Remarques sur les grands maillages, comment les construire et/ou comment les éviter

Inria, EPI Gamma3, A. Loseille, L. Maréchal and P.L. G.

AE CS@EXA

- Constructing large meshes
- Anisotropy
- Adaptive loop, error estimates and goal oriented
- Multi-threading and GPU

Constructing large size meshes (1/2)

• basic (presumably reliable) algorithms and scaling issues:

- temporary need of an arbitrarily large "array"
- cache misses
- number representation (for floating values but even for integers)
- robustness (even for integers)
- funny complexity suddenly revealed :
 - ex 1: $c = 10^{-8}O(n^2) + O(n)$ may have two different behaviors!
 - ex 2: c = k O(n) may be $O(n^2)!$
- new patterns suddenly appear, never met before or being marginal
- remedies are twofold:
 - in terms of algorithms :
 - re-design
 - massive use of (Peano)-Hilbert renumbering
 - in terms of computer science: number representation, multi-threading and GPU uses
- new algorithms in GHS3D and HEXOTIC, LP-Lib and GM-Lib libraries
- tet and hex meshes of about 2 billions of elements successfully generated (on a serial machine)



- pre-partitioning of the domain + local meshes (what about interfaces?)
- refinement of a coarse mesh (does a coarse mesh exist?)

on the other side

 partitioning of a large mesh into parts to be distributed (what about interfaces?)

Anisotropy (1/3)





Aircraft = 36m, Mesh 1mm to 30cm Domain 2km \approx 22.3 millions of tets *i.e.* 0.1 billion of DoF



1m precision leads to \implies 200 billions of DoF

Anisotropy (2/3)







- initial mesh: frontal mesh generation, # vert. 415 535, # tets 2 397 666
- volume [5.4*e*⁻¹¹, 4.7*e*¹⁰]
- $h_{min}/h_{max} = 1.e^{-9}$

Anisotropy (3/3)





- Error estimate: L^2 estimates \implies no h_{min} and small scales
- Solver : Implicit time-stepping
- Adaptation: anisotropy and quality \Longrightarrow accuracy and stability

Goal-oriented, adaptive loop (1/3)



- Choice of a functional j and, an area of interest γ and an adjoint state
 - Example of functional :

$$j(W) = \int_{\gamma} \left(rac{p - p_{\infty}}{p_{\infty}}
ight)^2 d\gamma$$





Goal-oriented, adaptive loop (2/3)











Hilbert Impact I. (1/2)



Hilbert curves:



Map a 3D domain onto a 1D domain

Application to mesh re-ordering:

Re-order vertices in order to be compact

 $\| ldx(\mathbf{v}_1) - ldx(\mathbf{v}_2) \|$ small if $\| \mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2 \|$ small

2 Sort entities: Tetrahedra, edges, etc.. $Tet = [v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4]$

 $\mathsf{Hilbert} \implies \min_{\mathsf{Tet}} \left(\mathsf{idx}(\mathsf{Tet}[i]) - \mathsf{idx}(\mathsf{Tet}[j]) \right)$

Sort Entities by minimal index : *Min_i Idx*(*Tet*[*i*])





Serial scaling with re-ordering



Entities sort	1.3	to	1.5
+ Hilbert sort	2.5	to	3

Informatics mathematics

A solution for parallel computing

Hilbert re-ordering create implicitly independent set of blocks



 \implies The two blocks of edges can be run in parallel

Idea Split entities and manage collisions for parallel runs

Hilbert Impact II. Parallelization (2/6)

The pros:

• Small impact on the serial code

```
BeginDependency(Tetrahedra,Vertices);
for (iTet=1; iTet<=NbrTet; ++iTet) {
  for (j=0; j<4; ++j) {
    AddDependency( iTet, Tet[iTet].Ver[j] );
  }
}
EndDependency(Tetrahedra,Vertices);
```

```
Solve(Tetrahedra,iBeg,iEnd) {
  for (iTet=iBeg; iTet<=iEnd; ++iTet) {
    // .... same as serial
  }
}</pre>
```

- Load-balancing on-the-fly
- Asynchronous parallelization
- No overhead memory

20 to 30% faster than Scatter/Gather for all test cases on 8 cpus.



Hilbert Impact II. Parallelization (3/6)



Problematic: Synchronization costs



Adaptive strategy according to the amount of work

- Light amount of work: linear loop, without dependencies
 Threads are run with interlacing, no overhead time for load-balancing
- Huge amount of work: main solver loops
 Threads manage load-balancing and dependencies, but negligible overhead time.

Hilbert Impact II. Parallelization (4/6)

International mathematics

SSBJ scaling, Core 2 Duo @ 2,5Ghz
 2,360,877 Vertices, 13,933,849 Tetrahedra





Falcon scaling, Core 2 Duo @ 2,5Ghz
 2,025,231 Vertices, 11,860,697 Tetrahedra





INRIA, Gamma3, journée maillages



Ongoing work

- Modify memory management,
- Test ordering strategy: static versus dynamic
- Cache line management: Modify and fit package size,
- SGI's monitoring tools.

Partial current results: Acceleration factor w.r.t serial runs

Test cases	2	4	8	16	32
Onera m6Wing	1.7	3	7.7	11	14.6
NASA Spike	1.6	2.7	4.2	6.58	10.3
Onera m6Wing	1.96	3.91	7.69	15	29
NASA Spike	2	3.9	7.8	15.6	28

Hilbert Impact II. Parallelization (6/6)

- Current run at 64 threads
- Current run on larger anisotropic meshes: Quiet-boom F15
 - Vertices 60,000,000
 - Tetrahedra 400,000,000



NASA Dryden Flight Research Center Photo Collection http://www.dfrc.nasa.gov/Collection/index.html NASA Photo: ED66-0184-23 Date: September 27, 2006 Photo By: Carla Thomas

NASA F-15B #836 in flight with Quiet Spike attached



informatics 🖉 mathematics



Goal: parallel anisotropic adaptive mesh adaptation

Use Hilbert curves compactness property to create partitions

Algorithm

- Create the list of gravity centers of tetrahedra
- Pre-order by Hilbert this list
- Split this list in equal parts according to the number of required parts



Hilbert Impact III. Mesh partitioning (2/5)

Informatics mathematics

Problematic: Creation of unconected sub-domains on anisotropic unstructured meshes



Correction algorithm

- Compute sub-domains from initial partitions
- Ø Merge neighboring sub-domains until equality

Hilbert Impact III. Mesh partitioning (3/5)



Gathering parts



- Find geometrically one point on each interface
- Use topology to recover mappings

Cpu time in sec to create 2ⁿ partitions



- Anisotropic SSBJ test case
- 22.3 millions of tetrahedra
- Cpu time for split/gather in serial

Parallel anisotropic mesh adaptation

- Split the initial mesh: each part is ordered using Hilbert based strategy
- O only point insertion, collapses, swaps
- Merge new adapted parts, and split the new mesh with random interfaces: each part is ordered using Hilbert based strategy
- O mesh optimization: swaps and smoothing



Hilbert Impact III. Mesh partitioning (5/5)



Scaling on SSBJ test case: > 600,000 inserted points

8 cpus speed-up: 7.8 with Hilbert 45.5 with initial (7min)

Features

- Mesh generation of the order of several minutes, up to 1 million inserted points
- \implies Meshing time (re)-becomes negligible with respect to solver time
 - Possibility of predicting each part mesh adaptation time by using metric density
 - No change of the executable, another adaptive mesh generator may be used
 - Improves the serial mesh adaptation algorithm: divide and conquer strategy
 - Reduce scale factors
 - Reduce randomized algorithms effects
 - Provide optimal ordered meshes for each stage: insertion and optimization

One (our) view of HPC



Initial problem of size N (DoF)



Anisotropic mesh adaptation:

 \implies reduction of N from \approx Reduction of DoF to $N^{\frac{1}{3}}$ to $N^{\frac{2}{3}}$

Ex: 1,000,000 DoF leads to 1,000 DoF

2 Cache miss reduction and re-ordering

 \implies reduction of N from \approx 3 to 10



 \implies reduction of N from \approx 4 to 32

- View as the new serial programming
- Try optimal use of computing resources: seek for near-optimal speed-up
- Approach compatible with distributed parallelization



- GHS3D: Hilberted (Up to 2 billion elements)
- HEXOTIC: Hilberted + Multi-threaded (10 million to 1 billion)
- SHRIMP: Adaptive parallel mesh generation (through FEFLO.A) and mesh partitioning
- FEFLO.A: Hilberted + Multi-threaded, Anisotropic mesh generation (10 to 100 million tets)
- Multi-threaded codes use LP-Lib