ

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン