

# Inverse magnetization problem in 2D

*Laurent Baratchart, Juliette Leblond, Dmitry Ponomarev*

## 1 Formulation of the problem

It is of physical interest to recover magnetization  $\mathbf{M}$  of a planar sample from the measurements of normal components of magnetic-flux density available above and below it [3, 4]. We consider a toy version of this is a problem on a plane where the sample is placed on a line.

Introducing scalar magnetic potential  $\Phi$  such that the magnetic field is  $\mathbf{H} = -\nabla\Phi$  (which is possible due to  $\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = 0$ ). With the choice of system of units where the vacuum permeability constant is normalized to one, the magnetic flux density then reads  $\mathbf{B} = -\nabla\Phi + \mathbf{M}$ . Due to the Maxwell equation  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$ , we thus arrive at

$$\Delta\Phi = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{M}. \quad (1.1)$$

Denote the radius vector  $\mathbf{r} = (x, y)$ , where the coordinate system is chosen such that the sample is in the middle of the line  $y = 0$ , that is,

$$\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{m}(x) \otimes \delta_0(y), \quad \mathbf{m} = (m_x, m_y),$$

where  $\delta_0$  is the Dirac delta function supported at 0, the notation that should not be confused with further instances of use of  $\delta$  symbol, say  $\delta_F$  denoting variation of a function  $F$ .

The Poisson equation (1.1) on the plane has solution in terms of the logarithmic potential  $\frac{1}{2\pi} \log r$  (see, for instance, [6]), where  $r = |\mathbf{r}|$ ,

$$\Phi(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r}') \log |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'| d^2\mathbf{r}',$$

which upon integration by parts (under the formal decay assumption  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} |\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r})| \log r = 0$ ) becomes

$$\Phi(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r}') \cdot \frac{(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|^2} d^2\mathbf{r}' = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{m_x(x')(x - x')}{(x - x')^2 + y^2} dx' + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{m_y(x')y}{(x - x')^2 + y^2} dx'.$$

Introducing the Poisson kernel  $P_y(x) := P(x, y) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{y}{x^2 + y^2}$  and the conjugate Poisson kernel  $Q_y(x) := Q(x, y) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2}$ , we can write

$$\Phi(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} (Q_y \star m_x + P_y \star m_y) & , \quad y > 0, \\ \frac{1}{2} (Q_y \star m_x - P_{-y} \star m_y) & , \quad y < 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

employing  $\star$  as notation for convolution in  $x$  variable.

Next, since  $P_y(x)$  is an approximate identity for  $y > 0$  (e.g. [7]), we realize that

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow 0^\pm} \Phi(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{H}[m_x] \pm \frac{1}{2} m_y,$$

where  $\mathcal{H}$  denotes the Hilbert transform defined as  $\mathcal{H}[f] := \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} (Q_y \star f)$ .

By uniqueness of harmonic extension upward/downward from the boundary, we can then rewrite (1.2) as

$$\Phi(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} P_{|y|} \star (\mathcal{H}[m_x] \pm m_y), \quad y \gtrless 0, \quad (1.3)$$

and hence the normal component of magnetic flux density is given by

$$B_y(x, y) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2} \partial_y P_y \star (\mathcal{H}[m_x] + m_y) & , \quad y > 0, \\ -\frac{1}{2} \partial_y P_{-y} \star (\mathcal{H}[m_x] - m_y) & , \quad y < 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

where notation  $\partial_y P_{-y}$  should be understood in a sense that  $\partial_y P_a := (\partial_y P_y)|_{y=a} = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{x^2 - a^2}{(x^2 + a^2)^2}$ , the convention we adopt and will use further.

Advantage of such representation is that we can use the property of Poisson kernels  $P_{y_1} \star \partial_y P_{y_2} = \partial_y P_{y_1+y_2}$ , which is similar to the known semigroup property  $P_{y_1} \star P_{y_2} = P_{y_1+y_2}$  and is evident on the Fourier transform side, given that  $\mathcal{F}[P_y](\kappa) = e^{-2\pi y|\kappa|}$ , and combine measurements of magnetic flux density on both sides of the sample to separate unknown magnetization components  $m_x$  and  $m_y$ . Namely, suppose  $\mathbf{m}$  is supported on a set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and  $B_y(x, h_1)$ ,  $B_y(x, h_2)$  are known for  $x \in I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , where  $h_1 > 0$ ,  $h_2 < 0$ ,  $|h_2| \geq h_1$ .

