## Linking Rigid Bodies Symmetrically

Bernd Schulze \*

Shin-ichi Tanigawa<sup>†</sup>

An important application of rigidity theory is the rigidity and flexibility analysis of biomolecules and proteins, where an ideal molecule is modeled as a *body-hinge framework*, that is, a structural model consisting of rigid bodies connected, in pairs, by revolute hinges along assigned lines. A result by Tay [6, 7] and Whiteley [9] asserts that a generic body-hinge framework is infinitesimally rigid in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  if and only if 5G contains six edge-disjoint spanning trees, where G denotes the underlying graph obtained by identifying each body with a vertex and each hinge with an edge, and 5G denotes the graph obtained from G by replacing each edge by five parallel copies. Based on this result, efficient combinatorial algorithms have been used for analyzing the rigidity properties of proteins (see, e.g., [10, 3, 1, 5]), even though body-hinge frameworks arising from molecules do not fit the genericity assumption in Tay-Whiteley's theorem. A recent result by Katoh and Tanigawa [2] successfully eliminated this assumption, and hence this approach for analyzing the flexibility of proteins is now proven to be mathematically rigorous.

However, many molecules and proteins (as well as many man-made structures such as buildings or mechanical linkages) exhibit non-trivial point group symmetries, and recent work has shown that symmetry can sometimes lead to additional flexibility in a structure [4]. Thus our goal is to develop a symmetric extension of generic rigidity theory which permits a rigidity analysis of structures that possess non-trivial symmetries.

In this talk we will give an extension of Tay-Whiteley's theorem which characterizes infinitesimally rigid symmetric body-hinge structures in terms of a graph packing condition when the underlying point group symmetry is of a form  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . This result leads to an efficient combinatorial algorithm for checking the infinitesimal (or static) rigidity properties of body-hinge frameworks in the presence of symmetry.

## References

- D.J. Jacobs, A. Rader, M. Thorpe, and L.A. Kuhn, Protein flexibility predictions using graph theory, Proteins: Structure, Function, and Bioinformatics, 44 (2001),150–165.
- [2] N. Katoh and S. Tanigawa, A proof of the molecular conjecture, Discrete Comp. Geom., 45 (2011), No. 4, 647–700.
- [3] A. Lee and I. Streinu, Pebble game algorithms and (k, l)-sparse graphs, Discrete Math., 308 (2008), 1425–1437.
- [4] B. Schulze, A. Sljoka and W. Whiteley, How does symmetry impact the flexibility of proteins?, Phil. Transa. Royal Soc. A 372 (2014), No. 2008, 20120041.
- [5] I. Streinu, Paneled and Molecular Polyhedra: How Stable Are They?, in Shaping Space: Exploring Polyhedra in Nature, Art, and the Geometrical Imagination, Springer Verlag, (2013), 201–210.
- [6] T.-S. Tay, Linking (n-2)-dimensional panels in n-space II:(n-2,2)-frameworks and body and hinge structures, Graphs and Combinatorics, 5 (1989), 245–273.
- T.-S. Tay Linking (n-2)-dimensional panels in n-space I:(k-1, k)-graphs and (k-1, k)-frames, Graphs and Combinatorics, 7 (1991), 289–304.
- [8] T.-S. Tay, Rigidity of multi-graphs, linking rigid bodies in n-space, J. Comb. Theory, B 36 (1984), 95–112.
- [9] W. Whiteley, The union of matroids and the rigidity of frameworks, SIAM J. Discrete Math., 1 (1988), 237–255.
- [10] W. Whiteley, Counting out the flexibility of proteins, Physical Biology 2 (2005), 116–126.

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Lancaster, Lancaster LA1 4YF, United Kingdom (b.schulze@lancaster.ac.uk).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502 Japan (tanigawa@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp). Supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientist (B), 24740058.

This is a short abstract of a presentation given at the Workshop on Geometric Structures with Symmetry and Periodicity, part of the CG Week 2014. It has been made public for the benefit of the community and should be considered a preprint rather than a formally reviewed paper. Thus, this work may appear in a conference with formal proceedings and/or in a journal.