Let  $S = (-a, a)$ ,  $I = (-b, b)$  for  $a, b > 0$ . For arbitrary  $0 < h_0 \leq h_1$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ , consider

$$u_{\gamma, h_0}(x, y) := P_{\gamma-h_1-h_2} \star B_y(x, y+h_1-h_0) - P_\gamma \star B_y(x, -y+h_2+h_0), \quad (1.5)$$

$$v_{\gamma, h_0}(x, y) := P_{\gamma-h_1-h_2} \star B_y(x, y+h_1-h_0) + P_\gamma \star B_y(x, -y+h_2+h_0). \quad (1.6)$$

Then, if the measurement area is much wider than the sample size, that is,  $a \ll b$ , the convolution integrals can be truncated and the quantities

$$u_{\gamma, h_0}(x, h_0) = P_{\gamma-h_1-h_2} \star B_y(x, h_1) - P_\gamma \star B_y(x, h_2),$$

$$v_{\gamma, h_0}(x, h_0) = P_{\gamma-h_1-h_2} \star B_y(x, h_1) + P_\gamma \star B_y(x, h_2)$$

are considered to be approximately known for  $x \in S$ .

On the other hand, we note that in the expressions (1.5)-(1.6), the second arguments in  $B_y$  in the both terms are of constant and opposite signs when  $y > 0$ , so due to (1.4) and the mentioned property of Poisson kernels, we obtain

$$u_{\gamma, h_0}(x, y) := -\partial_y P_{y-h_2-h_0+\gamma} \star m_y, \quad v_{\gamma, h_0}(x, y) := -\partial_y P_{y-h_2-h_0+\gamma} \star \mathcal{H}[m_x],$$

which are harmonic functions in the upper halfplane providing that  $\gamma \geq h_0 + h_2$ . The choice  $\gamma = h_0 + h_2$  leads to

$$u(x, y) := u_{h_0}(x, y) = -\partial_y \phi(x, y), \quad v(x, y) := v_{h_0}(x, y) = -\partial_y \tilde{\psi}(x, y), \quad (1.7)$$

where  $\phi, \tilde{\psi}$  are harmonic functions in the upper half-plane such that  $\phi(x, 0) = m_y, \tilde{\psi}(x, 0) = \mathcal{H}[m_x]$ .

Alternatively, we may consider a more realistic physical setup corresponding to  $I = S$  where, however, we shall restrict to the situation with  $h_1 = -h_2$ . In this case, we set  $h_0 = h_1, \gamma = 0$  to simply work with the expressions

$$u(x, y) = B_y(x, y) - B_y(x, -y), \quad v(x, y) = B_y(x, y) + B_y(x, -y),$$

which are exactly known for  $x \in S, y = h_0$  and, on the other hand, can be represented in terms of  $\phi, \tilde{\psi}$  precisely as in (1.7).

Introduce, for  $y > 0$ ,

$$f(z) := \phi(x, y) + i\tilde{\phi}(x, y), \quad g(z) := \psi(x, y) + i\tilde{\psi}(x, y), \quad (1.8)$$

where  $\tilde{\phi}(x, y) := Q_y \star m_y$  and  $\psi(x, y) := -Q_y \star \mathcal{H}[m_x]$ . Then, the expressions in (1.8) define analytic functions in the upper half-plane. Moreover,  $\lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} \phi(x, y) = m_y, \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} \psi(x, y) = m_x$ , and hence the real parts of  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  on  $y = 0$  inherit the magnetization support  $S$ . If  $S$  is bounded, we can construct analytic continuations through  $\{(x, 0) : x \in \mathbb{R}\} \setminus S$  downwards defining

$$F(z) := \begin{cases} f(z), & y > 0, \\ -\overline{f(\bar{z})}, & y < 0, \end{cases} \quad G(z) := \begin{cases} g(z), & y > 0, \\ -\overline{g(\bar{z})}, & y < 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.9)$$

Without loss of generality, we proceed further working with  $F$  alone, situation with  $G$  is absolutely the same.

Note that here and onwards we identify the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  with  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and abuse notation referring to  $x$  or  $y$  as a real or imaginary part of the variable  $z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}$  or a coordinate of the point  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .

**Lemma 1.** *For  $m_y \in L^1_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\text{supp } m_y \subseteq S, |S| < \infty$ , the integral*

$$F(z) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_S \frac{m_y(\xi)}{\xi - z} d\xi \quad (1.10)$$

*defines an analytic function on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus S$  such that  $\lim_{y \rightarrow 0^\pm} F(x + iy) = \pm m_y + i\mathcal{H}[m_y]$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the rectangle  $V = [-x_0, x_0] \times [-y_0, y_0]$  for some  $x_0 > a$  and  $y_0 > 0$ . Since  $S \times \{0\} \subset V$ , for  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus V$ , by the Cauchy formula, we have

$$F(z) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial V} \frac{F(\xi)}{\xi - z} d\xi,$$

where the rectangular contour  $\partial V$  is traversed in the counterclockwise direction.

In order to obtain the representation (1.10), we would like to take limit as  $y_0 \rightarrow 0^+$ . First of all, contribution from the vertical segments  $\xi \in [-x_0 - iy_0, -x_0 + iy_0], [x_0 - iy_0, x_0 + iy_0]$  is negligible under such limit passage because of the mean-value theorem which applies due to analyticity of  $F$  across  $\{(x, y) : y = 0\} \setminus S$ . Therefore, employing (1.9), since  $m_y$  is

real-valued, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F(z) &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{y_0 \rightarrow 0^+} \left( -\int_{-x_0}^{x_0} \frac{\overline{f(iy_0 + x')}}{-iy_0 + x' - z} dx' + \int_{x_0}^{-x_0} \frac{f(iy_0 + x')}{iy_0 + x' - z} dx' \right) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{y_0 \rightarrow 0^+} \left( \int_{-x_0}^{x_0} \frac{2\phi(x', y_0)}{x' - z} dx' \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_S \frac{m_y(\xi)}{\xi - z} d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

where the limit passage under the integral sign is justified since  $m_y \in L^1(S)$  and  $z \notin S$ .  $\square$

Lemma 1 shows that magnetization components  $m_y$  and  $m_x$  uniquely define the functions  $F$  and  $G$ , respectively, which are analytic in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus S$ , and in the upper half-plane in particular. Now, because of the Cauchy-Riemann equations,

$$\partial_x \tilde{\phi} = -\partial_y \phi, \quad \partial_x \psi = \partial_y \tilde{\psi},$$

and since the quantities  $u, v$  are known on  $I_0 := S \times \{h_0\}$  from the measurement data as described above, we can compute

$$W_{\tilde{\phi}}(x) := \int_{-a}^x u(x', h_0) dx', \quad W_{\psi}(x) := -\int_{-a}^x v(x', h_0) dx', \quad x \in (-a, a), \quad (1.11)$$

the quantities which, up to constants, give estimates on  $I_0$  for  $\tilde{\phi}, \psi$ , respectively. Based on this, we attempt to recover analytic functions  $F, G$ , and thus magnetization components  $m_y, m_x$ , respectively, by casting the following bounded extremal problems

$$\min_{F \in \mathcal{B}_{M_f}} \left\| \operatorname{Im} F - W_{\tilde{\phi}} \right\|_{L^2(I_0)}, \quad \min_{\substack{G \in \mathcal{B}_{M_g} \\ C_0 \in \mathbb{R}}} \left\| \operatorname{Re} G - W_{\psi} + C_0 \right\|_{L^2(I_0)}, \quad (1.12)$$

where

$$\mathcal{B}_M := \left\{ F \in H_+^2 : \operatorname{supp} \left( \operatorname{Re} F|_{y=0} \right) \subseteq S, \left\| \operatorname{Re} F \right\|_{L^2(S)} \leq M \right\}, \quad (1.13)$$

$$H_+^2 := \left\{ F \text{ analytic for } y > 0 : \sup_{y > 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |F(x + iy)|^2 dx < \infty \right\}, \quad (1.14)$$

$M_f, M_g$  denote positive constants which *a priori* bound  $L^2$ -norms of  $m_y, m_x$ , accordingly, and  $C_0$  is an integration constant from (1.11) that cannot be absorbed by the definition of  $\mathcal{B}_{M_g}$ .

We shortly discuss motivation to formulate such bounded extremal problems. Without loss of generality, let us focus on the first one in (1.12). We have seen in Lemma 1 that given  $m_y$  supported on  $S$  extends uniquely to an analytic function  $F$ . But an analytic function can also be uniquely represented from a subset of a line by means of the Carleman's formula for a half-plane (Ch.1, Th.5.1 in [1]). Applying this to known data on  $I_0$  (real part is zero, imaginary part is an available function from measurements processing), we obtain an analytic function above  $h_0$  which must coincide with restriction of the original function  $F$  produced by  $m_y$ , and hence the obtained function is, in fact, analytic all the way down to  $y = 0$  where its real part must be exactly  $m_y$ . However, not every square-integrable on  $I_0$  function is the restriction of an analytic function (see, for instance, Th.11.2 in [5]), and in practice it is never the case since measurement and numerical processing of data are necessarily prone to errors. Therefore, assuming that the available data  $W_{\tilde{\phi}}$  are only  $L^2(I_0)$ , the minimum is never zero, and as it is approaching, we expect growth on other segments (namely, on  $J_0 := (\mathbb{R} \times \{y_0\}) \setminus I_0$ ) that would blow-up

$H^2$ -norm in the spirit of [2]. This growth is, nevertheless, controlled by the imposed requirement in  $\mathcal{B}_{M_f}$ . Indeed, one can show (as a consequence of Lemma on p.122 and the top of p.127 in [8]) that  $\|\operatorname{Im} F\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \|\operatorname{Re} F\|_{L^2(S)} \leq M$ , and thus  $\|F\|_{L^2(J_0)} \leq \|F\|_{H_+^2} := \|F\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq 2M$ .

## 2 Solution

Again, for the moment we focus on the first bounded extremal problem in (1.12). Omitting proof of existence and uniqueness (which hinges on demonstration that  $\mathcal{B}_M$  is a closed convex subset of  $H_+^2$ ), we skip to obtaining an integral equation for the magnetization component and further set up a fixed-point argument procedure for its solution.

Given  $W_{\tilde{\phi}} \in L^2(I_0)$ , we denote

$$\mathcal{J} := \left\| \operatorname{Im} F - W_{\tilde{\phi}} \right\|_{L^2(I_0)}^2, \quad (2.1)$$

we pursue the idea of Lagrange multipliers [9], and thus require, for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\delta_{\mathcal{J}} = 2\lambda \langle \operatorname{Re} F, \operatorname{Re} \delta_F \rangle_{L^2(S)}, \quad \delta_{\mathcal{J}} = 2 \left\langle \operatorname{Im} F - W_{\tilde{\phi}}, \operatorname{Im} \delta_F \right\rangle_{L^2(I_0)}, \quad (2.2)$$

where, by means of Lemma 1,

$$\delta_F = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_S \frac{\delta_{m_y}(\xi)}{\xi - z} d\xi \quad \Rightarrow \quad \operatorname{Re} \delta_F = P_y \star \delta_{m_y}, \quad \operatorname{Im} \delta_F = Q_y \star \delta_{m_y}$$

for arbitrary  $\delta_{m_y} \in L^2(S)$ .

Therefore, (2.2) implies

$$\left\langle Q_{h_0} \star m_y - W_{\tilde{\phi}}, Q_{h_0} \star \delta_{m_y} \right\rangle_{L^2(S)} = \lambda \langle m_y, \delta_{m_y} \rangle_{L^2(S)},$$

that is,

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_S \left( \frac{1}{\pi} \int_S \frac{x - x'}{(x - x')^2 + h_0^2} m_y(x') dx' - W_{\tilde{\phi}}(x) \right) \left( \int_S \frac{x - x''}{(x - x'')^2 + h_0^2} \delta_{m_y}(x'') dx'' \right) dx = \lambda \int_S m_y(x'') \delta_{m_y}(x'') dx''.$$

Using Fubini theorem to interchange the order of integration in  $x$  and  $x''$  in the left-hand side, we have

$$\int_S \left[ \frac{1}{\pi} \int_S \frac{x - x''}{(x - x'')^2 + h_0^2} \left( Q_{h_0} \star m_y - W_{\tilde{\phi}} \right)(x) dx - \lambda m_y(x'') \right] \delta_{m_y}(x'') dx'' = 0,$$

or equivalently,

$$\left\langle -Q_{h_0} \star Q_{h_0} \star m_y + Q_{h_0} \star W_{\tilde{\phi}} - \lambda m_y, \delta_{m_y} \right\rangle_{L^2(S)} = 0,$$

and hence, by arbitrariness of  $\delta_{m_y}$ , we conclude

$$-Q_{h_0} \star (\chi_S (Q_{h_0} \star m_y)) + Q_{h_0} \star (\chi_S W_{\tilde{\phi}}) = \lambda m_y, \quad (2.3)$$

where  $\chi_S$  is a characteristic function of the set  $S$ .

We, therefore, have deduced the following

**Theorem 1.** *If solution to the bounded extremal problem (1.12) for  $F$  exists,  $m_y = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} \operatorname{Re} F(x + iy)$  must satisfy (2.3), where the parameter  $\lambda$  has to be chosen such that the inequality constraint in  $\mathcal{B}_{M_f}$  is fulfilled.*

We will now show that the Fredholm integral equation of the second kind arising in the problem has the unique solution which can be constructed by the Banach fixed-point theorem once we rewrite (2.3) in the operator form  $m_y = T_\lambda[m_y]$  and prove

**Proposition 1.** *The operator*

$$\begin{aligned} T_\lambda : L^2(S) &\rightarrow L^2(S) \\ f &\mapsto T_\lambda[f] := \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( Q_{h_0} \star (\chi_S (Q_{h_0} \star f)) + Q_{h_0} \star (\chi_S W_{\tilde{\phi}}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

is a contraction for  $|\lambda| > \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \left( 1 + \frac{4a^2}{h_0^2} \right) \right]^2$ .

*Proof.* Since  $T_\lambda$  is affine, we need to show that

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\lambda} (Q_{h_0} \star (\chi_S (Q_{h_0} \star f))) \right\|_{L^2(S)} \leq q \|f\|_{L^2(S)} \quad (2.4)$$

for some  $0 < q < 1$ .

First, writing  $Q_{h_0} = Q_{h_0}^{1/2} Q_{h_0}^{1/2}$ , we employ the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |(Q_{h_0} \star f)(x)| &\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \left( \int_S \frac{x - x'}{(x - x')^2 + h_0^2} |f(x')|^2 dx' \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_S \frac{x - x'}{(x - x')^2 + h_0^2} dx' \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{r^{1/2}}{\pi} \left( \int_S \frac{x - x'}{(x - x')^2 + h_0^2} |f(x')|^2 dx' \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$r := \sup_{x \in S} \left( \int_S \frac{x - x'}{(x - x')^2 + h_0^2} dx' \right) = \frac{1}{2} \sup_{x \in (-a, a)} \left[ \log \frac{(x - a)^2 + h_0^2}{(x + a)^2 + h_0^2} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \log \left( 1 + \frac{4a^2}{h_0^2} \right)$$

since  $\log \left( 1 - \frac{4ax}{(x + a)^2 + h_0^2} \right)$  is a monotonically decreasing function on  $x \in [-a, a]$ .

Therefore

$$\|P_{h_0} \star f\|_{L^2(S)} \leq \frac{r}{\pi} \|f\|_{L^2(S)} \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \left( 1 + \frac{4a^2}{h_0^2} \right) \|f\|_{L^2(S)},$$

and hence (2.4) holds with  $q = \frac{1}{|\lambda|} \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \left( 1 + \frac{4a^2}{h_0^2} \right) \right]^2$  for  $|\lambda| > \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \left( 1 + \frac{4a^2}{h_0^2} \right) \right]^2$ . □

## References

- [1] L. Aizenberg, “Carleman’s Formulas in Complex Analysis”, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1993.
- [2] L. Baratchart, J. Leblond, “Hardy approximation to  $L^p$  functions on subsets of the circle with  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ”, *Constructive Approximation*, 14, 41-56, 1998.
- [3] L. Baratchart, D. P. Hardin, E. A. Lima, E. B. Saff and B. P. Weiss, “Characterizing kernels of operators related to thin-plate magnetizations via generalizations of Hodge decompositions”, *Inverse Problems*, 29(1), 2013.
- [4] L. Baratchart, D. P. Hardin, E. A. Lima, E. B. Saff and B. P. Weiss, “Fast Inversion of Unidirectional Planar Magnetization Distributions in Geological Samples”, to appear, 2013.
- [5] P. L. Duren, “Theory of  $H^p$  Spaces”, Academic Press, 1970.
- [6] G. B. Folland, “Introduction to Partial Differential Equation”, Princeton University Press, 1995.
- [7] J. B. Garnett, “Bounded Analytic Functions”, Academic Press, 1981.
- [8] P. Koosis, “Introduction to  $H^p$  spaces”, Cambridge University Press, 2008.
- [9] P. Varaiia, “Notes on Optimization”, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1972.